

Gc 942.7201 R24p v.72 1305093

GENEALONY COLLECTION

3 1833 00729 5410



## THE RECORD SOCIETY

FOR THE

## Publication of Original Documents

RELATING TO

LANCASHIRE AND CHESHIRE.

VOLUME LXXII.

#### COUNCIL FOR 1915-16

JOHN PAUL RYLANDS, F.S.A., Highfields, Bidston Road, Birkenhead, PRESIDENT.

SIR GEORGE J. ARMYTAGE, BART., D.L., F.S.A., Kirklees Park, Brighouse, VICE-PRESIDENT.

HENRY BRIERLEY, B.A., 26 Swinley Road, Wigan.

WILLIAM FARRER, D.LITT., Hall Garth, Carnforth.

ROBERT GLADSTONE, Jun., B.C.L., M.A., Woolton Vale, Liverpool.

W. E. GREGSON, 43 Moor Lane, Great Crosby, Liverpool.

WM. FERGUSSON IRVINE, M.A., F.S.A., 56 Park Road South, Birkenhead.

COLONEL JOHN PARKER, C.B., D.L., F.S.A., Browsholme, Clitheroe.

W. D. PINK, Winslade, Lowton, Newton-le-Willows.

CHARLES W. SUTTON, M.A., Public Library, Manchester.

WM. ASHETON TONGE, Staneclyffe, Disley.

F. C. BEAZLEY, F.S.A., 24 Lorne Road, Oxton, Birkenhead, HON. TREASURER.

RONALD STEWART-BROWN, M.A., F.S.A., 20 Castle St., Liverpool, HON. SECRETARY.

#### THE

## Royalist Composition Papers,

BEING THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE

## Committee for Compounding,

A.D. 1643-1660,

SO FAR AS THEY RELATE TO THE

### County of Lancaster

EXTRACTED FROM THE RECORDS PRESERVED IN THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE, LONDON.

Vol. V., P-R

EDITED BY

JOHN BROWNBILL, M.A.

THE RECORD SOCIETY.



### NOTE

## 1305093

It is necessary to say a few words about the long delay in the issue of the present part of these Composition Papers. The earlier parts were issued in 1891, 1892, 1896, and 1898. Then came a pause, but the first half of the present volume was actually in type in 1906. Then the death of Canon Stanning put a stop to it for the time. In 1910 an attempt was made to continue the volume, but Mr. Pink had to relinquish the task, and Col. Parker, who next undertook the editorship, found himself unable to make any progress, owing to other duties incumbent upon him. Meantime the printers were charging for the long-standing type, and on Mr. Gregson offering to take up the work, the proofs and manuscript were handed to him, and the second half of this volume was then sent to the printers. Owing to the breakdown of his health for a time, Mr. Gregson was not able to act any further, and the present editor therefore has had to see the work of his predecessors through the press as speedily as possible. The volume being but a small one, it has been considered opportune to insert an Appendix, containing references to the Calendar of the Committee's proceedings compiled by Mrs. M. A. Everett Green and issued from the Public Record Office in five large volumes and supplying the cases omitted, usually very small ones. By the use of the Calendar and its excellent index various errors and displacements have been corrected. There are, no doubt, some other corrections to be made, and the editor would be glad to have notice of them in time for insertion in the sixth and concluding part (S-Z).

iv NOTE

The collecting of the papers for this series was begun as early as 1886 by Mr. Earwaker, and then a complete search was made through both series of the Composition Papers for those relating to Lancashire and Cheshire. They were then divided and arranged in an alphabetical series, and it is not surprising that some things should have been misplaced in so great a quantity of material. The first volume of the Calendar appeared in 1889, and the last, containing the index, in 1892, so that Canon Stanning had no help from this index until two parts of his work had been issued, and his task must have been extremely difficult. There are numerous discrepancies between the Calendar and the Society's abstracts in such matters as the spelling of names and the dating, and these must be settled by reference to the originals. The dates in the Calendar are probably correct as a rule, for the aim of its compiler was to arrange the documents in order of date, and she had the assistance of various order books, &c., to supply or correct dates in the Composition Papers themselves.

This fifth part contains, like its predecessor, matter of varied interest. Attention may be drawn to the cases of William Pilkington and Joseph Rigby; and the rough and ready way in which the sequestrations were carried out in the early days of the Civil War is described without disguise in the papers of Edward Rawstorne.

JOHN BROWNBILL.

## CONTENTS

Parke, Elizabeth, of Cartmel	I
PARKE, GILES, of Hooker in Cartmel	I
PARKER, ELIZABETH, of Charnock Richard	2
Robert Holt—Hugh Dicconson.	
PARKER, JEANNETT	5
PARKER, JOHN, of Bradkirk	5
Margaret Beesley.	
PARKER, JOHN, of Loveley	10
PARKER, JOHN, of Myerscough	10
PARKER, THOMAS, of Graystonleigh	II
Parker, Thomas, son of Lord Morley and Mounteagle	12
Parker, William	12
John Sherborne—Thomas Helme.	
Parkinson, Anne (of, Partington)	24
Parkinson, Cuthbert, Thomas Barton and Edward	
TATHAM, of Tunstall	24
Parkinson, Edward, of Wiswall	25
Parkinson, Ellen	25
PARKINSON, JOHN, of Claughton	26
Parkinson, John, of Over Wyresdale (?)	27
Parkinson, John, of Claughton	28
Parkinson, Lawrence	28
Thomas Clayton—John Fanshawe.	_
Parkinson, Peter, of Ashley	36
Parkinson, Richard, of Swaineshead	36
Parkinson, Richard, of Lancaster	37
Parkinson, Thomas, of Chipping	37
PARKINSON, THOMAS, of Infield	37
PARKINSON, WILLIAM, of Overleigh	38
PARR, HUGH, of Burtonhead	40
Parr, Katherine, of Rainhill	41
William Potter.	
Parre, Ralph, of Altham	41
Partington, Anne, of Haigh	42
Peirpoint, Henry, of Golborne	44
Peirson, Thomas, of Myerscough	45
Pemberton, James, of Whiston	46
Pendleton, Alice, of Much Woolton	47

#### CONTENTS

						PAGE
PENDLETON, HENRY, of Manchester .						0
D 1 60 11						•
D II (0. 11.1						
T ( A 11)						• • •
John Rigby—Roger Rigby.						17
D M F ( W 11 ) 3						55
Pilkington, Richard, of Coppull .						56
Pilkington, William, of Wigan .						56
PLESSINGTON, ROBERT, of Dimples .						64
PLOMBE, JOHN, of Garston						65
PORTER, HENRY, of Treales						65
POTTER, ALEXANDER, of Manchester .						66
Potter, Katherine, of Rainhill .						66
D						68
D 1.01						68
D						68
PRESCOTT, WILLIAM, of Upholland						69
Preston, Frances, of Furness						70
Preston, George, and Walter Stric						70
Preston, Henry, of Preston	11111111	<i>D</i> , 01	_ ,,,,	icby		71
Preston, John, of Furness	• •	• •	• •	• •		
Trustees for John Pym's child	dren-	- Ian	. · · ·	Iorga		75
Richard Tomlinson.	arcm	Jam	C 11.	ioiga	11	
Preston, Thomas, of Holker						88
Parishioners of Cartmel.					• •	00
Preston, William, of Ellel						92
Prestwich, Sir Thomas, of Hulme, and	 Тис	NIAS	his	son.	ond	92
heir						0.2
INCE, THOMAS, of Ince						93
Prisoe, James, of Dalton in Furness					• •	95 96
PROCTOR, GILES, of Wrayton		• •	• •		• •	
Quick, Richard, of Much Woolton		• •	• •	• •	• •	97
RADCLIFFE, ALEXANDER, of Fox Denton		• •	٠.	• •	• •	97
John Byrom—Alexander Potter.	١	• •	• •			98
RADCLIFFE, WILLIAM, of Fox Denton						107
RADCLIFFE, WILLIAM, of Balderston	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	105
	• •	• •	• •		• •	106
RADLEY, WILLIAM, of Hall of the Hill	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	107
RANICARS, JOHN, of Hindley	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	107
RAPHSON, EDMUND, of Ince Blundell	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	107
RASCOWE, JOHN, of Aspull	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	III
RAWLINSON, LEONARD, of Marsh Grange			• •	٠.	• •	114
RAWLINSON, ROBERT, of Marsh Grange	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	122
RAWSTORNE, EDWARD, of Hutton	• •		• •	• •	• •	123
REDMAN, SIR JOHN, of Wrayton	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	130
Mary Forbes.						

#### CONTENTS

				PAGE
REINES, JOHN, DOROTHY his wife, and	HENRY	$W_{\text{HITE}}$ ,	of	
				131
				133
RICHARDSON, THOMAS, of Out Rawcliffe				134
RICHARDSON, WILLIAM, of Childwall				134
RIGBY, ALEXANDER, of the Burgh				135
Rigby, Eleanor and Alice				138
Rigby, James, of Church Kirk				139
D T (C 1:1				139
				140
				141
D T (O )				144
D T (37 1 377 1 3				145
D D 111				147
				149
				149
D D 47771 11 1				150
				151
D	• • • •			153
ROBERTSON, ADAM, alias HODGKINSON, of				153
D 73 (37 T )				154
D T (OIIT 1				154
D				157
D T CAT 1				157
D				157
D T (D				158
D E			• •	158
	• • • •		• •	159
		• • • • •	• •	160
		• • • •	• •	160
		• • • •	• •	161
			• •	163
RYLEY, THOMAS, of Clitheroe parish	• • • •	•• ••	• •	103
APPENDIX	• • • •	• • • •	• •	165
INDEX TO VOIC IV AND V				200



### LANCASHIRE

#### ROYALIST COMPOSITION PAPERS.

For John Park see Michard Ashton.

For Alexander Parke see Thomas Lingard.

## Elizabeth Parke, wife of Giles Parke, of Bolker in Cartmell.

(First Series, vol. xlviii., No. 1489, fol. 947.)

fo. 947. Petition on behalf of her children and self by Elizabeth Parke wife of Giles Parke of Holker in Cartmell, which disclosed that about 3 years then last past her husband's small estate had been sequestered for his delinquency in the second war, and he being a poor man and not in a position to neglect his business had neglected to compound for it within the time limited by the Act; she therefore prayed that she might have a fifth part of her husband's sequestered estate for the subsistence of herself and children with the arrears from 24th December 1649. 18 May 1652. (Ordered a 5th and arrears.)

#### Giles Parke, Esq.

(First Series, vol. xlviii., fol. 919.)

fo. 919. Order to take possession of several messuages, lands, and tenements, in Hooker [Holker] and Cartmell, part of the estate of the above sold to William Pepper and Henry Berry, gent., on 30 March 1654.

#### Elizabeth Parker of Charnock Richard.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fol. 915.)

fo. 915. A petition which showed that two thirds of her estate was under sequestration for her recusancy only; she prayed to be admitted to contract for the same. 18 January 1653[-4]. . . . Referred to Mr. Reading.

## ROBERT HOLT, CITIZEN AND HABERDASHER OF LONDON, AND HUGH DICCONSON, OF HESKIN, GENT.

(First Series, vol. xxix., No. 918, fols. 937, &c.)

fo. 937. Report by Mr. Reading, dated 10 December 1654, based upon an order of 26 July preceding upon the petition of Robert Holt citizen and haberdasher of London and Hugh Dicconson of Heskin, gent., desiring the removal of the sequestration from certain lands in the County of Lancaster which had been sequestered for the recusancy of Elizabeth Parker widow, who was then dead—(being part of the estate of John Houghton, Esq., one of the persons comprised in the Act for sale of lands), and the reversion thereof, purchased by them of the Trustees at Drury House.

. He found that by indenture dated the 20 September 1633, made between William Hoghton of Park Hall, Charnock Richard, in the County of Lancaster, Esq., of the one part and James Parker yeoman of the other, the said William Hoghton as well in consideration of the surrender of a lease to be cancelled as of £50, therein acknowledged to have been received, demised granted and to farm let unto the said James Parker his executors and assigns, all that messuage and tenement in Charnock Richard then in the tenure of the said James Parker or his assigns, to have and to hold to the said James Parker his executors and assigns from the date thereof for the lives of the said James Parker, and Elizabeth then his wife, for

and during the life of the longer liver of them, and either of them paying yearly 43<sup>s</sup> 4<sup>d</sup>, and paying and doing yearly to the said William Hoghton his heirs and assigns all such boones suits and services as have been accustomed to be done for the same; as by the said indenture (which was produced) appeared.

On the back was endorsed a Memorandum that on 20 February 1633[-4] the said William Hoghton delivered to the said James Parker possession and seisin of the premises. And there was also endorsed that on 20 June 1643 the said Elizabeth Parker entered and took possession of the premises. It was certified by the Lancashire Commissioners the 5 September 1654, in observance of an order of the 26 July then last, that two third parts of the premises were in the year 1643 sequestered for the recusancy of Elizabeth Parker widow, and had so continued to date of proceedings, and were let by the year at a clear yearly rent of £14 10s. The Lancashire Commissioners transmitted the depositions of several witnesses examined before them, namely of Richard Waring, who deposed that he knew James Parker, and said he was dead and buried about 11 years then ago, and that Elizabeth wife of the said James Parker died, and was buried on Friday 21 July 1654, and that deponent was by and present when she was buried and he had heard she was the last life mentioned in the said lease (then showed to him), and he believed the lease had determined and expired and had fallen to the heirs of the said William Hoghton or the purchaser of the estate from the Commonwealth. On cross-examination he said two third parts of the said messuage had since the beginning of the wars been sequestered for the popery of the said Elizabeth Parker and then continued so, notwithstanding her death and burial.

Alexander Parker, brother of James, deposed that his brother held a messuage in Charnock Richard by lease from William Hoghton, deceased, for two lives,

and generally confirmed preceding deponent. He also found that John Hoghton of Parkhall in the county of Lancaster, Esq., was one of the persons comprised in the last Act for sales. He further found that by indenture enrolled in Chancery, dated the 25th of April 1654, made between William Skynner, William Robinson, Samwell Gookin, Henry Seely, William Lisle, and Arthur Samuell, Esqrs, surviving Trustees nominated and appointed by three several Acts of Parliament for sale of several lands and estates forfeited to the Commonwealth, of the one part, and the petitioners of the other, the said Trustees did fully and absolutely grant alien bargain and sell to petitioners their heirs and assigns, all the messuage, &c., referred to above. Mr. Bailey certified that he found Elizabeth Parker widow, returned in the then book, last General List of Sequestered Persons, sequestered for recusancy. The Lancashire Commissioners mentioned in their accounts for 1653 the name of Richard Waring as tenant of the said premises.

So it was submitted for judgment whether petitioners should not be permitted to enjoy the said lands, and the sequestration thereof be removed.

fo. 943. Order of Reference to Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 945. Petition (copy), 29 July 1654.

fo. 947. Communication (copy) from Lancashire Commissioners, dated September 5, 1654, signed by E. Aspinwall and Ro. Massey, mentioning certain facts connected with the case, and remitting copies of examination taken at Wigan on the 25 August 1654.

fo. 949. Examination of Richard Waring of Eccleston, near Croston, aged 54, yeoman; of Alexander Parker of Penortham, yeoman, 45 years of age.

ff. 949 B, 951. Interrogatories to be administered in the case.

ff. 953, 4. Cross-examination of witnesses above.

fo. 956. Certificate of proceedings, taken in the case

extracted from the books 28 September 1654 by Tho. Bayley.

fo. 957. Certificate from the Lancashire Commissioners exhibiting the tenant's name and the rental of the premises (dated October 3, 1654), signed by Tho. Browne, Audr.

fo. 959. Petition (26 July 1654); Case referred to Mr. Reading.

#### Zeannett Parker.

(First Series, vol. xvii., No. 487, fol. 379.)

fo. 379. Petition from John Crosse, yeoman, which showed that Thomas Bacchouse and Gyles Parker deceased in their lifetime possessed certain closes of land in Morrley, co. Lancaster, as tenants in common by lease from William, then late Earl of Derby, deceased, for a term of three lives, one whereof was then in being; they enjoyed the lands during their lives in common. After their deaths the lands by several assignments came to the possession of petitioner and Jeannett Parker, deceased, which Jeannett being a recusant two thirds of one moiety were sequestered for her recusancy; she dying without making any assignment, the said moiety ought to have come to petitioner, he being the surviving assignee and in possession of the whole at the death of the said Jeannett his partner, and having by order of the then late Committee for Lancashire enjoyed the same until the preceding year, when the lands were re-sequestered. He prayed that the same might be freed from sequestration or for an order to the Commissioners to examine and certify the whole business (10 April 1651). "Order."

### John Parker of Bradkirk.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fol. 927.)

fo. 927. Petition from Christopher Parker, of Bradkirk, which showed that petitioner's father John Parker then late of Bradkirke was in his lifetime seised of certain messuages lands tenements and hereditaments with the appurtenances called Rodhelme alias Raland Park [co: York] and of a water corn mill in Grindleton, in the same county, by virtue of a deed dated 2 January 18° King James I. [1621] for and until his first son should attain the age of 21 years; and he was likewise seised for his own life only in several messuages lying in Rigby in the county of Lancaster; that about five years previously the premises became sequestrated for the delinquency of the said John Parker, petitioner's father, who died in October 1651,1 having no larger estate in the premises than his life interest; yet notwithstanding his said father's name had been inserted in the then late Act for sale; whereupon petitioner, being his eldest son, put in his claim to the Commissioners for Removing Obstructions, and had his title reported and allowed as by their order appeared. Inasmuch as petitioner's father had only a life interest in the property and that petitioner's title had been allowed, he prayed for an order discharging the premises from sequestration or that his title might be examined, he retaining possession till the cause was heard on giving good security. (No date or order.)

fo. 926. Petition on same, 19 June 1653. Referred to Mr. Reading to report.

ff. 950-4. Papers relating to this case.

ff. 965-970. Report by Mr. Reading, dated 22 February 1653[-4].

ff. 971-999. Papers connected with the case, comprising certificates, examinations, &c.

(First Series, vol. viii., No. 190, fol. 135.)

fo. 135. Petition from Margaret Beesley, next heir to John Parker, a delinquent, deceased, which showed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He was buried at Kirkham, October 9, 1651. His will, dated September 8, 1651, was proved October 24 following.

that John Parker was seised in fee of the lands then in the bill of sale, and left two daughters, Jennett and Margarett, coheeres, and died, after which Jennett being seised married another John Parker the delinquent, and both Jennett and John the delinquent are dead without issue and the lands of right descended unto petitioner.

That the said John Parker was one of the persons comprised in the Act for sale of lands forfeited for Treason by the name of John Parker late of Bradkirke, gent., deceased, that the land was surveyed according to the Act and transmitted to your Honours (the Commissioners for Compounding) by the registers. She prayed that she might have the benefit of the said Act and be allowed to compound. Referred to Mr. Readinge (7° July 1653).

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3479, fols. 735, &c.)

fo. 735. Report by Mr. Readinge. According to an order of reference of the 5 July on a petition by Margaret Beesly next heir to John Parker, a delinquent comprised in the Act for sale of lands forfeited for treason, Mr. Reading found that the estate had been surveyed, and petition filed 7 July 1653. The petition alleged that John Parker was seised of lands, and dying, left two daughters, Jennett and Margaret, coheirs, after which Jennet being seised married another John Parker the delinquent, and both Jennett and John the delinquent were dead, without issue, and the lands of right descended to petitioner as coheir. Parker was seised of a barn and several parcels of land called Shitterick, lying in the township of Aughton in the parish of Mitton, and of the yearly value of £4 2. 4. It was also certified that the premises were then in the possession of the State and had been demised by the Lancashire Committee to one Dennis Key for 2 years from 1 January, 1651[-2]

at a rent of £3. 2. but worth £1. 1. 4. more. Fine, at two sixths, £25 (12 July 1653).

fo. 737. Petition of Margaret Beesley.

fo. 739. Order of reference to Mr. Readinge.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fols. 946, &c.)

fo. 946. Petition from William Parker, an infant, which showed that whereas William, then late Earl of Derby, by his indenture of lease dated 2 December 1º Charles I. [1625] demised to John Parker of Bradkirk, gent. (petitioner's grandfather), one capital messuage or tenement called Bradkirke, to have and to hold to him and his assigns for the term of their lives (two whereof were then in being) at a stated rent per annum, within a year after the date of the indenture of lease the said John Parker by his indenture assigned the same to certain friends in trust for the use of William Parker his son, and father of petitioner, for his life; remainder to the heirs of his body lawfully begotten. That during the lifetime of petitioner's grandfather the premises remained in his occupation and were sequestered for his recusancy and delinquency. As both grandfather and father were then lately dead and the premises ought to have come to petitioner, he prayed for the removal of the sequestration therefrom, 27 January 1651[-2]. "The Commissioners to ex: and certify and thereupon further order."

fo. 950. Petition 27 January 1651[-2]. Referred to Commissioners to examine and certify. Referred to Mr. Brereton.

fo. 954. Petition.

ff. 965-970. Report which was based upon an order of 4 May 1653, upon a petition of Christopher Parker above, desiring the removal of the sequestration from certain lands in Lancashire and Yorkshire which had been sequestrated for the delinquency of John Parker, petitioner's father. Mr. Reading found that by indenture

dated 2 [anuary 1620[-1] between Anthony Parker of Rodholme, co: York, gent., of the one part, and Richard Halsall, gent., and Robert Swinglehurst, gent., of the other, the said Anthony Parker, in consideration of a marriage then lately had between John Parker of Bradkirke in the county of Lancaster, gent., and Margt Parker, daughter of the said Anthony, and of the marriage portion and settlement of the estate, &c., the said Anthony did grant, enfeoffe, and confirm to the said Richard Halsall and Robert Swinglehurst and their heirs, his demesne lands, &c., in the county of York, &c., and all his right therein, and the reversion thereof, to have and to hold to them and their heirs for to the uses following-namely, to John Parker and Margaret and their assigns till the first son of the said John begotten on the body of the said Margaret should accomplish the age of 21 years and in default till the first daughter should attain a similar age; and after the said first son should attain the age of 21, then to his use and the heirs of his body, with several remainders over and with certain provisoes in favour of Anthony. He also found that by an indenture dated 18 January 14 Charles [1630], made between John Parker of Bradkirk, co: Lanc., gent., of the one part, and Richard Halsall and Wm. Bayllon, of the other, the said John Parker, in consideration of natural love and affection, granted and enfeoffed certain premises in Rigby to the above two, in trust to the use of the said John Parker for life, and after to the use of petitioner for ever. After a long review of the case he submitted to judgment whether petitioner should not be permitted to enjoy the premises and the sequestration thereof for his father's recusancy and delinquency discharged, he having hereunto but an estate for life, and was dead.

ff. 971-1000. Certificates. Communications from the Commissioners. Copies of examinations, &c.

#### John Parker.

(First Series, vol. lvii., No. 1825, fol. 349.)

fo. 340. Petition from Richard Sharples of Wilpshire fustian weaver and Margaret his wife, late wife and relict of Richard Parker of Lovely in the said county, gent, which showed that the said Richard Parker by indenture dated 10 August 1629 in consideration of a competent marriage portion of the said Margaret his then wife granted and assigned to Gilbert Gardner veoman and Thomas Gardner feltmaker and to their heirs one barn called New Barn and a garden and several parcells of land (names of fields mentioned) lying in Wilpshire aforesaid to have and to hold to them and their heirs during the life of Margaret, and for her only use for her life for her jointure and in lieu of her dower. That the Commissioners in Lancashire had then recently threatened to sequester the premises for the recusancy of John, son of Richard Parker above mentioned. Petitioner therefore prayed for an examination of his title; which was granted (8 March 1654[-5]).

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fol. 909.)

fo. 909. Order to assume possession on a contract for sale to Humfrey Kelsale, gent., dated 20 July 1654, of two capital messuages with lands and appurtenances and several other messuages, lands, &c., in the several occupations of Richard Walmesley, Rich. Osbolston, Eliza: Dewhurst, Robert Shaw, Richard Edmondson, Margaret Sallow, and Thomas Dewhurst, lying in the parish of Blackburne, then late the estate of John Parker, gent., above.

#### John Parker of Myerscough, Yeoman.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fol. 921.)

fo. 921. Petition, desiring to be admitted to compound for two thirds of his estate which had been

sequestrated for his recusancy only, 22 December 1653. Referred to Mr. Reading to report.

#### Thomas Parker of Graystonleigh.

(First Series, vol. xlix., fol. 911, &c.)

fo. 911. Order to assume possession in favour of Cuthbert Tildesley of Lancashire, gent., for a messuage and lands "in castell in Clidero," parcel of the above estate

fo. 957. Letter from the Commissioners at Drury House addressed to the Commissioners at Haberdashers' Hall, dated 8th June 1654, stating that they had received information that the estate of Thomas Parker (a person in the third Act for sale mentioned), lying in the county of Lancaster, sold by them to one William Woodward and afterwards by him assigned to one Cuthbert Tildesley, gent., then remained under sequestration, and that the Commissioners in the County (alleging the said estate to have been sequestrated in the names of the respective tenants and that therefore they could not discharge it as the estate of the said Thomas Parker), refused to deliver it up to Mr. Tildesley, notwithstanding the order sent to them in that case. As it was evident the said estate was the estate of the said Thomas Parker at the time it was sequestrated, and no claim had subsequently been made by any of the tenants to any part of the estate, they had thought fit to signify to the Commissioners at Haberdashers' Hall how matters stood, and desired that they would grant Mr. Tildesley their positive order for taking off the sequestration so that he might enjoy the profits, &c., according to his contract. Signed by

WM. SKYNNER.

ARTHUR SAMWELL.

SAM: GOOKIN. HENRY SEALEY.

WI: LISLE.

# Thomas Parker, Esq., Son of Lord Morley and Mounteagle.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1519, fols. 931, &c.)

Papers connected with a petition desiring for the above a fifth part of the profits arising from his father's estate, which was wholly sequestered 29 July 1651. "The Commissioners are to allow a fifth as desired according to instructions."

Thomas Parker. See also under John Sherburne.

#### Milliam Parker, Gent.

(First Series, vol. lvii., No. 1820, fols. 131, &c.)

ff. 131, 132. Report by Wm. Steele dated 25 June 1649 by which it appeared that the matter had been referred to him by an order of the London Committee. He found by a certificate sent up from the Committee at Blackburn dated 8 December 1648 in obedience to an order of the 16 February 1647[-8] of the then late Committee of Lords and Commons for Sequestrations upon a former petition of John Sherborne, gent., concerning the capital messuage called Woolfhall and divers other lands in the county of Lancaster, which said Committee of Lancaster certified that the premises had been sequestrated for the delinquency of one William Parker, gent. They had summoned the said William Parker to appear before them and show by what title he had entered upon and enjoyed the premises; whereupon some writings were produced in his behalf the better to manifest his title thereto, and there were divers other writings showed also on behalf of the petitioner, and the Committee returned the contents of the said writings on both sides produced and the petitioner's title therein in manner following:-That John Sherborne of Woolfhall aforesaid, gent., by indenture dated 27 February 8° Ch. I. [1633] in consideration of a competent sum of money, granted

and sold to Richard Parkinson and Anthony Wilkinson their heirs and assigns for ever, the manor of Chiping cum pertinentibus with all his lands elsewhere in England to have and to hold to them, their heirs, and assigns absolutely for ever, with warranty against John Sherborne his heirs and assignees for ever, and a covenant for a further assurance; which deed was enrolled in Chancery on 28 February 1632[-3]. The said John Sherborne, Richard Parkinson and Anthony Wilkinson by their indenture dated I March 8 Carol: [1633] for divers good considerations therein mentioned conveyed and granted the said manor, lands and premises to John Sherburne of Elaston [co: Stafford] his heirs and assigns for ever with a covenant for further assurance, which deed was enrolled 2 March 1632[-3]. After the former estates passed as aforesaid the said John Sherburne of Woolfhall in consideration of a competent sum of money by indenture dated 9 August 14 Carol: [1638] conveyed and granted to Richard Sherborne, Esq., the manor of Chipping cum pertinentibus to have and to hold to the said Richard Sherborne his heirs and assigns for ever, with a covenant that he was seised in fee or of other good estate of inheritance of and in the premises and that he had a good right and title to sell the same (the estate of Elizabeth Cartmell widow, Isabell wife of Thomas Helme, gent., and Anne wife of Robert Elston, gent., and all leases made to tenants for lives or years, which had been duly executed, excepted) with a covenant for further assurance, and that all fines and recoveries executed and to be executed of the premises should enure to the use of the said Richard Sherborne his heirs and assigns for ever; which deed was enrolled before the Justices at Lancaster 23 August 14 Carol: [1638]. Also the said John Sherborne of Woolfhall by indenture tripartite dated 11 August aforesaid covenanted to pass a fine of the premises to Richard Sherborne, Esq., and that the said Richard Sherborne after the said fine

14

levied should stand seised of the premises to the use of him and his heirs till a common recovery might be thereof had, and that the said Richard Sherborne might be tenant in the said recovery; which fine and recovery passed after at the Assizes at Lancaster 20 August 14 Caroli [1638] as by the transcript appeared. Also that the said Richard Sherborne by indenture dated 10 June 17 Caroli [1641] in performance of the trust reposed in him by William Parker granted and conveyed to the said William Parker his heirs and assigns for ever all the premises aforesaid in as large and ample a manner as they had been granted to him (livery and seisin endorsed) and John Sherborne gave his acquittance to William Parker dated 26 September 1638 for £280 in part of the consideration for purchase of the premises granted to Richard Sherborne aforesaid. Also the said William Parker contracts with Elizabeth Cartmell widow, then late wife of Roger Sherborne, for part of the premises then held by her in right of her jointure or dower; she thereupon granted the same to him during her life in consideration of £10 a year; which Elizabeth was then since dead. And also Thomas Helme and Isabell his wife, then late wife of Robert Sherborne, together with one Leonard Clarkson by indenture dated 6 August [no year given] conveyed her jointure of part of the premises to the said William Parker and his assigns paying her £15 a year during life. The like grant was likewise made to the said William Parker of that part of the premises limited in jointure to Anne wife of Robert Elston, gent., and then formerly wife of Henry Sherborne, gent., for and in consideration of £120 paid by him. Then William Parker by indenture dated 18 August 14° Caroli [1638] to the intent that John Sherborne of Woolfall might become perfect [tenant?] of all the premises and that a perfect recovery might then be had, did grant and surrender all his estate term and title to the said John Sherborne to have and to hold to him his heirs

and assigns for ever, with a proviso that if the said John Sherborne should not pay £2000 to William Parker his executors or assigns on the 29th September then next, then the indenture to be void.

John Sherborne of Elaston having a grant of the premises as aforesaid from John Sherborne of Woolf-

hall, Richard Parkinson and Anthony Wilkinson did convey the same to John Keling, Esq., Robert Francis, and Robert Shirborne, gent., as was alleged, but the conveyance was not produced, but offered by Robert Sherborne to be produced in London where he affirmed it was. Also Mr. Keling, Mr. Francis and Mr. Robert Sherborne by indenture dated 26 June, 16 Caroli [1640] did grant the premises to Robert Sharples for seven years, who thereupon brings an action of ejectment at the assizes at Lancaster 7 August 16 Caroli [1640] against William Parker, Dorothy his wife, and John Sherborne of Woolfhall, and at the same Assizes declared against the said William Parker and Dorothy his wife that they together with the said John Sherborne had ejected him forth of the premises to which the said William Parker and Dorothy his wife appeared. Afterwards the said William Parker exhibited his bill in equity for relief in the premises and obtained an injunction for stay of the suit at law; and after divers orders both in the Chancery for the County Palatine at Lancaster and the Dutchy at Westminster it was by an order of the Dutchy 15 February 1641[-2] ordered, for that the matters in question were of that nature, that the Court would not determine thereof without a trial at law touching the title of the lands at the then next Assizes to be holden at Lancaster the injunction then formerly granted notwithstanding; and in case the trial should fall out for the defendants, execution thereof to stay till further orders. On the 29 March then following William Parker did make oath before Serjeant Whitfeild, then one of the Justices of Assizes at Lancaster, that before he was served with the order aforesaid he had sent one Henry Stocton to London, who was a material witness in the cause, and that since he had not seen him. Notwithstanding which on Monday in the Assize week in Lent 18 Caroli [1642-3] a rule was given and entered in open court that William Parker and his wife should plead to the said ejectment upon Tuesday before eight in the afternoon as of the then last Assizes or otherwise should show cause to the contrary; and upon the Tuesday another rule was given that they should plead that day for that the issue might be tried that Assize or else a "Nihil dicit" to be entered. And upon Wednesday following no plea coming in, judgment was given upon the "Nihil dicit." And in April then next after a writ de libere fac possessionem term . . . and a writ ad inquire de damn was granted against the said William Parker and his wife.

Afterwards by Order of the Duchy between the said parties dated 9 May 1642 for the reason expressed therein, upon the motion of Mr. Parker's counsel informing that the executions were sued forth upon the said judgment notwithstanding the order in restraint thereof, it was ordered that counsel should be heard upon both sides that day serving it, and in the meantime all proceedings upon the said execution to stay and be forborne, and publication of all depositions formerly taken after that day to stand granted. At the Assizes at Lancaster on Monday the 5th of September following (18 Caroli) [1642] it was ordered that Robert Sharples should bring a new original as of that Assize and thereupon Mr. Parker and his wife to plead for that the issue might be tried at the then next Assize and in the interim execution of the judgment aforesaid upon the "Nihil dicit" to stay; and upon the Thursday following the like order was made that Sharples should bring a new original and the defendants Parker and his wife should appear before the first of October then next after and plead for that the issue might be tried at the then next Assize and in the meantime execution

of the said judgment given upon the "Nihil dicit" to stay till the issue aforesaid should be tried. And it further appeared by the said certificate that by an indenture dated 26 August 1641 William Parker did grant to John Sherborne of Woolfhall in consideration of the purchase of the manor of Chipping cum pertinentibus one rent charge of £10 per annum issueable out of the premises during his life and to keep him with meat drink lodging and apparel and the keeping of one horse or mare; all which was set forth in the said certificate of the Committee annexed to the report. So it was submitted to judgment-in regard of the evidence produced by the petitioner, who being a recusant opposed by that means the State only as to a 3rd part the petitioner might not be left to his remedy in law or equity to impeach if he can the title of William Parker the delinquent and in the then meantime the possession to continue as it did with the Committee. The deed was not produced from John Sherborne to John Keeling.

fo. 133. Communication dated at Blackburne 8 December 1648, signed by Richard Shutleworth, Peter Egerton and John Starkie, mentioning the steps they had taken in the case and enclosing abstracts of the writings which they had seen, which are set out on folios 135-138.

fo. 140. Petition, in which petitioner mentions that the matter had been examined, and certified but not determined; he therefore prayed that his cause might be speedily heard.

fo. 141. Order referring the case to the Attorney General or Mr. Steele (15 June, 1649).

fo. 144. On the back of this set of papers the following memorandum occurs:—

#### JOHN SHERBORNE.

Peticon ordr & Report. 21 Janry, 1649.

In the case of John Sherborne a Recusant upon the report of Mr. Steele and the certificate from the Com-

mittee of Lancaster by whom Mr. Secretary was . . . wherein the title appeares to have byn long in suit and the proceedings att Law at large recyted.

It is thought fitt and ordered that the title be left to the law and the possession to contynue in the hands of the sequestrators as it was in the delinquent at the time of Sequestration. Until the matter be determined att law—the Committees to be responsible for the mesne pfitts rec: by them.

fo. 191. Petition (30 November 1654). Referred to Mr. Reading.

fo. 202. Report by Mr. Reading, dated 5th April 1653 on the petition of John Sherburne for an allowance of a rent charge of £10 out of the lands of William Parker of Woolfall. After briefly reviewing the case he submitted to judgment whether the petitioner should not be either permitted to enjoy the grounds allotted by the then late Committee of Lancashire in lieu of the £10, or else be permitted to enjoy the said rent charge.

fo. 211. Order referring case to Mr. Reading (11 June 1652).

fo. 213. Order referring case to the Lancashire Commissioners (19 June 1651).

ff. 215-216. Copy petition.

fo. 217. Communication from Lancashire Commissioners enclosing (ff. 219-222) examinations.

fo. 224. Petition.

fo. 225. Petition relating to the £10.

#### (First Series, vol. lvii., No. 1820, fol. 204.)

fo. 204. Petition from Robert Sherburne, gent., which alleged that petitioner was rightfully seised of and in the manor of Chipping *alias* Chippin, with the appurtenances co. Lanc., and of and in the capital messuage and the demesne lands thereto belonging, with the appurtenances called Woolfhouse *alias* Woolfhall in Chipping aforesaid and of a water corn mill and a kiln there.

The said estate became unduly sequestered as the estate of William Parker, gent., who was one of the persons named in the then last Act for Sale. Petitioner applied himself to the Commissioners for Removing Obstructions, who had admitted his claim and interest of and to the said premises; his title was also ready to be reported; he therefore prayed that he might be allowed to receive the rents upon good security till the cause was heard (26 October 1653).

"Report to be heard to-morrow month; petitioner to receive rents and profits on security being given for 6 weeks, but if he did not within that time produce to the Court below an allowance of this claim then to remand the rents and profits so received and to proceed to levy the same."

#### (First Series, vol. xx., No. 583, fol. 666.)

fo. 666. Petition from Robert Dobson of Chippinge which showed that the Commissioners of Sequestration in Lancashire had "surveyed and posted" the estate of William Parker of Woolfhall, gent., a delinquent, and petitioner at the "boxing" thereof being the highest bidder the Commissioners let the same to him for a term of seven years commencing from 2 February 1650 [-1] as by their certificate and lease appeared. Inasmuch as petitioner had been put to much expense in reparations, fencing and manuring, he prayed for an order for the confirmation of the said lease.

Signed by Tho. Turner for petitioner (27 May 1653). "Wee cannot at present order any thing herein."

#### (First Series, vol. xxxii., No. 1007, fols. 448, &c.)

fo. 448. Petition from Thomas Helme of Goosnargh which showed that petitioner in right of his wife, she being the relict of Robert Sherborne of Woolfhall in the county of Lancaster, had certain lands as her jointure out of the demesnes of Woolfhall, set forth

during her life which jointure with all the estate belonging to the said Sherborne had been by the succeeding heir sold to one William Parker, for whose delinquency and recusancy the said whole estate was sequestered, by reason of which petitioner had been dispossessed of his wife's jointure, although he (petitioner) was and had been conformable to the laws of the Commonwealth; he therefore prayed an order to examine the premises (29 April 1651). "To ex: and certify."

fo. 449. Report by Mr. Peter Brereton, based upon an order of 22 December 1651, founded on the above petition. He found that by an indenture dated 6 August 1638 Thomas Helme the petitioner, Isabell his wife, formerly wife of Robert Sherborne then late of Woolfhall, in Sherborne Mere within the township of Chippin in the County of Lancaster, and Leonard Clarkson of Chippin aforesaid, in consideration of a certain sum of money and of the rent reserved, granted and set over to one William Parker all that portion of that capital messuage in Sherborn Meare aforesaid and of all lands, tenements, rents, services, and hereditaments, of the said Robert Sherborn, her said late husband, and which the said Thomas Helme, Isabell his wife, and Leonard Clarkson or any of them had as in right of the said Isabell, to have and to hold to the said William Parker, his heirs, executors and assigns during the life of the said Isabell and during all such right and state, title of dower, interest or demand, which they or any of them had, yielding and paying during the natural life of the said Isabell unto such person or persons, as by William ], Esquire, should be nominated £15 Houghton of [ a year, at Pentecost and Martinmas, to and for the maintenance of the said Isabell as by the counterpart of the said indenture (produced) most fully appeared—and by an endorsement on the said counterpart it appeared to have been agreed between the said parties before the ensealing thereof, that the said £15 per annum should be

paid to the said Leonard Clarkson and his assigns, to be employed for the maintenance of the said Isabela and performance of covenants contained in certain indentures dated the 8th of April 1635, made between the said Thomas Helme of the one part and the said Leonard Clarkson and one William Sprumelehurst then deceased of the other.

Richard Thorneley, one of the witnesses, deposed that upon request he drew a deed, whereof the indenture produced was a counterpart, and that the said counterpart was in his (deponent's) handwriting, and that he had endorsed his name on the back side of the said deed and counterpart as a witness of the sealing and delivery thereof by the said William Parker, Thomas Helme (the petitioner) and the other parties thereto.

John Sherborne deposed to the sealing and delivery of the indenture of lease dated 6 August 1638 by petitioner, his wife, and Leonard Clarkson, to the said William Parker.

The Commissioners of Lancashire together with the said Examinations taken in pursuance of an order of 29 April 1651 certified the 10th of December following that the demesnes of Woolfhall out of which the jointure, in the petition mentioned, was then formerly assigned, were then under sequestration for the delinquency and recusancy of the said William Parker.

So it was submitted to judgment whether the said £15 per annum ought not to be allowed, proof being first made before the said Commissioners that the said Isobell was then living, and the petitioner Thomas Helme and the said Leonard Clarkson deposing that they knew not any reason whatsoever why the same ought not to be paid. (7 August 1652.)

fo. 451. On motion made (22 December 1651) the matter was referred to Mr. Brereton to report.

fo. 453. Petition copy.

fo. 455. Examinations taken at Preston 27 May

1652, before Peter Holt, Robt. Cunliffe, and G. Pigott, of Richard Thorneley of Chipping, yeoman, and

fo. 456. of John Sherborne of Woolfhall, gent.

fo. 459. Communication dated at Preston 10 December 1651, signed by Edw. Aspinwall and G. Pigott, enclosing copies of the examinations taken and mentioning other matters connected with the case.

(First Series, vol. lvii., No. 1820, fols. 293, &c.)

fo. 293. Order on the petition of John Sherborne referring his matter to Mr. Attorney General or Mr. Steele (15 June 1649). Two affidavits on this document, one sworn by Rowland Vaughan (20 July 1653), the other by Robert Sherburne (23 December 1653).

fo. 296. Order by the Barons directing the question of title to be left to a settlement at law.

fo. 297. Petition (copy); no date on it.

fo. 299. Affidavit of James Massey, gentleman, sworn 16 February 1647[-8] in which he deposed that about six years then ago he had been employed as solicitor for John Sherburne, gent., and others against William Parker in a suit which ended in an order directed to the sheriff of Lancashire to put Mr. Sherburne into possession of the manor of Wolfhouse and the lands thereunto belonging, which document (writ) he (deponent) delivered into the hands of Thomas Danson, gent., then under sheriff of the county of Lancaster to execute, and he neglected to do so.

fo. 301. Order referring case to the Lancashire Committee (16 February 1647[-8]).

fo. 302. Petition (copy) relating to this part of the proceedings.

fo. 303. Duplicate of James Massey's affidavit.

fo. 304. Letter dated at Blackborn 8 December 1648, signed by Ric. Shuttleworth, Peter Egerton, and John Starky, mentioning proceedings taken before and by them, enclosing copies of examinations and referring to other points in the case.

ff. 305-308. Abstracts of indentures and proceedings. fo. 309. Affidavit of Richard Kinge, of Preston, gentleman, that he together with Evan Wall, of Preston also, gentleman, then formerly Clerk to the Committee for Sequestration and at the time of these proceedings Clerk to the Commissioners, and James Asheton, man of Evan Wall's, examined several papers (folios 30-58) and that they were true copies of the papers then remaining on the file with the said Mr. Wall, who kept the books and papers of the then late Committee and the then Commissioners; he further deposed that he knew there had been suits and controversies going on for many years in the Common Pleas at Lancaster concerning the title of the mansion called Woolfhall, the demesne lands. &c., and that the suits had been very earnestly prosecuted by John Sherburn, gentleman, and Robert his son and others on his behalf and that the said suits had been long and violently defended by William Parker, gent., and others on his behalf. He believed there had been great charges expended in trying the title, which had been done at the then last assizes held at Lancaster (date of affidavit 13 July 1653), and believed a verdict was obtained in the case. He also said he well knew James Massey, gentleman, an attorney at law in his lifetime and that the said Mr. Massey died about two years then since. At foot affidavit of Robert Sherburne making oath that he was present when this affidavit was sworn, and said that the above Richard King was an inhabitant of the county of Lancaster.

ff. 275-282. Report by Mr. Reading dated 28 December 1653 based on an Order of the 13th of September previously on the petition of Robert Sherburne, gentleman, desiring the possession of the manor of Chipping and of the capital messuages and demesnes in Chipping, called by the name of the Woolfhall, and a mill and other messuages and lands thereunto belonging, then formerly allowed by the Commissioners for Removing Obstructions, which premises stood sequestered for the

delinquency of William Parker, who as alleged by petitioner had unjustly intruded into possession. After reviewing the case at great length he simply said that "that was all he could find in the case, which he humbly submitted to judgment."

fo. 283. Order referring matter to Mr. Reading. fo. 285. Copy petition. fo. 287. Affidavit of Thomas Rothwell of Newton, yeoman. fo. 289. Same of Henry Gerrard of Newton, gentleman, and fo. 291. of Robert Sherburne, petitioner.

## Anne Parkinson.1

(First Series, vol. c., No. 4001, fol. 224.)

fo. 224. Letter dated at Wigan, 22 May 1654, mentioning that in observance of an order of the 8th September 1653 in the case of James Partington, desiring the discharge of two parts of the moiety of a tenement in Haigh, sequestered for the recusancy of Anne relict of William Parkinson, then wife of Samuel Mellor, they had taken the examination of the petitioner, of John (sic) Mellor, and of Ann his wife, who severally swore that there was not any consideration given to the said Anne to release her interest in the premises to him, nor any trust in him to her use; whereupon they discharged the sequestration and sent copies of the depositions as by the said order was required.

[These documents are missing.]

## Cuthbert Parkinson, Thomas Barton, and Edward Tatham, of Tunstall, co. Lanc.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 623.)

fo. 623. Petition which showed that petitioners in 1651 set forth a just title to certain grounds lying in Thurland and Tunstall and that the Commissioners had referred the same for examination and certifica-

tion; which had been done. They therefore now prayed for an order to state and report the case to their honours; which was granted 16 July 1652.

fo. 626. Original petition.

Cutbbert Parkinson. See also John Girlington, Henry Walmestey.

## Edward Parkinson, of Miswall, Busbandman.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 609.)

fo. 609. Petition, in which it is stated that two thirds of his estate had been sequestrated for petitioner's recusancy. Referred to Mr. Reading. (28 December 1653.)

#### Ellen Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. xcix., No. 3950, fols. 235, &c.)

Letter dated at Wigan 15 June 1653, signed by E. Aspinwall, Nicholas Cunliffe and Ro: Massey, mentioning that in observance of an order made on the petition of John Burne, of Lower Wyresdale, touching two thirds of the moiety of a messuage and tenement in Grisdale [in Wyersdale] sequestered for the recusancy of Ellen Parkinson in the petition named, they had examined witnesses on behalf of petitioner and cross-examined them on behalf of the Commonwealth, copies of which examination with the interrogatories they enclosed.

ff. 235-237. Interrogatories administered to Edward Blackburne of Tarniker, William Gardner of Garstang, and William Baynes of Netherwyresdale.

fo. 237. Interrogatories on behalf of the Commonwealth to the same witnesses.

(First Series, vol. cxiii., No. 6155, fol. 352.) fo. 352. (Totally destroyed by damp.)

(First Series, vol. lxxvii., No. 2367, fols. 259, &c.)

fo. 259. Petition from John Burne of Lower Wirsdale, which, referring to previous proceedings, mentions

that petitioner had an order from their Honours to the Lancashire Commissioners to examine and certify what they know or could find touching the interest of petitioner upon the estate claimed by petitioner, and signed by one Parkinson (12 July 1653). Referred to Mr. Brereton to report.

fo. 261. A second petition (22 May 1655) referring to his claim so long depending for the clearing of the estate of Robert Parkinson of Grisdale then deceased but that he had not made out a claim to satisfy the Commissioners; he therefore prayed for an order allowing him to offer further proof, which was conceded.

fo. 263. Petition (10 May 1653), which states his whole case and had been referred to Mr. Brereton to report.

## John Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. lxxxi., No. 2550, fols. 104, &c.)

fo. 104. Petition from Thomas Graunt of London, gent., disclosing that petitioner in July 1653 purchased of the Trustees for Sale of the Delinquents' Estates amongst other things a messuage lying in the manor of Claughton, then late parcel of the estate Thomas Brockholes, Esq., and in the then tenure of John Barnes; also a parcel of land, parcel of the demesne of Claughton called the Little New Hey, in the tenure of John Parkinson. Petitioner had long before these proceedings paid the whole purchase money and had the order for discharging the sequestration, but the Lancashire Commissioners found a pretence that the said tenement had been sequestered for the recusancy or delinquency of one Richard Walmesley, and that the parcel was sequestered for the recusancy of one John Parkinson and not as that of Thomas Brockholes, though really his and should have been petitioner's. The Lancashire Commissioners acting on their opinion had seized and taken away several of petitioner's cattle for the rent, and although petitioner

offered good security to pay the rent if it should turn out this was not included in his purchase and had tried to replevin the stocks, still they (the Commissioners) threatened to sell the cattle contrary to law and declined to surrender them to petitioner. He therefore prayed for an order of discharge from sequestration according to his purchase, and restitution to him of his cattle. (7 November 1654.) Commissioners to certify.

ff. 105-114. Other documents connected with the case.

#### John Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fo. 531.)

fo. 531. Deposition of Richard Parkinson of Swaynsford who said that John Parkinson and his servants, being in number five persons, did dig or delve moss in the Brood feild in the vaccary of Swayneshead contrary to an order of the Commissioners for Sequestrations for the County of Lancaster, and that the moss dug by the said Parkinson his agents and servants within the said vaccary was to the damage of Mr. Fanshaw and Mr. Clayton, farmer for the said vaccary to the Commonwealth, at least thirty pounds.

(First Series, vol. xv., No. 397, fols. 53, &c.)

fo. 53. Deposition of Thomas Clayton of Lentworth, junior, in the county of Lancaster, age 22 years, that Edward Harrison and John Corles their agents and servants did digg or delve a great quantity of mosse or turbary in a certeyn feild within the vacary of Swaynshead called Broad feild contrary to an order of the Commissioners for Sequestrations for the County of Lancaster strictly forbidding them and other persons against whom there is a suite depending before the Commissioners at Haberdashers' Hall to digg any mosse within the sayd vacary untill the said case depending was heard and determined. And hee doth

further sweare that the mosse digged by the sayd Harrison and Corles their agents and servants within the sayd vacary was to the dammage of Mr. Fanshaw and Mr. Clayton, who farmed the sayd vacary from the Commonwealth, at least fourty pounds. Thomas Clayton "Sworne before the Commissioners. 15 June 1653. R.M."

## John Parkinson, Claughton, Husbandman.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 611.)

fo. 611. Petition. Sequestered for recusancy only. 28 December 1653. Referred to Mr. Reading.

#### Laurence Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fols. 529, &c.)

fo. 529. On contract for sale dated 18 April 1653 order to take possession of a capital messuage called Swineshead Hall with several messuages or tenements lying in Upper Wyersdale in the county of Lancaster then in the occupations of Thomas Clayton, John Proctor, Jane Winder, Robert Benson, Robert Ceer(?), Christopher Cawthorne, Edward Driver, Edward Dikes, William Bonde, Widdow Fox, Thomas Cooper, Richard Clerkson, Robert Snape, Wm. Luyfield, Isabell Welsh, James Fisher, Kath: Fox, and Robert Threlford, late parcel of the estate of the above sold to Thomas Clayton, gent.

(First Series, vol. xv., No. 397, fols. 63, &c.)

fo. 63. Petition from Thomas Clayton, of Lentworth, gent., which showed that the Commissioners of Sequestration in Lancashire in accordance with an order from London leased to petitioner for 7 years a messuage and lands called Swineshead for considerations mentioned in an indenture dated I January 1651[-2], being the possessions of one Lawrence Parkinson a delinquent.

Prayed for a confirmation of the lease. 2 November 1652. "Commissioners to certifie."

fo. 66. Petition which showed that the then late

Queen [Elizabeth] by her indenture bearing date in Hilary term in the 44 year of her reign [1601-2] demised to one Thomas Parkinson of Wyersdale, gent., a vaccary in Wyersdale called Swyneshead with the appurtenances for the lives of Laurence Parkinson, Nicholas Parkinson, and Dorothy Orrell, daughter of Francis Orrell of Farrington in the said county of Lancaster, gent., and for the life of the survivor of them, paying yearly £3 16. 8. The said lease had come by assignment to Laurence Parkinson, who during the wars became a delinquent, and the estate was sequestrated about the year 1643, and since that date farmed by petitioner from the Lancashire Commissioners "att a very high rate." Petitioner showed that George Harrison, Elizabeth Gervys, widow, William Gervys, John Corless, Edward Harrison, William Curwen, John Parkinson, John Bourne, Andrew Snape, William Leigh, and Edwin Winder, pretending to have some interest therein from the said Laurence Parkinson, had dug and delved several mosse dales of turbary within the same and had carried away great quantities of turf then dug to their several houses, standing far off from the premises, the said Thomas Parkinson having no such power granted to him by the original lease; whereby the said vaccary is much wasted and destroyed by their digging and delving turftes, and petitioner wholly disabled from paying his rent. Petitioner had made endeavours the preceding year to prevent the waste committed in the said vaccary, and had been threatened by several of the said persons to be sued at law and had then at the last Assizes been put to very great charges by them, though by the original lease they had no colour for their unjust proceedings.

Prayed that his grievance might be referred to the Lancashire Commissioners for examination, and other matters connected with the lease. "15 Décember 1652. Commissioners to have a copy of the petition and to certifie forthwith in the case."

(First Series, vol. xcix., No. 3951, fols. 243, &c.)

fo. 243. Letter from Preston dated 26 May 1653 signed by E. Aspinwall, Nicholas Cunliffe, Ro: Massey mentioning that by an order of the 1st March then last made upon the petition of John Fanshaw of Paslow, Essex, Esq., wherein he alleged that he was justly entitled a certain pasture ground called the Calder otherwise called the vaccarie in Bleasdalle being then newly sequestrated as the estate of Laurence Parkinson, they were required to certify the cause and grounds of the sequestration with the time when sequestered. In observance thereof they certified that in November then last an indenture of assignment, being then produced before the then Commissioners for Sequestrations here, dated 26 November 11° Jacobi [1613], whereby Mathew Baron of Southweeld assigns over to Laurence Parkinson the said vaccary with all his interest and term of years therein, namely for 40 years commencing from the feast of Easter 1619, and the said Parkinson being under sequestration for popery and delinquency they gave order for securing thereof as by the order (enclosed) appeared. They found by the records of the former Committee in the year 1646 that the said John Fanshaw was admitted to compound for his estate, the same being under sequestration for his delinquency, and that so much as he then compounded for was mentioned in a particular exhibited by him (a copy sent) and the same discharged by order from the Committee at Goldsmiths' Hall 16 March 1646[-7], but wherein they did not find this estate called Calder to be mentioned. So they humbly desired their Honours' resolutions for their further proceedings, and whether if it should not fully appear that Parkinson had an estate therein during the term aforesaid yet it being then alleged to be the said Fanshaw's estate and having been omitted in his composition it ought to be sequestered as his estate; which they left to the further consideration of the Commissioners above.

fo. 241. Order sequestrating the pasture. Particular filed by John Fanshaw 16 March 1646[-7] representing it to be of his estate.

(First Series, vol. xxvi., fols. 117, &c.)

fo. 117. Report on a petition of John Fanshawe of Parselle, in the county of Essex, desiring that the sequestration might be discharged of a pasture ground in Blithsdale, county of Lancaster, by which it appeared that the case had been referred to the Lancashire Commissioners to examine and certify the cause and grounds of sequestration, also the date. They certified (26 May 1653) that in the then last November an indenture of assignment was produced before them, dated 26 November 1613, wherein Matthew Barron assigned to the said Laurence Parkinson a vaccary called Coldar in Blesdale in the said county, with his interest and term of years therein, which appears by the recital of a former lease thereof mentioned in the assignment to have been for 41 years commencing from Easter 1629, which term had not then expired; and the said Parkinson being under sequestration for popery and delinquency they gave orders for securing the estate. They also certified that in the year 1646 petitioner compounded for his estate then under sequestration for his own delinquency, and that so much as was comprised in his particular was discharged, wherein they did not find the estate called Coldar to be mentioned, and they desired the resolution of the Commissioners above whether if it should appear that Parkinson had an estate therein during the term aforesaid, yet it being alleged to be petitioner's estate, and being omitted in his composition, it ought to be sequestered as his estate, which they left to the consideration of the Commissioners above. Reading found as follows. As to the title: It was certified by Mr. Jessop, Register of the Duchy Court, that among the records of the Duchy he found that Queen Elizabeth, the 28th June 1568, granted a pasture in Bleasdale called the Coldar *alias* a vaccary in Bleasdale, parcel of the ancient lands of the Duchy of Lancaster, to Laurence Parkinson and others from Easter then last past for 21 years under the yearly rent of 40s. That by another lease dated 20 April 1581, the said then late Oueen demised the premises to Robert Beale for 30 years from the expiration of the former lease under the same rent. That King James by another lease dated 8 December 1606 demised the premises to Sir Peter Young, Knt., for 31 years from Easter 1619 under the like rent, and he certified that he did not find that the said pasture was afterwards granted by lease, but he found that the said King James on the 21 March 1622[-3] granted the premises in fee farm to Edward Badbie and William Weldon, reserving the fee farm rent of 40s. He found by an indenture dated 20 December 1634, made between the said Edward Badby of London, Esq., on the one part, and William Fanshaw, Esq., deceased, Sir John Wolstenholme the younger, Knt., and Thomas Fanshaw of Jenkins, Esq., reciting the grant of the premises amongst other things by Letters Patent from the late King James dated 21 of March 1626[-7] to the said Edward Badbie and William Weldon, since deceased, and to their heirs and assigns for ever. The said Edward Badby for the considerations therein expressed granted, bargained, sold and confirmed to the said William Fanshawe, Sir John Wolstenholme, and Thomas Fanshaw, their heirs and assigns for ever, as by the said indenture then produced appeared. He found that by another indenture dated 12 November 1650 made between the said Sir John Wolstenholme and Thomas Fanshaw of Jenkins of the one part and petitioner on the other, reciting that whereas the said vaccary called Calder (amongst other things) was theretofore by the direction of the said William Fanshaw, Esq., petitioner's father.

conveyed and settled upon the said Sir John Wolstenholme and his heirs upon trust and to and for the benefit of William Fanshaw, the third son of the said William Fanshaw and his heirs, and that the said William Fanshaw the father was dead, and that William Fanshaw the son was supposed to be dead "in the parts beyond the seas," that petitioner was heir to William the son, by whose trust of and in the premises the same belonged to petitioner as brother and heir to William the son. For the better enabling of them the said Sir John Wolstenholme and Thomas Fanshaw to convey their whole estate in the premises unto the petitioner and his heirs according to the said trust, they the said Sir John Wolstenholme and Thomas Fanshaw had by their indenture dated 11 November 1650 bargained and sold the premises to Edward Alchorne, gent., from 1st November for 3 months. The said Sir John Wolstenholme and Thomas Fanshaw did by the said indenture of 12 November 1650 grant enfeoff release and confirm to petitioner and his heirs the said premises and the reversion and remainder, &c., to have and to hold to the petitioner his heirs and assigns for ever, to the only use and behoof of the petitioner his heirs and assigns, with a covenant therein contained on the behalf of the petitioner, that if William Fanshaw were living and should return to England then petitioner should reconvey the premises to him.

It was deposed by petitioner that at the time of his composition at Goldsmith Hall he had no estate in the premises, but that the same then belonged to his brother William Fanshaw, who as petitioner had heard and verily believed was dead in parts beyond the seas; and said that he, living in Essex and not knowing of the time of expiration of the said lease, had made search in the Duchy for the lease, thereby discovering that the leases were expired, and about Michaelmas then last went down into Lancashire to take possession, when Lawrence Parkinson confessed to petitioner that the

leases had expired, and deponent sealed the lease on the ground to recover possession, and then returned to London. Presently after information was given to the Commissioners there (in Lancashire) by one Captain Whitehead, who had formerly been a sequestrator there, that the premises should belong to Laurence Parkinson for some years then yet to come; whereupon the present Commissioners secured the same as aforesaid. Submitted to judgment whether the seizure should be any longer continued upon the premises (20 August 1653).

fo. 123. Certificate as to the proceedings taken in

the case.

fo. 125. Reference of the case to the Lancashire Commissioners (1 May 1652).

fo. 127. Petition (1 March 1652[-3]).

fo. 129. Communication dated at Preston 26 May 1653, signed by E. Aspinwall, Nicholas Cunliffe, and Ro: Massey, certifying with an order from above referred to in the report.

fo. 131. Communication dated at Preston 18 November 1652, signed by E. Aspinwall, John Sawrey, Robt Cunliffe, referring to an assignment produced before them, and stating that as (then) hitherto the estate had been concealed, they had given orders forthwith to seize it. At the foot of this document is—

"16 Martij 1646. A perticuler of the Estate of John Fanshaw of Parslow in ye County of Essex, Esq., compounded for remayneying upon Record at Goldsmiths' hall, vizt—

"Hee is seized of an Estate to him and his heirs of and in ye Manor of Great Singleton in ye County of Lancr weh he holds in Fee farme of the Dutchy of Lancr at the yearly rent of £16 15 0, and is worth yearly over and above the rent One hundred pounds (copy) 16 June 1653.

"Jo. LEACH."

fo. 133. Deposition of petitioner sworn before the court, 7 July 1653.

fo. 135. Extract referred to in the report taken from "Among the Records of the ye Duchie Court."

fo. 138. Affidavit of Thomas Goddard, one of the witnesses to the indenture dated 12 November 1650.

fo. 140. Affidavit of Thomas Fanshaw relative to the same document.

fo. 141. Petition (20 November 1652). "Refd to Commissioners in County and Mr. Reading to report."

fo. 149. Petition 1 March 1652[-3]. Same orders as preceding.

fo. 151. Petition (24 November 1652). Orders to send copy down to Lancashire and they to examine into the premises.

fo. 154. Copy of decision in his first petition.

"Thomas Fanshawe of Jenkins in the co. of Essex, Esq. His delinquency that being a Member of the honoble house of Commons he deserted the Parliament, and sate in the assembly at Oxford. He was in Barnstable at the surrender thereof, and comes in upon the Articles of Exeter. His estate in fee in possession £1062, for 88 years per annum £260, out of which issues for ever per annum £225, for 2 lives per annum £146. There is alsoe charged upon the Land £3950 which being allowed leaves the fine at a tenth £1300. But if he settle the Rectory of Westham valued at £80 per annum (vizt) £20 per annum upon Westham and the Remaynder upon Lowlayton for the tearme he hath therein, then to be allowed for the same £800, and the fine to remaine—£500."

fo. 157. Copy of Resolution of both Houses of Parliament and instructions to Mr. Solicitor General to prepare a pardon for petitioner, with a grant for the restoration of his lands, &c., on payment of such fine or further fine as both Houses of Parliament should appoint.

#### (First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 614.)

fo. 614. Petition from Frith Parkinson of Heloford, widow, which showed that her late husband, Lawrence

Parkinson, had been seized of a messuage or tenement in the parish of Goosnargh for lives. Two third parts were mentioned in the Act for Sale; she petitioned to be admitted to contract for the same. 15 June 1653. "Referred to Mr. Reading."

#### Peter Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. lxx., No. 2223, fols. 403, &c.)

fo. 403. Petition from Thomas Whittingham, Esq., disclosing that in former proceedings an order had been made directing the Lancashire Commissioners to examine witnesses as to proof of petitioner's title, and to certify. The same having been done petitioner now prayed that the matter might be referred to counsel to state and report, so that a hearing might be had. (6 July 1652.) Referred to Mr. Reading.

fo. 406. The original petition.

ff. 407-10. Report by Mr. Reading dated I October 1652. The subject-matter was that petitioner claimed that two third parts of a messuage and lands in Ashley within Whittingham, Co. Lanc., might be discharged, as the sequestration had been laid on for the recusancy of one Peter Parkinson, who had but a life estate in it. As Parkinson was dead, Mr. Reading found in favour of the petitioner.

fo. 411. Order referring case to Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 413. Petition (copy).

ff. 415-424. Various papers relating to the case—Certificates, examinations, &c.

#### Richard Parkinson of Swainesbead.

(Second Series, vol. xlii., No. 2503, fols. 399, &c.)

fo. 399. Delinquency, adhering to the forces raised against the Parliament: he petitioned 28 June 1649, and compounded upon a particular which disclosed

that he was seised for term of life of a tenement lying in Swaineshead of the yearly value of £6. Fine £18. (4 July 1649.)

## Richard Parkinson of Lancaster, Mercer.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 621.)

fo. 621. Petition, by which it appeared that petitioner had adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament in the war, and his small estate had been sequestered; that it was not worth £200 in real or personal estate. He prayed to be admitted to compound for the same. (11 May 1649. "Recd and Rested [sic] upon Tuesday next.")

## Thomas Parkinson of Chipping.1

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 619.)

fo. 619. Petition from Richard Parkinson of Chippinge which showed that his eldest brother Thomas was in his lifetime seised of four parcels of "Barren" land lying in Chipping for a term of 60 years. It was sequestered for the delinquency of Thomas, and after the death of Thomas of right it descended to petitioner, Thomas leaving neither wife nor child nor any others allied to him than petitioner: he therefore prayed to be admitted to compound for the said parcels. (No order, no date.)

## Thomas Parkinson of Insteld, Gentleman.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fols. 575, &c.)

fo. 575. Order to take possession of a messuage and lands called Infield, in the parish of Cloughton, late then parcel of the estate of Thomas Parkinson, sold to Ralph Longworth on the 29 June 1653.

fo. 577. Similar order in favour of Gilbert Mabbott, Esq., to take possession of several closes of land, lying

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Identified in the Calendar (iv. 3106) with Thomas of Infield.

in the parish of Chipping, co. Lanc., then in the occupation of Richard Parkinson or his assigns, parcel of the estate of the above, dated 18 May 1653.

fo. 618. Petition of the above Thomas Parkinson dated 19 April 1653, to be admitted to compound for certain parcels of land mentioned in a survey annexed to petition. Referred to Mr. Reading.

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3440, fols. 327, &c.)

fo. 327. Report of Mr. Readinge by which it appears that petitioner was one of the persons computed in the Act for Sale of Lands forfeited for Treason. The estate was surveyed, a return made 5th April 1653. Copy sent to Committee 16th same month, petition filed the 19th; by the survey he appeared to have been seized of a messuage in the parish of Cleighton with several parcels of lands worth yearly £10. 19. 4. The premises had been let year by year by the Sequestrators to Laurence Townl(e)y, at a rental of £10 a year, but were worth 19/4 more on improvement. Fine at  $\frac{2}{6}$ , £65. 16. (26 April 1653).

fo. 330. Petition.

fo. 331. Order to refer to Mr. Readinge.

#### William Parkinson.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fol. 601.)

fo. 601. Petition from Richard Parkinson of Overleigh, yeoman, which showed that two thirds of his estate was under sequestration for the recusancy of his father, William Parkinson, then deceased: he prayed in accordance with the Act to be admitted to contract for the said two third parts (28 December 1653). "Referred to Mr. Reading."

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1626, fols. 625, &c.)

fo. 605. Petition from Robert Parkinson of Overleigh, yeoman, which showed that Richard Parkinson of

Overleigh, and Jane Parkinson, his mother, did by good assurance dated the 5 June then instant (1654) demise to petitioner certain lands in Overleigh for a term of 31 years, for the payment of debts mentioned in a schedule to the said deed annexed, but as the lands had formerly been sequestered for the recusancy of William Parkinson, father of the said Richard and husband of Jane, petitioner could not enjoy the same without an order from the Commissioners above. Prayed therefore for an examination of his title. (June 30 1654.) "Referred to Mr. Reading to report and the Commissioners to examine and certify forthwith."

ff. 627-633. Report by Mr. Reading dated 2 November 1654.

fo. 635. Order referring case to Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 638. Copy petition.

fo. 639. Letter from Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 641. Certificate exhibiting annual value.

fo. 643. Certificate showing proceedings had.

fo. 645. Affidavit of William Sergeant of Auckliff, gentleman, aged 38 years, mentions several names of Parkinson.

fo. 647. Affidavit of Christopher Parkinson of the Manor, Co. Lanc., gentleman, who was son of the William Parkinson mentioned above.

(First Series, vol. lxxxv., No. 3012, fols. 427, &c.)

fo. 427. Interrogatories administered on behalf of Robert Parkinson of Ortner.

1st. Do you know the petitioner and Richard Parkinson, Jane Parkinson of Overleigh, and William, father of the said Richard, and then late husband of the said Jane?

2. Do you know that Richard Parkinson and Jane his mother did seal and sign and as their act and deed deliver to or to the use of petitioner the indenture of demise (showed to deponent) dated 5 June 1654, and were you by when the consideration moneys were paid?

- 3. Do you know the messuage, &c., demised? What number of acres? what annual value? was the premises sequestered for the popery of William Parkinson and was he alive or dead?
- 4. What sums of money, debts or "Child pts" were due and owing to you or to any other person? and to what person and whether are not the same debts and "Child pcons" still due?
- ff. 428-433. Examinations on the above of Luke Hodgkinson, gent., of Preston, 48 years old, Gabriel Hesketh of Goosnargh, gent., aged 58, William Gardner, of Cleevely, aged 54, John Edmondson of Mellowdale, husbandman, aged 25; Robert Parkinson of Ortenor in Wyersdall, yeoman, aged 25; Richard, late son of William Parkinson of Overleigh.
- fo. 434. Interrogatories administered on behalf of the Commonwealth to some of the same persons. All sworn at Preston 21 September 1654 before E. Aspinwall and Ro: Massey.
- fo. 439. Letter from Lancashire Commissioners accompanying depositions.

## Hugh Parr of Birkenbead, Gent.

(First Series, vol. xlviii., No. 1485, fols. 876, &c.)

- fo. 876. Petition which referring to some proceedings shows that the Lancashire Commissioners had received some rents belonging to petitioner which they ought not to have had; he prayed for a restitution of the same. Prayer granted. Order made to repay him 27 May 1653.
- fo. 883. Petition. fo. 885. Communication from Lancashire Commissioners dated Manchester, 30 January 1649[-50]. fo. 889. Petition (4th August 1652). fo. 891. Communication dated at Preston, 12 April 1651.

fo. 893. Examinations taken at Preston. Copy of letter from Lancashire Commissioners.

Bugb Parr. See also George Livesey.

## Katherine Parr of Rainbill.

(First Series, vol. ci., No. 4069, fols. 75, &c.)

fo. 8o. Letter dated at Ormeskirke December 26, 1651, signed by Edwd Aspinwall, Robt Cunliff, and G. Pigot, mentioning that in observance of the order of the 11 November then last, made upon the petition of William Potter the younger of Rainhill, they had taken several examinations in proof of the matter alleged in the petition (copies enclosed), and certified that the estate mentioned in the petition was in the year 1643 sequestrated for the recusancy of Katherine Parre of Rainhill, widowe, and had been since accordingly proceeded with. That she was dead about four years then ago, and that she had but an estate for life in it.

fo. 75. Examinations taken at Ormskirk, 25th December 1651, of William Wright of Cronton, yeoman, John Lathom of Whiston, gentleman.

ff. 76-77. Henry Sutton of Rainehill, gentleman; Richard Wadmough of Micklehead, and William Banner of Rainehill, shoemaker.

## Ralph Parre of Altham.

(First Series, vol. ci., No. 4071, fols. 101, &c.)

fo. 105. Letter dated at Preston 27 May 1653, signed by Nicholas Cunliffe and Ro: Massey, mentioning that in observance of the Order of the 8 March then last past, made upon the petition of Robert Wade the younger, they had the examinations of all the witnesses produced, and certified that the estate in the petition mentioned was in 1643 sequestrated for the delinquency of Ralph Parre of Altham named in the petition.

ff. 101-102. Interrogatories administered to witnesses. Examinations taken at Preston 27 May 1653 of Richard Craven of Dinckley, yeoman, and Anne Wade of Altham.

fo. 103. Interrogatories administered to witnesses on behalf of the Commonwealth.

(First Series, vol. lxvii., No. 2137, fols. 301, &c.)

fo. 301. Petition from Robert Wade the younger of Altham, yeoman, which showed that by an indenture dated 25 April 1638, petitioner for a valuable consideration demised to Ralph Parr of Newton in Makerfield a messuage or tenement lying in Altham, for a term of 15 years which when these proceedings were taken had expired. That notwithstanding this, the Commissioners for Sequestrations in the said County kept the same under sequestration for the recusancy and delinquency of the said Ralph Parr, who at this time had nothing to do with the premises; petitioner therefore prayed for an examination into his title, &c. 8 March 1652[-3]. Referred to Mr. Reading.

fo. 305. Report.—After briefly reviewing the facts of the case Mr. Reading submitted to judgment, "That the sequestration should be discharged." (8 March 1653[-4].) ff. 315-320. Official certificates.

fo. 321. Examinations of Richard Craven of Dinckley

and Anne Wade of Altham.

ff. 323A. Interrogatories administered.

For Lieut. William Parr see Thomas Clifton.

#### Ann Partington.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1613, fols. 266, &c.)

fo. 266. Petition from James Partington of Hey, husbandman, which showed that petitioner's late father by deed dated 2 November 1632, assigned to feoffees in trust the moiety of a messuage with the lands thereto belonging, for the use of his wife Ann and mother-in-law of petitioner, for her maintenance after her husband's

decease so long as she remained a widow. She about six months before the date of this petition remarried to one Samuel Mellor, by which act, according to the deed, petitioner ought to have had the premises, but could not gain possession as two thirds of it were under sequestration for the recusancy of the said Jane [? Ann]. He therefore prayed for a discharge of the sequestration. (No date or order.)

fo. 267. Petition, which showed that on the former petition the matter had been referred to Mr. Brereton to state and report, and as there were some material points which petitioner was required to make out by affidavit before the report could be perfected and as petitioner lived so remote, for an order directing the Lancashire Commissioners to take his affidavit and such other witnesses as he could produce; this was granted. 25 September 1652.

fo. 269. Copy of the first petition. In this petition petitioner describes himself as the son of William Partington who settled the moiety on Ann then his wife (so the term mother-in-law above probably means that she was second wife of petitioner's father).

ff. 271-273. Mr. Brereton's report. The moiety assigned for the maintenance was also to cover the maintenance of Ellen, daughter of the said William; if the wife remarried Ellen was to receive the profits till she had the amount of a legacy left to her. Alexander Thompson deposed that he drew the indenture by the directions of the said William Partington, and the same was engrossed by one Raphe Winstandley, at that time deponent's clerk. James Molyneux deposed that 14 days before Michaelmas 1650 he was present when Samuel Mellor of Royton took to wife Anne Partington; that Mr. Barrow (then and at the date the deposition being sworn schoolmaster of Wigan and sometimes preacher there) married them. After a lengthy review of the case he submitted, among other points for judgment, whether the sequestration ought not to be discharged and petitioner be permitted to enjoy the property. ff. 275-279. The usual certificates. fo. 281. Copy petition.

fo. 283. Order referring to Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 285. Communication dated at Preston II February 1651[-2], mentioning that the examinations had been taken and copies forwarded therewith. Signed by Rob<sup>t</sup> Cunliffe and G. Pigot.

ff. 287-289. Examination of Roger Bradshaigh of Haigh, Esq., of James Molyneux of Wigan, yeoman, and of Alexander Thompson of Wigan.

fo. 291. Petition—copy. fo. 293. Order referring to Lancashire Commissioners. fo. 295. Communication enclosing further examination dated at Ormskirk 26 January 1652[-3]. fo. 297. Examination of James Partington.

For James Partington see Anne Parkinson.
For John Pearse or Peers see Richard Massey.

## Benry Peirpoint of Golborne, Yeoman.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1599, fol. 49.)

fo. 49. Petition which disclosed that petitioner's father in his lifetime was seised in fee of some estate in the county of Lancaster, two thirds of which had been sequestered for his delinquency, although the father was dead and petitioner conformable. The estate still remained under sequestration and the Lancashire Commissioners refused to discharge the same without an order from above. He prayed therefore for the order or an examination of his title. 15 February 1653[-4]. Commissioners to examine and certify. Referred to Mr. Reading to state and report.

fo. 48. A second petition dated 17 October 1654, in which it was prayed that the Lancashire Commissioners should examine some additional witnesses which petitioner desired to produce before them. Granted.

## Thomas Peirson of Meirscough, Gent.

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1534-5, fol. 326.)

to. 326. Petition, which showed that he was one of the persons named in the then late Additional Act for Sale, and his estate at Lancaster had been surveyed for sale. He desired he might be admitted to compound for the same according to the proviso in the Act. 26 May 1653. Referred to Mr. Reading to report.

"R. M., J. B."

fo. 327. Petition of Jane wife of Thomas Pierson above, on behalf of herself and two small children, desiring an allowance of a fifth and the arrears from the time of sequestration to date of petition (20 February 1651[-2]). Granted a fifth only.

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3474, fols. 689, &c.)

fo. 689. Thomas Peirson of the town and county of Newcastle-upon-Tyne deposed that he was the same Thomas Peirson mentioned in the petition and survey; that in the 14th year of the late King [1638] he contracted with Thomas Tildsley, Esq., for a lease of 21 years of the several closes of land called the "Light Ashes" in Myerscough being part of the herbage and pannage of Mierscough, and that the said Thomas Tildesley did by indenture demise the said closes unto deponent for 21 years from 1 May 14 Charles [1638]. That deponent had not lived in Lancashire for ten years then past. That the lease with other writings of deponent was lost during the wars. Sworn 17 June 1651, before T. Bayley. At foot a further deposition that deponent had no further interest in the premises than the lease aforesaid.

ff. 691-693. Petition. Order to refer to Mr. Reading. fo. 695. Deposition of Richard Lathome of Parbold, co. Lancaster, Esq., in reference to the lease.

fo. 697. Similar deposition sworn to by Hugh Wadsworth of Haighton in the same county, gent.

fo. 699. Particular.

fo. 701. Report by Mr. Reading, which mentions that petitioner was one of the persons named in the Act for Sale of Lands forfeited for Treason. That the estate had been surveyed. That he had petitioned 12 May 1653. That he was seized of the above closes and a moiety of another of pasture and arable land lying in the township of Meirscough, worth £8. 3. 4. a year.

That the Lancashire Committee by lease dated 5 January 1651[-2], had let the premises to John Parker and John Cross for 7 years, at a rental of £6. 5. 4., but that they were worth, over and above that 21/-. Fine at  $\frac{2}{3}$ , £5 (29 June 1653).

For Abdias Pemberton see William Hoghton.

## James Pemberton of Wiston, Gent.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1630, fol. 721.)

fo. 721. Petition which showed that petitioner's name had been inserted in the then late Additional Act for Sale and thereby his estate had been made liable to be sold or to be compounded for; that a survey of it had been made. He therefore prayed to be admitted to compound for it (22 February 1653[-4]). Referred to Mr. Reading to report.

# fo. 723. "To the Honourable the Commissioners for Compounding, &c.

"The humble petition of James Pemberton of Whiston in the County of Lancaster

"SHEWETH-

"That there were many poore men in the County of Lancaster not able to subsist but by their hard labour and the charity of others, sequestred by the Comittee there, whereupon they came to London in May 1649 and applyed themselves to the Parliament and Commissioners at Goldsmith-hall who tooke their sad

condicons in Consideracon and discharged them from Sequestracon upon their subscribing to pay some small fines, which yor Peticoner and the rest believed and were by some assured should never have bin called upon, yet yor Peticoner, upon what mistake he knowes not, is incerted in the last Addiconall Act for Sale, although his Estate were discharged from Sequestracon by the Comrs at Goldsmiths-hall, by their Order of the 12th of May and never since that tyme under Sequestracon.

"In Consideracon whereof yor Peticoner humbly prayes yor honors order to the Comrs at Worcester House, to prohibit his Estate from being surveyed or sould, hee being willing to pay ye fine imposed if it

shal be required by yor Honors

"And he shall ever pray, &c.,
"JAMES PEMBERTON."

16 Febr. 1652[-3].

"The Comrs can give no order herein. It appearing that ye petr was seqd for Recusancy and Delinquency."

fo. 725. A copy of the original order issued by the Lancashire Committee, dated at Padiham, co. Lanc., June 1649, directing the sequestration agents to obey the order from above, removing the sequestration of the estate of petitioner, unless they (the agents) showed at the then next sitting for "Darbie Hundred," that the real and personal estate exceeded £200 in value. Signed by Ric. Shuttleworth, John Starkie, and Robt Cunliffe.

"Intr p Tho: Whalley."

#### Alice Pendleton.

(First Series, vol. xii., No. 317, fol. 552.)

fo. 552. Petition from John Broughton of Little Woolton, gent., which showed that Alice Pendelton, widow, deceased, held of petitioner a tenement in Much Woolton for her life, at a rental of 10/4; that she died in September 1650; that the tenement stood sequestered

for her recusancy, and petitioner was debarred from enjoying the same; prayed for an order directing the Lancashire Commissioners to make an inquiry.

fo. 559. Report by Mr. Brereton on the matter of John Broughton (fo. 552). He found the facts sworn to in the petition to be correct, and submitted for judgment whether the said tenement ought not to be discharged from sequestration (24 July 1651).

fo. 561. Order of reference to Mr. Brereton.

fo. 563. Petition, 30 May 1651 (referred to Mr. Brereton).

fo. 565. Communication dated at Preston 9 April 165-[?], enclosing copies of the examinations of Henry Orme of the Grange, Woolton Parva, gent., and John Plombe of Garston.

fo. 568. Petition (copy).

fo. 569. Order of reference to Lancashire Commissioners.

## Henry Pendleton of Manchester, Gent.

(Second Series, vol. vii., No. 321, fols. 170, &c.)

fo. 170. Delinquency, that he left his own dwelling and for some time dwelt in the King's garrisons; he took the National Covenant in the country and again in London "for more satisfaction," and the Negative Oath. He compounded on a particular which disclosed that he was seized in fee of certain lands and tenements in Manchester, value £45 per annum, and at the death of Isabell Pendleton other lands and tenements worth £15 yearly, also 19 years of an unexpired term in a lease of a messuage in Manchester held of the Collegiate Church there, worth yearly £2. 10. 0.

Fine £108. 2. 6., reduced to £80.

Petitioner stated that his lands in Manchester were charged by his elder brother, Edward Pendleton, with the payment of 20 nobles per annum until Elizabeth his only daughter should attain the age of 17 years

(she then being of the age of 10), after she to be paid the sum of £300 for her portion, and the said lands to be charged with £100 more to discharge the debts of the said Edward Pendleton.

fo. 172. Petition.

fo. 173. Certificate signed by Edm<sup>d</sup> Hopwood, witnessed by Tho. Dod and Raphe Thulson, that petitioner took the National Covenant 27 February 1644[-5].

fo. 174. Similar certificate signed by W<sup>m</sup>. Barton, minister of John Zacharies, London, dated 1 March 1646[-7].

fo. 176. Particular of his estate.

## Anthony Pickering of Catterall, Peoman.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1635, fol. 772.)

fo. 772. Petition which showed that two thirds of his estate was under sequestration for his recusancy only. He prayed to be admitted to contract for the same. 13 January 1653[-4], "referred to Mr. Reading."

#### Bugb Pilkington, Gent.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1634, fol. (between 745 and 747).)

Order to take possession 5 September 1653, of a moiety of several messuages and lands with the appurtenances in the parish of Standish, late parcel of the above, sold 5 August preceding to William Dickonson, gent.

## John Pilkington of Adlington, Busbandman.

(Second Series, vol. xlviii., No. 3102, fols. 637, &c.)

fo. 637. Delinquency, that in the beginning of the wars he adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament but presently deserted that service, took up arms for the Parliament and continued faithful for the then last 7 or 8 years: he petitioned 21 March 1650[-1], stating he had been sequestered 10 February preced-

ing. He compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seised in right of his wife for her life of a tenement and lands in Heapey, in the parish of Lealand, of the yearly value of 50/; that he was also seised for two lives of a tenement and land in Adlington worth £3 a year. He desired a saving to compound for certain land in Adlington whereto he had a right, but which one Roger Rigby had then got into his possession. Fine, £7. 10. (March 25, 1651). "Fine For £11 per ann For wch a Saueing is granted at May the 18 1652 £16. 10. S M."

fo. (not paged, but between 638-639) Petition. fo. 639. Particular.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1634, fols. 766, &c.)

fo. 766. Petition from John Pilkington of Adlington, husbandman, which discloses that petitioner, a very poor man, at the very beginning of the War adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament, "but being immediately satisfied in consciousnes of the unlawfulnes thereof," deserted that service and took up arms for the Parliament; notwithstanding this, the Lancashire Commissioners sequestered his small estate and he was forced to compound for the same, and with much difficulty procured and paid the fine imposed. That in the said particular he compounded for some lands in Adlington, worth £3 a year, and the Lancashire Commissioners in pursuance of an order from the Commissioners above, dated 12 December 1651, set out to petitioner a "little house and some land" of the value of the said £3 a year; but one Roger Rigby of Adlington would not permit petitioner to enjoy the said premises but continually interrupted him and threatened to carry away some corn petitioner had sown. He therefore prayed for protection in the quiet possession of the premises, and if the said Roger Rigby had any right to the rents, that he might proceed to recover the same by law and not by force. (6 July 1652.)

"The Comrs to give such possession to petir and quiett him there as he had at the tyme of the Seqn."

fo. 767. Memo. of the London Commissioners as to their order.

fo. 769. Order referring same to Lancashire Commissioners.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fols. 869, &c.)

fo. 869. Petition from John Rigby of Shevington, yeoman (on behalf of Jane, Isabel, and Elizabeth Rigby, infants), which disclosed that whereas Roger Breres of Walton, gent., did by his indenture of lease dated 12 September 2° Chās I. [1626], for the considerations therein mentioned, demise to John Pilkington of Adlington, yeoman, all that messuage and tenement lying in Adlington, to have and to hold for a term of 99 years, if the said John Pilkington, Elizabeth his wife, and Miles Nightgall should so long live, at a rental of 23s. 4d.; afterwards the said John Pilkington, by his assignment dated 18 January 16° Chās I. [1640-1], for a valuable consideration therein mentioned, assigned over the said premises to Hugh Pilkington his brother, to have and to hold for the then unexpired term; afterwards Hugh for a valuable consideration assigned the premises to petitioner, for the use of Jane, Isabell, and Elizabeth Rigby, daughters to Roger Rigby, petitioner's brother, for and during the remainder of the unexpired term. Two of the lives were then in being (date of proceedings), yet although Hugh Pilkington and John Rigby always had been and were Protestants and conformable, the premises were by the Lancashire Commissioners seized and sequestered for the delinquency of John Pilkington the first lessee, notwithstanding that, long before the times of the war, he had passed over his title as above expressed; petitioner therefore prayed for an order discharging the sequestration. It was referred to Mr. Reading, whose report

dated 26 May 1653, based upon an order made 6 May 1651 on the above petition (ff. 861-866), reviews in considerable detail the particulars referred to in the petition.

Most of the persons interested in this case were sequestrated, some being included in the Act for Sale; and Mr. Reading referring to the assignment made by John to Hugh Pilkington, whether it was so assigned as security to Hugh for certain obligations he had incurred or whether it was a bonâ fide transfer, left it for the Commissioners to decide. If the latter then the sequestration ought to be discharged.

fo. 867. Certificate as to what proceedings had been taken in the case. fo. 871. reference to Lancashire Commissioners. Communication dated at Preston 3 July 1651 signed by Peter Holt and Geo. Pigot mentioning the proceedings taken before them, and enclosing copies of the evidence.

fo. 875. Examination of Roger Scott of Wigan, shoemaker, George Aynsworth of Rivington, husbandman, fo. 876. John Rawlinson of Chorley, yeoman, John Lowe of Duxberry, yeoman.

fo. 877. Second examination of John Lowe of Duxberry. (25 June 1651.)

fo. 901. Petition (6 May 1651).

#### (First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3871, fol. 554.)

fo. 554. Communication from Lancashire Commissioners dated at Preston 3 July 1651 mentioning that in observance of an order of the 6th of May then last, upon a petition of John Rigby of Shevington on behalf of Jane, Isabell, and Elizabeth, infants, they had examined witnesses touching the petitioner's title to the messuage and tenement mentioned in the petition, copies of which they enclosed, and stated that the premises were then under sequestration for the de-

linquency of John Pilkington as in the petition was alleged.

fo. 549. Examinations, taken at Preston 29th May 1651 before Peter Holt, Rob Cunliffe, and Geo. Pigot, of Robert Scott of Wigan, George Aynsworth of Rivington, fo. 550. John Rawlinson of Chorley, John Lowe of Duxberrie.

fo. 551. Second Deposition of the latter sworn at Ormskirk 25 June 1651, before Robt Cunliffe and G. Pigot.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3880, fols. 611, &c.)

fo. 611. Letter dated at Preston 13 January 1652[-3] mentioning that an order from the Commissioners above, dated the 3rd November 1652, came to their hand on the 6th of the then current month, in the case of John Pilkington of Adlington, petitioner, and they were required to obey a former order made on the 6th July 1652, for giving petitioner such possession of his estate compounded for as he had at the time of sequestration, or that within eight days after notice they should show cause of their refusal, or in default one of them to appear before the Commissioners in London in person to answer for contempt.

"Wee in answer thereunto humblie make Bold to certifie that by ours of the 25th of August last wee gave yor honnors a full accompt (as wee conceived) of our proceedings upon the said order weh (in regard we fynd noe Relacon thereof in this last of yors) wee believe came not to yor hands. And therefore wee have caused Coppies thereof to bee inclosed wen wee submit to yor Judgmt for yor further satisfaction in this and shall not willingly disobey any of yor orders and Rest," &c.

fo. 611. Copy of the letter of Aug. 26th. ff. 613-618. Various documents referring to this matter, also 625-630.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3880, fols. 625, &c.)

fo. 625. Letter dated at Preston August 26, 1652, signed by Rob<sup>t</sup> Cunliffe and G. Pigot, referring to an order from the Commissioners above of the 6 July preceding made upon the petition of the above, wherein he complained that although he had compounded and paid his fine yet he could not have peaceable possession of his estate, being interrupted therein by one John Rigby of Adlington, who threatened to carry away the corn sown by petitioner, as he alleged; mentioning also that they were required to peruse the petition and give petitioner such possession of the estate as he had when it was sequestrated.

In obedience thereto they called both parties before them and pending inquiry secured the corn in a neighbour's land. That they had the day this letter was written examined witnesses on both sides, in the presence of Mary wife of petitioner (who solely solicited for her husband, he never up to that time having appeared before them: she being a woman well known of a turbulent spirit); Rigby being likewise present. They found the corn to have been sown by and rightly belonging to Rigby, and they also found that Pilkington had leased the premises for 99 years to one Hugh Pilkington if he the said John and one Myles Nightgalle should so long live, and that Hugh Pilkington had assigned his interest to Jo: Rigby for the use of Roger Rigby's children, and that afterwards Roger Rigby let the same to John Pilkington for the year 1651, and in the year 1651 to William Hatton, as by the depositions appeared; which with examinations of others on the part of petitioner they enclosed.

ff. 627-630. The examinations above referred to.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fols. 882, &c.)

- fo. 882. Petition from William Whitfield on behalf of John Clifton a lunatick, Alexander Parke, John Rigby on behalf of Jane, Isabell, and Elizabeth Rigby, infants, Evan Gerrard and Thomas Parkinson on behalf of Richard Abbott an infant, William Halliwell, Oliver Haydock, and John Pemberton on behalf of John Heskin and Oliver Bibbie, and Thomas Guest, all of the county of Lancaster; showing that upon their several respective petitions then formerly set forth, an order had been made directing the Lancashire Commissioners to examine such witnesses as the petitioners should produce before them, for the proof of their several and respective claims and titles, and to return the same to the London Commissioners. This had been done, but as petitioners had several other witnesses to examine in further proof of their titles they prayed for a new order to the Lancashire Commissioners to take these examinations.
- fo. 879. Order of reference to the Lancashire Commissioners (26 May 1653).
- fo. 883. Affidavit of Roger Rigbie (sworn before the Commissioners in London, 8th November 1653).
- fo. 885. Certificate exhibiting the names of certain persons in this case interested who were said to have been sequestrated.

#### Adr. Pilkington.

(First Series, vol. lii., fol. 757.)

- fo. 757. Letter from Wm. Lenthal, Speaker of the House, addressed to his honored friend John Ash, Esq., "psents."
  - "Mr. Pilkington who is to compound wth you appeared about three years since to make his composicon; but the reason why hee did not then peed was ye scruple of taking ye Covenant, wch being now removed hee is desirous to be ad-

mitted and to finish that weh he formerly intended. This upon full assurance being ye only cause why hee did at that time forbeare to compound, I thought good to Certifie so much unto you and so to leave him to yor Consideracon. This is all but that I am,

"Yor very Loving Freind,
"Wm Lenthall,"
"Sp."

[There is nothing to show of what place or county this Mr. Pilkington was except a certificate (fo. 759) in which two gentlemen of that name, both of Yorkshire, are referred to, and therefore the above letter may not relate to Lancashire.]

## Richard Pilkington of Coppull, Busbandman.

(Second Series, vol. xlix., No. 3150, fols. 55, &c.)

fo. 55. Delinquency, in arms against the Parliament in the first war: he petitioned 8 May 1651, and compounded, which disclosed that he was seised for 3 lives of and in a messuage or tenement with certain lands lying and being in Coppull of the yearly value of £4. 10. 2. Fine £11. 5. 5. (20 May, 1651), confirmed.

fo. 58. Petition.

fo. 60. Particular.

#### William Pilkington of Wigan, Bent.

(Second Series, vol. xlix., No. 3176, fols. 363, &c.)

fo. 363. Delinquency, adhering to the late King's party against the Parliament in the first war, for which there was a charge of delinquency exhibited against him before the Commissioners for the county of Lancaster, but rather than stand upon his appeal, he petitioned 11 June 1651. By his particular he appeared to have been seised in fee tail of a messuage with certain lands thereto belonging lying and being

in Wigan, of the yearly value of £6. 13. 4. He was also seised for 3 lives of another messuage lying also in Wigan worth yearly £3. 7. 2. Personal estate £5. 2. 8. Fine £29. 5. 0. (24 June 1651.)

fo. 365. Petition. fo. 367. Particular.

(First Series, vol. lxii., No. 1999, fol. 33.)

fo. 33. "Manchester, the First off February 1649.

Right Honble

"My desire is two Acquainte you that this daie I have Brought in Information against a grand malignant of Wigan, weh hath beene 5 or 6 yeers under Sequestration two little or no purpose, and shale desire yor honrs to take notice of it. I delieuered the charge this dai into the Comittee of Lanc weh i haue in closed with the ptiklar, Reall estate. And the reason that i haue donne it is, that as i heere hee is gone for London to vindicate hime selfe two bee an honest man, that hee may bee Cleered and if it proue soe I desire hee may bee prevented, for the Sequestraters many of them liueth, with 2, 3 or 4 miles of hime and cannot be ignorant of the full vallue of his estate, to the ptikular hereinclosed, and did not Sequestrate hime hethertwo but two in value of Fortie shillinges p Annum weh they haue confessed, they destreyned goods for, and tould the Comtee in my heeringe they gaue orders to Restore it againe unto hime, paies . . . nothinge hetherto two the publique, and is as i conceve indebted this day unto the publique two the full vallue of 200li. I shall have an eye of the proceedings of the comittee in this Countie, for I believe if he suffer accordinge two his deserts many money will follow weh haue hether two beene concealled.

"Also I shall desire acquaint yor Honrs that he hath and doth endeuor to hender the Authoritie of Parlamt

in not paienge the Assessmtis for the Army wch att present I am Agent for, but hee hath delt with the Subcole[ctors], and those men wch i haue imploied haue thretened their liues, and puled sume by the Throte wch is unsufferable. And except this man suffer as well as others wch hath Compounded And hath bine and still is a Rengleder to All mischiefe, I shall desire that noe words may [be] derikted to the Comittee about itt that his Reall and psonall estate may bee seque'red, or else I doubt it wilbe neglected. I shall Leue it two yor owner wisdome to consider it And ever Remaine

"Yor humble Sevant to comand

"ROBT TEMPEST."

- fo. 35. "A charge against William Pilkniton of Wigan, Pewteuer, A grand malignant.
- "I. That it is confidently beeliued by the inhabitance of Wigan that the Earl of Darby had not att all put any Garrison into the Towne but by the Instegation of Will<sup>m</sup> Pinkniton and Thoms his brother, who continuly caused the Townsemen two make a showtinge when the Earle came two towne.
- "II. That Will: Pilkinton toke upon hime two bee cheffe Ingeneer for the makinge of Bulworks about the towne of Wigan for the King's ptie.
- "III. That Will: Pilkniton was a Capt: in Wigan for the Earl of Darby under his Comission.
- "IV. That Will Pilkniton comanded his Company to march two Haughton Comon and theire fought against the Parla[mts] Forces.
- "V. That Will: Pilkniton Comanded his Companie of on[e] hundred or on hundred and twentie men against the towne of Boulton two or three sineral times and theire fought against the Parlamts Foorces.
- "VI. That presently after the reducinige of Wigan by the Parlamts Forces warrants were Issued Forth for Collectors of moneyes for the Parlamt use. Will

Pilkniton then tould the Townsmen that he that laid any moneys for the Parlamt was a Treytor and he that Collect any was a Treytor and he that paid any for the use of the Parlamt was a Treytor.

"VII. That after the takine of Wigan by the Parlamt foorces Mr. Rob. Maitland was desired by the Wiganers to go to Man[che]ster two intreet Collonell Ashton to bee favorable to the towne lest hee should come upon them againe with his Forces, but before Mr. Maytland returnd Will: Pilkniton caused the greatest part of the townse men to rise in armes with Sr Tho: Tilsley sayinge two them he that did not rise with Sr Thomas was a Treytor.

"VIII. That when Prince Rubert came to Wigan Will: Pilkniton had two or 3 loods of ould have weh he gave to the Princes Servants and afterwards gott an order From the Prince two paie 13 or 14<sup>ti</sup> to hime out of the Neburing Hamblets about Wigan weh moneys

hee procured by the threetininge of Solgers.

"IX. That Prince Rubert Comanded a General muster att Amysechurch Mosse For the inhabitance of Darby Hundered. There when the Wiganers appeared Will: Pilkniton stood up in the name of the Towne off Wigan and tould the Earle of Darby that all the Wiganers would goe to Yorke with the Prince, but the people answered they would not goe; then Wift Pilkniton tould the Earle all the Wiganers would goe two Leverpoole, and turn the wom' (?round) heeds out of their houses and they would live in them and keepe Garryson there.

"X. That when the Wiganers denied to goe to Yorke and Leverpoole Will Pilkniton went himself with the Prince to the Battle at Yorke and tooke with him one Well: Yeerland and was there when the fitte was.

"XI. That Wift Pilkniton hath an estate in Wigan worth 30th and upwards p Annum who hath been sequestrated this five or six yeeres yet neuer paid anything to the Publique but insteed of payin he called

the Agents for Sequestration base Beggarly Fellowes, Nogging makers and the like.

"Witnesse hereunto as followeth.

"WILL: FORTH, Ald:
AMBROSE JOLLY, Ald:
ROB: BARON, Ald:
JOHN HARVYE, Ald:
WILL: BROWNE, Ald:
THE WIDOW OF MR. ROBI

MAYTLAND
EDWARD SOMERS, Ald:
NICOLAS PINNINGTON, Ald:
MR. ALEX TOMPSON
JAMES CROUCKE

WILL: BAUILDEN EDWARD ARNESHAW EDWARD BARON
ROB. WINSTANLEY
OLEVER WHALLY
WILL: YEERLAND
JOHN WACKFILD
LEWRIE ORRELL of Asple

[Aspull]
ROBT HENDLEY

THO TINKLER
WILL: GLOUER of Inct.
FRANCS HITCHES of Inct.

[Ince]

RALPH BROWNE of Asple"

fo. 37. A particular of Will: Pilkinton's property.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3880, fols. 619, &c.)

fo. 619. Examinations taken at Preston 7 August 1650, touching the delinquency of the above before Peter Holt, Esq., Robert Cunliffe, and George Pigot, gent., Com<sup>15</sup> for Sequestrations in the said county.

Ambrose Jolly of Wigan, gent., sworn, said that he knew that William Pilkington of Wigan, gent., had the name of Capt: of a foot company in Wigan, consisting sometimes of 60, sometimes of more, soldiers all being townsmen, and he usually lead them as their Captain at the same time when the Earl of Derby kept the said town as a garrison, and that the said Mr. Pilkington marched with his foot company at the command of the said Earl with his forces when the said Earl marched against Bolton, and deponent knew that the said Pilkington did march with his said foot company out of the town of Wigan that day when the Earl of

Darby marched against the Parliament's forces and fought with them at Hoghton (as was generally reported), but whether he engaged with the forces of the said Earl against the Parliament Party that day deponent knew not.

Robert Winstanley of Wigan, mercer, deposed on oath that he, in the beginning of the then late war, before he was carried prisoner away to Lathom, several times saw William Pilkington of Wigan, gent., lead a company of foot soldiers in the streets of Wigan and that he was ordinarily called their Captain, but deponent never saw his Commission but he verily believed he was a Captain of a Foot Company under the Earl of Derby.

fo. 620. Edward Baron of Wigan, shoemaker, on oath, said that he had several times in the late wars, when the town of Wigan was kept as a garrison by the Earl of Derby, seen William Pilkington of Wigan, gent., head a foot company of soldiers in the streets of Wigan, and that he the said Pilkington did lead as Captain of the said Company, together with the forces then under the command of the Earl of Derby, unto the battle of Hoghton Common against a party then in arms for the Parliament; and deponent knew that Pilkington lead as Captain the said foot Company under the command of the Earl of Derby against the town of Bolton the latter time he went with his forces against it, and the said company did give "fier" against the town, but deponent said the Earl of Derby threatened him before he marched with his company against it, and he further said that Pilkington continued Captain of the Company till the town of Wigan was taken by the Parliament's forces, at which time Pilkington with his company gave fire against the Parliament's soldiers that were against it.

William Baldwin of Wigan, pewterer, upon oath, said the same as the preceding witnesses.

fo. 621. Oliver Whalley of Wigan, brasier, said the same, and further said he knew that Pilkington lead out the said company and others out of the town, to relieve some of the soldiers of the Earl of Derby who were engaged against some of the Parliament's forces at a place called Hindle Common and brought them out at that time.

James Croucke of Wigan, tanner, said the same as the first witness, and further that when Prince Rupert had invaded the county with his forces, the Earl of Derby having appointed a meeting at Ormskirk for the Town of Wigan, the said Mr. Pilkington openly proclaimed there that whosoever would go to Liverpool with him to keep that town (which the Prince had then taken) might go with him. But who did go deponent knew not.

fo. 622. Alexander Tompson of Wigan, gent., sworn, said that he being at London about the time that differences began betwixt the then late King and Parliament, he received a letter subscribed by divers of the Aldermen and Burgesses of Wigan to deponent and Mr. William Pilkington directed, which he and Mr. Pilkington made known to Baron Rigby and Sir Orlando Bridgman then Burgesses for Wigan, who directed deponent to draw a petition to the Honble. House of Commons to order the same town to be put in a posture of defence under them; and thereupon the same having been made known to such of the Lancashire gentlemen as were burgesses of Wigan, directions were given by sundry of them that the Mayor and Aldermen might provide arms for the same town, and should be put under the Militia when it was settled; and he said after the Earl of Derby garrisoned the town Mr. Pilkington led a Company as Captain and often trained them in the town, but, whether he had any commission from the said Earl of Derby or any under him he did not know; he also said he had

heard that Mr. Pilkington went out of the town to Houghton Common and Bolton.

fo. 622. William Forth of Wigan, gent., sworn, said that in the beginning of the wars about 1641-2 the inhabitants of Wigan, amongst others of the county, were commanded according to Ordinance of Parliament to be put in a posture of defence for the safety of the county. At which time Mr. Richard Shuttleworth, then Coll. Shuttleworth, and Mr. Alex Rigby then one of the Barons of the Exchequer, came down from London bringing an Ordinance or Act of Parliament, and in pursuance thereof commanded or advised the townspeople of Wigan to put themselves into a posture of defence; whereupon the townspeople of Wigan met together and chose the said Mr. William Pilkington to be a Captain for the leading, training, and exercising of part of the said inhabitants and putting them into a posture of defence. And he further said when Wigan was a garrison by command from the Earl of Derby, Mr. Pilkington was commanded by Col. Blaire, Governor of the Town, and did amongst others, survey the fortifications or bulwarks that were then made and in making for the defence of the town. And further deponent had heard and verily believed that the said Mr. William Pilkington did head a foot company raised in the town of Wigan, unto Hoghton Common, under the command of the said Earl of Derby, and that he was called Captain of the said foot Company, and he believed it was true that Mr. Pilkington marched with the forces under command of Prince Rupert towards the Battle of York, and he believed the said Mr. Pilkington had a real estate in and about Wigan worth £8 a year or thereabouts.

fo. 623. Robert Baron of Wigan, gent., upon oath said that not long before the wars broke out Mr. William Pilkington and Mr. Alex Tompson, being employed by the town and parish of Wigan in some private

business at London, they wrote a letter of advice to the townsmen of Wigan that the Kingdom was to be put into a posture of defence; whereupon Mr. Mayor and some part of the town wrote to the said Mr. Tompson and Mr. Pilkington to procure them orders that the townsmen might be trained and exercised within themselves, and shortly after Col. Rich. Shuttleworth and Col. Rigby came to the town of Wigan and advised them to put themselves into a defensive posture. Whereupon the said Mr. William Pilkington was among others chosen to train, exercise, and lead some part of the townsmen, and that he was reputed a captain and did whilst Wigan was made a garrison by the order of the Earl of Derby, sometimes lead his men upon and down the streets and into the fields and trained and exercised them.

For John Piper see James Prisoc.

## Robert Plessington, Gent., Dimples in Myresdall.

(First Series, vol. ci., No. 4103, fol. 347.)

fo. 347. Mention made in some letter of a lease granted to the above of his own estate lying at Dimples in Wyersdale; on same day requested their Honours' approbation in each case (11 February 1652[-3]).

(First Series, vol. lxxxii., No. 2698, fol. 627.)

fo. 627. Petition, showing that two thirds of petitioner's property had been sequestrated for his recusancy only; he therefore prayed to be admitted to contract for the same (13 January 1653[-4]). Referred to Mr. Reading.

fo. 629. Order to take possession of a certain farm called Dimples and several messuages, lands, tenements, &c., lying in the parishes of Garston and Goosnargh, late property of the above (described as "of Kirkland in Garstang"), sold to Ralph Longworth, gent., on the 15 June 1653.

## 30bn Plombe.

(First Series, vol. lxxxv., No. 2983, fol. 266.)

fo. 266. Petition from William Dwarrihouse of Gressendale, showing that John Plombe then late of Garston deceased had been when alive sequestered for his recusancy only; that by several assignments he settled what estate he had on petitioner and others, for several uses particularly specified, and making his will nominated petitioner executor, disposing his personal estate for payment of debts, &c. Now petitioner being a Protestant and having ever been so reputed, and Plombe being dead, he (petitioner) hoped the sequestration would be removed from the two thirds of the lands; he therefore prayed for an order to examine the truth of the above (19 June 1655). "The Commissioners to examine, &c., and Mr. Reading to report."

For John and Thomas Plumbe see Henry Linaker.
For Thomas Plumbe see Thomas Mulleneur.
For Dame Elizabeth Plumleigh see Thomas Gerard.

## benry Porter of Trayles, co. Lanc., Blacksmith.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1643, fol. 973.)

fo. 973. Communication from London Commissioners to Lancashire Commissioners in the above matter (no date on the document). Henry Porter above, having acknowledged his delinquency against the Parliament, had submitted himself and taken the National Covenant and the Negative Oath, and desired to be admitted to the favour which all whose estates were under £200 were entitled to, by a then recent Ordinance. After further remarks, they directed the Lancashire Commissioners to acquit and discharge the said Henry Porter from sequestration, and they further directed the Lancashire Commissioners from time to time, as the occasion arose, to acquit all other delinquents similarly situated, for which this document would be their sufficient discharge.

# Henry Porter. See also Lord Morley. Alexander Potter of Manchester, Gent.

(Second Series, vol. xlvii., No. 3026, fols. 945, &c.)

fo. 945. Delinquency, adhering to the forces raised against the Parliament. He petitioned 23 January 1650[-1] alleging that he had not then as yet been judiciarly sequestered. He compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was possessed of some household stuff, books, and other goods to the value of £15; that there was the sum of £10 due to him on bonds by several persons. Fine £4. 5. 0. (4 February 1650[-1]). Confirmed. fo. 948. Petition.

fo. 949. Particular, in which the names of the persons owing compounder are set forth, namely, John Knott and Alexander Radcliffe, £5, Nathaniel Adkins, £5.

Alexander Potter. See also Alexander Radeliffe.

## Katharine Potter of Rainbill.

(First Series, vol. li., No. 1570, fols. 107, &c.)

fo. 107. Petition from William Potter the younger, of Rainell, parish of Prescott, yeoman, which showed that petitioner's great uncle Bawldwine Potter being seised in fee of a messuage with some land lying in Rainhill, by his deed dated the 13 July 1614, for the considerations therein expressed, demised the same to feoffees in trust for the use of Katherine his wife in case she survived him, on condition that she paid all the debts of her said husband and £30 more to such person or persons as he should appoint by his last will; the said estate to commence immediately after the death of the said Bawldwin Potter.

The said Katherine surviving her said husband, by indenture dated 31 December 1634 assigned the said term of 21 years to one William Bold, Thomas Deane, and Henry Elsted, on condition to pay after her decease £80 to several persons therein mentioned; nevertheless upon condition that if petitioner paid the £80

within 10 days after her decease then the trustees were to assign the said term to him: which he accordingly did, and the trustees by indenture dated 1 March 1646[-7] assigned the term to petitioner, and the remainder in fee being in William Potter, petitioner's father, he by his indenture dated 15 November 1642 for the considerations therein expressed granted the same unto petitioner. That about 4 years then ago two thirds of the said premises had been sequestered for the recusancy only of the said Katherine, and notwithstanding her death the premises were still kept under sequestration. The Commissioners below refusing to discharge the same without an order from the London Commissioners, he prayed for an order to examine his title. (Copy.)

ff. 101-3. Mr. Peter Brereton's report dated 7 August 1652 on this case. After reviewing the whole facts of the case, which are set forth in the petition above, he found and submitted to judgment whether the sequestration ought not to be discharged except the Commissioners showed good cause to the contrary.

fo. 105. Order referring the matter to the Lancashire

Commissioners.

fo. 109. Communication from Ormskirke dated 26 December 1651, mentioning that they had taken the examinations of several persons, copies of which they enclosed, and referring to other points in the cases; signed by Edward Aspinwall, Robt Cunliffe, and G. Pigott.

fo. 111. Examinations taken at Ormskirk 25 December 1651 of William Wright of Crowton (or Cronton), yeoman, fo. 112. of John Latham of Whiston, gent., fo. 113. of Henry Sutton of Raynehill, gent., fo. 114. of Richard Wadmough of Micklehead, gent., fo. 115. of William Banner of Raynehill, shoemaker.

fo. 118. Certificate showing that there was no record of sequestration against Katherine Parr of Raynehill, but there was against one Katherine Potter.

fo. 138. Petition.

## Robert Prescott.

(Second Series, vol. xlix., No. 3156, fols. 99, &c.)

fo. 99. Petition of John Prescott of Standish dated 13 May 1651, and particular, disclosing that the father of petitioner, Robert Prescott, who had been in arms against the Parliament, was seised of a messuage or tenement and land during the life of William Taylor, held of Ralph Standish, Esq., worth yearly £4, and devised by Robert Prescot the father to compounder. Fine £8 (20 May 1651).

fo. 101. Petition.

fo. 103. Particular.

## Robert Prescott of Sbevington, Yeoman.

(First Series, vol. li., No. 1576, fol. 204.)

fo. 204. Petition, which showed that about 12 years then ago petitioner by indenture assigned to his brother Edward Prescott a messuage and some land lying in Shevington for a term of eleven years. It was sequestered subsequently for the delinquency of Edward the brother and so remained. The term expired in 1653; petitioner therefore desired the removal of the sequestration (30 May 1654). Referred for examination of title, &c. Petition granted, it being proved that Edward Prescott had no further interest in the estate (5 Dec.).

#### Thomas Prescott of Beskin, Peoman.

(First Series, vol. li., No. 1576, fol. 201.)

fo. 201. Petition, by which it appeared that two thirds of petitioner's estate had been sequestered for his recusancy only; he therefore prayed according to the Act that he might be admitted to contract for the same. 27 December 1653. Referred to Mr. Reading.

## Ulm. Prescott of Upholland, Yeoman.

(Second Series, vol. xv., No. 816, fols. 724, &c.)

fo. 724. Delinquency, that he adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament, and continued with them in Latham, being then a garrison held for the King against the Parliament, during all the siege, and was there at the surrender thereof; he rendered himself unto Coll. John Booth, governor of Warrington, in November 1645. He took the National Covenant before Saml Gibson, minister of St. Margaret's, Westminster, 13 May 1646, and for more satisfaction before Wm. Barton, September 7, 1646, and the Negative Oath 16th same month.

He compounded on a particular which disclosed that he was seised in fee to him and his heirs of certain freehold and copyhold lands and tenements lying in Upholland, out of which he paid £1. 8. 3. for ever yearly to the Earl of Derby, worth over the reserved rent, £11. 6. 9.; also of a franktenement for 2 lives of other lands in Upholland, which he held by demise from a Mr. Chisnall at  $17^{8}$  6d a year, worth £3. 7. 6. £100 due to him. £100 due by him. Other estate, none. Fine £27.

fo. 727. Certificate dated 16 September 1646, signed by J. Booth, that petitioner voluntarily surrendered himself at Warrington in November 1645, the town then being a garrison in the hands of Parliament.

fo. 729. Petition.

fo. 730. Certificate that he had taken the National Covenant, signed by Wm. Barton.

fo. 731. Similar as to Negative Oath, signed by Tho. Vincent.

fo. 733. Affidavit sworn to by petitioner before J. Page 21 September 1646, that he paid the Earl of Derby a rent of £1. 8. 3. and to Mr. Chisnall,  $17^{\circ}$  6d.

fo. 734. Certificate signed by Sa. Gibson, minister

70

of St. Margaret's, that petitioner took the National Covenant before him.

For Anne Preston see Elizabeth Westby.

#### Frances Preston, Widow.

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1560, fols. 1065, &c.)

fo. 1065. Petition, praying that the amount allowed to her out of her estate should be paid in kind. This the Lancashire Commissioners were willing to do, but required an order from above (no date or order).

fo. 1064. Similar petition dated 15 September 1652, on which an order was obtained. The Commissioners to "allow as in like cases."

fo. 1083. Petition.

fo. 1058. Order granting an allowance (21 June 1647).

# Re George Preston, Esq., Walter Strickland, Esq., of Mateby.

(First Series, vol. c., No. 3998, fols. 146, &c.)

fo. 146. In a letter from the Commissioners they say that by an order of the 23 July then last, upon the petition of Walter Strickland, Esq., alleging that though he had compounded for an estate in lands lying in Lancashire given to him by deed at the death of George Preston, yet the sequestration was continued as it was conceived by some that the said George Preston was a recusant, although it was alleged he was never "convict" thereof; they were required to certify wherefore the sequestration was continued. Whereupon they took several examinations (copies of which they enclosed), and likewise by the perusal of the Orders made by the Committee, their predecessors, they found a Certificate to the Commissioners sitting at Goldsmiths' Hall dated 14 July 1649 and an Order 25 October 1649 wherein was expressed the cause of sequestration (copies enclosed), by which it appeared George Preston was sequestrated as a Papist delinquent; but the records in the Crown Office at Lancaster having been all taken away and lost during the wars, they could not inform themselves whether he was convicted of recusancy or not, although in his lifetime he was commonly reputed to have been a professed known Papist.

fo. 155. Copies of the examinations of John Hudson of Sowerby, husbandman, Henry Atherton of Preston, woollen draper, William Audlan of Preston, shoemaker.

fo. 156. Letter from the Committee dated at Padiham 14 July 1649, and fo. 157. one from Lancaster, 25 October 1649.

#### Henry Preston of Preston, Gent.

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1560, fols. 1069, &c.)

fo. 1069. Petition, which showed that petitioner's father William Preston by indenture dated 12 of January 15 Charles I. [1639-40] conveyed to several trustees all his lands and tenements in Preston, for settling a jointure on petitioner's mother and for raising portions for his (the father's) younger children; those being satisfied, remainder to petitioner. The father was dead, and the trustees of the deed had received the rents, &c., until then lately, when the Commissioners in Lancashire had seized the property on the pretence that petitioner was a delinquent. As the estate did not stand actually sequestered on the first December 1651, petitioner therefore prayed for an order to the said Commissioners, requiring them to take off the said seizure, or otherwise to certify whether or no the said estate did stand actually sequestered on the first of December 1651 (22 April 1652). "Order, as in course."

fo. 1071. Second petition. In this he prayed for the benefit of the then Act of Oblivion and that the estate

might be freed from the security taken for the same, and that it might be enjoyed according to the trust (4 August 1652). "The Trustees to accompt with the Commissioners. In the meantime the Commissioners to seize and secure."

ff. 1073-4. A long communication from the Lancashire Commissioners, dated at Preston 25 May 1652, recapitulating the proceedings which had been taken in the case, commencing in 1645.

ff. 1075-1077. Documents connected with the early proceedings, by which it appeared that William Preston of Preston, gent., then deceased, in his lifetime by his deed of feoffment dated 12 January 15° Ch. I. [1640], made an estate of all his capital messuage, lands, rents, mills, kilns, and hereditaments in Preston aforesaid, to Christopher Banester, Esq., and others in trust, to the use of Henry Preston his eldest son for life and for divers other uses in remainder: Provided, that if the said Henry Preston should fail in payment of £50 a year to Anne wife of the said William Preston, and to William Astley named in the said deed £5 a year during their lives, and to Mary Preston, Anne then wife of Christopher Staley, and Mabell then wife of Laurence Duxbury daughters of the said William Preston, one hundred and thirty-six pounds 6/8, yearly for six years then next after the death of the said William Preston: that then the said feoffees should seize upon the said estate until the said annuities and yearly sums and the arrears thereof should be fully satisfied. And for that it was alleged that the greater part of the said annuities or yearly sums were then unpaid, and the said Henry Preston by reason of his delinquency being sequesterable, it was ordered that a true and perfect account should be forthwith brought into the Committee of Lancashire, showing how much money the said parties and every of them had then received of the annuities and yearly sums, and thereupon an order was to issue from the Committee that the profits of the said estate

should continue and remain in the hands of the said Mr. Bannester and William Sudell, two of the feoffees, to be disposed to the use of the said parties, until so much money as upon the said account should appear to be in arrear and unsatisfied should be truly paid according to the intent of the said deed, without the disturbance or molestation of the Agents for Sequestration of the said estate. After the arrears had been satisfied then the said estate to be disposed of to the use of the public for the delinquency of the said Mr. Preston as the Committee should think fit.

fo. 1077 (29 November 1645). It was ordered on the 15th of that month that Mrs. Preston then late wife of William Preston, gent., William Astley, Mary Preston, Christopher Staley, gent., and Anne his wife, and Laurence Duxberry, gent., and Mabell, his wife, daughters of the said William Preston, should bring in a true and perfect account in writing to the Lancashire Committee, showing what and how much of the several sums of money were due unto them from the said estate lately belonging to Mr. William Preston and wherewith the said estate stood charged. Which they did, and on their account it appeared that there were due to them the several sums of money set forth in the document. In pursuance therefore of the order the profits were directed to remain in the hands of the said Christopher Bannester, Esq., and Mr. William Sudell, to be by them disposed of to the uses of the parties aforesaid until the several sums in arrear were discharged; and after the said estate to be disposed of to the public use for the delinquency of Henry Preston, unless there should in the then meantime good cause be shown to the contrary.

ff. 1079-1081. Certificates showing that Henry Preston had not been under sequestration when the then last return came up from Lancashire (3 June 1652), nor were there any informations exhibited against him for delinquency or recusancy (15 June 1652).

fo. 850. Petition from William Farrington of Werden, John Fletewood of Penworthan, Esqs., and William Sudall of Preston in the county of Lancaster, gent., which showed that whereas William Preston late of Preston, gent., then deceased, by deed dated 12 January 15 Ch: I. [1630-40], conveyed and assured to petitioners all his messuages, lands, &c., in Preston, in trust for settling a jointure upon his wife Ann Preston, and for raising portions for the preferment of several of his sons and daughters, named in the deed, and after those satisfied, remainder to Henry Preston, gent., his eldest son, and his heirs males, so that petitioners had up to the time of petition enjoyed the same and had with the profits paid part of the children's portions and intended to have paid the remainder as soon as the same could have been raised out of the premises; but the Commissioners for Sequestration for the county of Lancaster had then recently secured most of the lands for the delinquency of Henry Preston, the son, he having no interest at all in the premises until the portions had been fully satisfied. Prayed for an order directing the Lancashire Commissioners to receive proofs of the deed referred to, and in the meantime that petitioners should receive the profits as they had theretofore. (3 July 1651.) "To ex. and Certify. &c."

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3865, fols. 515, &c.)

fo. 515. Letter dated at Preston 15 November 1645, reciting the feoffment of 12 January 1639-40 as above. It was ordered that a true and perfect account should be taken and brought before the Committee of the County showing what each beneficiary had received, and thereupon an order to issue that the profits of the estate should continue and remain in the hands of Mr. Bannester and another of the feoffees until the arrears were satisfied, and after the estate to be disposed of to the use of the public as the Committee saw fit.

fo. 516. Similar communication dated 29 November 1645 disclosing the details of the account, and showing the amounts in arrear to each of the persons interested.

fo. 517. Communication from Lancashire Commissioners dated at Preston 25 May 1652, referring to an Order of 22 April preceding, made upon the petition of the above touching the discharge of certain lands, &c., in Preston, come to him by the death of his father, after a jointure settled upon his mother and portions raised thereout for his father's younger children; they were required to certify whether the petitioner or any part of the premises aforesaid was under actual sequestration the 1st of December 1651, and what if any other proceedings. They stated Henry Preston had been sequestered for his delinquency, and mentioned the conveyance above to feoffees by his father, the inquiry into the accounts, the order to satisfy the arrears, and that then they had secured the estate and the feoffees appealed; and that an order came down dated 3 June 1651 to examine the truth of the petition, which they did and returned the examinations on the 14 October 1651 together with the account of the feoffees, who had received the profits; and they (the Commissioners) had proceeded no further

Benry Preston. See also John Calvert.

## John Preston, Esq., of Furness.

(First Series, vol. xlix., No. 1512, fols. 459, &c.)

This is a paper, headed Jane Morgan, John Preston, Esq., Manor of Furness, in a large set connected with the debts of John Pym.

fo. 459. "Resolved by the Parliament that leave be given to speak against the order of the 17<sup>th</sup> June 1651, whereby the Commissioners for Compounding be authorized to take off the seizure from the estates of Thomas Morgan of Heyford in the co. of Northton, Esq., and of John Preston, Esq., to whom these estates

were granted for payment of the debts of John Pym, Esq., deceased, and providing portions, to take and receive the rents issues and profits thereof for the uses and according to the trusts directed by the Parliament on that behalf; and also against another vote then passed touching the said business. Ordered by the Parliament that it be referred to the Commissioners for Compounding to take the accounts of the Trustees to whom the estates of Thomas Morgan of Heyford, co. of Northton, Esq., and John Preston of Furness, co. Lanc., Esq., were granted by Parliament for payment of the debts of Mr. Pym, and ascertain how much had been received out of the said estates upon the said Trusts, notwithstanding the former Order. If they found enough had not been received, the Commissioners for Compounding were to order the Commissioners in each county to pay over to the said Trustees what was in hand, and if not sufficient, the Commissioners were to continue to receive the rents and issues until a sum sufficient to fulfil the ordinance made was collected. It was ordered by Parliament that if on the death of Thomas Morgan, Esq., husband of the above Jane Morgan, any part of his estate should be evicted or voided in fee by the above Jane, or by any person or persons claiming under the said Thomas Morgan, that then the said Trustees, or any three of them, should have and enjoy for the uses in the said Ordinance so much in value, of the manors, lands, tenements, and hereditaments of John Preston of the Manor of Furness in the co. of Lanc., Esq., the same to be elected and chosen by the said Trustees, or any three of them, where they shall think fit. Jane Morgan did claim her jointure, and evicted the Trustees out of lands in the parish of Newbold, co. Northampton, worth £500 a year, and the trustees in lieu thereof claimed the rents and profits of all the lands of the said John Preston within the county of Northampton, amounting in the whole to the sum of £150 a year."

(First Series, vol. lxxviii., No. 2444, fol. 637.)

fo. 637. Petition from George Toulnson of Lancaster, Esq., which showed that petitioner, with others, had farmed the estate of the Trustees for Mr. Pym's children, granted to them by an Ordinance of Parliament, which then formerly had been the estate of John Preston, Esq., then lately called Sir John Preston, deceased; that James Anderton, Esq., a Papist delinquent, pretended title to part of the land by him taken called Lingarth, lying and being near Garstange, of the yearly value of £10; by reason whereof the Lancashire Commissioners interrupted petitioners in the peaceful enjoyment of the said parcel, so that they could not fully enjoy their bargain, although petitioners were able to prove that the parcel had belonged to the said John Preston and not to the said James Anderton; he therefore prayed for an examination of his title (31 March 1653). Commissioners to examine and certify, Mr. Reading to report."

#### (First Series, vol. lxxix., No. 2463, fol. 24.)

fo. 24. Petition from Sir Benjamin Rudyard, knight, Francis Rous, and Alexander Pym, Esq., showing that petitioners and others named, for the performance of certain trusts mentioned in an Ordinance of Parliament dated 5 January 1645[-6], had by force of that Ordinance entered upon the lands of John Preston then late of the Manor in Fourneis, Esq., within the county of Lancaster, and the farmers of petitioners had dug for ironstone within the Muckland, being the undoubted right of the said John Preston and enjoyed by him and his ancestors for many years.

Yet some information having been given to the Lancashire Commissioners that petitioners' farmers had dug for ironstone in some waste ground and commons of the then late Earl of Derby's lying within the said manor of Muckland, they resolved to sequester the same as belonging to the Earl and refused to hear any witnesses on behalf of petitioners, pretending they had no power without an order from above, and put a yearly rent on petitioners' farmers for the same; whereas the then late Earl of Derby, having only liberty of commons for some of his tenants' cattle, never could break the soil and never got any ironstone there. (17 July 1653.) Referred to Mr. Brereton.

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1560, fols. 953, &c.)

fo. 953. Report by Mr. Reading dated 14 December 1654, based on orders of 3 August and 7 December 1654, in the case of John Preston, an infant, by his guardian, Col. Edward Cooke, in which he was directed to add to his former report. He found that in regard the petitioner mentioned in his former petition only the counties of Lancaster, York, and Westmoreland, the Commissioners afterwards allowed his titles only in those counties, whereupon he petitioned (I August 1654) that the lands in Cumberland were settled by the same conveyance, which was then referred to Mr. Reading to state and report. By an order of 3 August 1654 the Commissioners of Cumberland were directed to certify. In pursuance of this order Mr. Arthur, a Commissioner in that county, on the 29th of the same month, reported that all that he could find concerning the estates was in a book he had received from the former Commissioners. He found that certain lands in Workinton belonging to John Preston, Esqre, recusant in arms, were then formerly leased by him for £15, which lease had expired on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of February preceding, and that the tenant through extreme bad usage of the land had brought it into such a condition that the Commissioners had much ado to let it for the then current year at £10. Upon reading this the Commissioners had ordered Mr. Reading to add it to his former report.

In his former report he had certified that John

Preston then deceased (petitioner's grandfather), by the conveyance dated 16 August 1636 had settled all these houses, lands and tenements upon the said John Preston the grandfather for life, remainder to John the petitioner's father for life, both of whom were then dead, remainder to first son of his body in tail as the rest of the lands therein mentioned were, which were allowed by the Commissioners.

fo. 955. Counsel's opinion upon the grant made by the grandfather, which the writer deemed to be valid, and that therefore there was no need to retard the proceedings, which he intimated he would give the petitioner notice of.

fo. 959. Petition by Colonel Edward Cooke, which disclosed that upon hearing Mr. Reading's preceding report as to petitioner's title to the lands, they allowed the said estate, except the Cumberland portion, to which no reference had been made in the original petition through an inadvertence on the part of the solicitor; hence the prayer which resulted in the above report.

fo. 961. (A memorandum) "In the case of Col. Cooke on behalf of John Preston an infant, the Commissioners at Haberdashers Hall had been pleased to discharge the lands and tithes of the said John Preston from sequestration, and made an order in this case directed to Westmoreland Commissioners, which though it had been enforced by 4 several orders, had up to this date (21 April 1654) to the great charge and prejudice of Col. Cooke, been disobeyed, and when the last order was made (23 February preceding), which had been served upon Mr. Archer, the then only remaining Commissioner, he said that he could do nothing in it because it was not directed to him singly, and further if it had been he would have done nothing as the Commissioners at Haberdashers Hall had no power to discharge tithes; hence Col. Cook prayed for a peremptory commanding obedience (21 April 1654). Recd this of Mr. Bagshaw (viz.) ye notes in ye booke."

ff. 963-972. Report by Mr. Reading dated April 11, 1654, based upon an order of 14 September 1653 on a petition of Col. Cooke, guardian of John Preston, an infant, desiring that the sequestration of the manor of Weston and Wethe[r]ly, co. Warwick, sequestered then formerly for the delinquency of Thomas Morgan, Esq., deceased, who had therein only a life interest, and since two parts thereof had been sequestered for the delinquency of Jane Morgan, widow, his relict, who had no estate at all in it. Mr. Reading examined and found that by indenture tripartite dated 23 September 1637, between Thomas Morgan of Heyford, co: Northampton, Esq., of the first part, Thomas Fell and John Bradshaw of Gray's Inn, Esq., William Knype, gent., and Anthony Eyre and John Wilkinson of the second part, and John Preston the elder, of the Manor in Furneys, co. Lancaster, of the third part; and by fine levied to the said Thomas Fell, John Bradshaw, and William Knype, and the heirs of the said Thomas Fell in Michaell[mas] term 1637; and by a common recovery suffered in Hilary term then next, wherein the said Anthony Ayre and John Wilkinson were demandants, and Thomas Fell, John Bradshaw and William Knype, tenants; the said Thomas Morgan in consideration of a marriage then shortly to be had, and then since solemnized, between John Preston the younger, son and heir apparent of John Preston the elder, and Jane Morgan, one of the daughters of the said Thomas Morgan, and for the settling and assuring of the lands in the said indenture mentioned, the said Thomas Morgan did convey all that close called Hoggrells Field situate in Newbold co. Northampton with all the tithes thereof. to the use of the said Thomas Morgan for life, after to the use of Sir Richard Farmer, knight, and Ralph Sheldon, Esqre. for 99 years, if Jane wife of the said Thomas Morgan so long lived and after the determination of the said term, to the use of the first son of the body of the said Thomas Morgan, and of the heirs

males of the body of such first son, with remainders to 2<sup>nd</sup> and so on to the 10<sup>th</sup>, and every other son, in default, to the use of such woman as should happen to be the wife of the said Thomas Morgan at the time of his death, and shall be enceinte and with child, until such time as such child or children should be born, if more than one son to the use of the first born and his heirs, with remainder to second son if there should chance to be a second, failing such heirs then to the use of Jane Morgan the daughter for life, and after to the use and behoof of John Preston the younger for life, if issue begotten on the body of the said Jane to the sons in succession and their heirs as before. failing to the daughters, failing to whom Thomas Morgan might limit or appoint, failing to his right heirs for ever; other lands in the co. of Warwick were settled and limited in a similar manner. Anthony Ayre deposed among other things to the indenture tripartite of 23<sup>rd</sup> Sep. 1637, whereby Thomas Morgan settled a great part of his lands for and in lieu of his wife's jointure, and otherwise; to which indenture he was a party. He found that the said Thomas Morgan afterwards became a delinquent and his estate was sequestrated; he died in arms against the Parliament. And he found that by an Ordinance of the Lords and Commons in Parliament assembled of the 5 January 1645[-6]—reciting the great deserts of John Pim, Esq., a member of the House of Commons, and reciting that the said Thomas Morgan, Esq., and John Preston, Esq., being notorious Papists and active recusants, took up arms against the Parliament and the said Thomas Morgan died in arms against the Parliament and the said John Preston was then in arms against the Parliament it was ordered by the said Lords and Commons in Parliament assembled, and by the authority thereof, that the said Thomas Morgan and John Preston should for their said offences forfeit and lose all their manors, messuages, lands, &c., within the realm of England

and dominion of Wales and all their estates therein, and that Oliver St John, Esq., then his Majesty's Solicitor General, Sir Benjamin Rudiard, knight, John Crew, Francis Rous, Anthony Nicoll, and Alexander Pim, Esq., should have and enjoy to them and their heirs for ever (here follow the names of the properties), all the lands, tenements, and hereditaments of the said Thomas Morgan within the kingdom of England, or any other in trust for him, to the only intent and purpose that they the said Oliver St John and the others named above should out of the rents, &c., arising provide for the necessary maintenance of Alexander Pim, Charles Pim his brother, and Katherine Pim, his youngest sister, and with the moneys to be raised by selling the manors, &c., which they were thereby authorized to make, pay the just and due debts owing by John Pim at his death, and out of the said moneys pay £2000 each to Charles and Katherine Pim: with this proviso, that if any of the manors, &c., intended to be settled on the above named persons should be evicted or avoided in fee, or for any other estate, by Jane Morgan, widow and relict of Thomas Morgan or by Mary his daughter, or by the said John Preston or his wife, one of the daughters of the said Thomas Morgan, or by any other person or persons claiming or to claim from by or under the said Thomas Morgan, that then and from thenceforth the said Oliver St John and other the said trustees, or any three of them, should have and enjoy to them and their heirs for ever, for the uses before expressed, so much in value of the lands, &c., of the said John Preston, as should be so evicted or avoided as aforesaid: the said manors, &c., to be chosen by the said Oliver St John and other the said trustees, or any three of them, their heirs or assigns, where they shall think fit; with another proviso in the said Ordinance contained, that all the estate right title and interest of any person or persons, body politic, or corporate, in or to any of the manors, &c., other than the said Thomas Morgan and John Preston their or either of their heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, or the children of them, should be saved and reserved as if that Ordinance had not been made, anything in that Ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding.

It was deposed by the said Jane Morgan, relict of the said Thomas, sworn in London in the case of Sir Anthony Morgan, that the said Thomas Morgan had never any other wife than she, and that he died in 1643 without issue male. And Anthony Eyre deposed that after the death of Thomas Morgan, the said Jane his widow desiring to enjoy her jointure, found that a great part of the deeds and evidences concerning the estate of the said Thomas Morgan were violently taken out of his house by soldiers in the beginning of the wars, and lost and embezzled; whereupon the said Mrs. Morgan or her solicitor were advised to take a copy of the pleadings of the said indenture in the Exchequer. Which was done, and upon that copy she brought an action at law and recovered the said manor at Weston co. of Warwick, and in pursuance of that action gained a verdict, by force whereof she enjoyed the said manor, two parts whereof had been and then were under sequestration for her recusancy. But deposed that the deeds being subsequently found, it appeared that there was a mistake in entering of the record in the Exchequer, for that in truth the said manor was not limited to her for her jointure as was mentioned in the Exchequer plea. After reviewing several points connected with the case, he submitted to judgment whether the sequestration laid on the two thirds of the manor of Weston for the recusancy of the said Jane Morgan widow, who as was alleged had no estate therein, ought not to be discharged, and the petitioner permitted to enjoy the same for the use of the infant.

fo. 977. Petition 14 September 1653.

fo. 979. Certificate exhibiting annual value at Lady-day 1652.

ff. 981-2. Affidavit of Anthony Ayray [Eyre].

fo. 983. Letter from Warwickshire Commissioners. Deposition of Mrs. Jane Morgan.

fo. 987. Petition from Col. Cooke, which showed that John Preston the father had then lately died seised of an estate for life only, with remainder to the infant in tail by settlement from John Preston grandfather of the said infant, long before the wars, of divers lands in the counties of Lancaster, Westmoreland and York.

Petitioner, being a kinsman of the said infant of the half blood and finding the estate much wasted and destroyed, had then recently taken upon himself the guardianship of the said infant, by order from the Lords Com<sup>rs</sup> of the Great Seal. He now desired that the said infant's title might be examined, &c. 13 July 1653. Prayer granted.

ff. 989-995. Report of Mr. Reading 10 August 1653.

fo. 997. Order referring case to Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 699. Copy petition.

fo. 1001. Deposition of John Winder of Whermore co. Lanc., gent., that he saw John Preston of Furness, Esq., seal and deliver two deeds then shown to deponent; witness signed his name at the time on the back of the said deeds.

fo. 1004. Henry Preston of Ellell, fo. 1005. John Wilkinson of Stanton, co. Lanc., gent., also deposed to some facts.

fo. 1007. Return signed by Wm. Moyer, auditor, showing the yearly sums the estate was let for in 1651.

fo. 1009. Certificate exhibiting what proceedings had been taken in the case.

fo. 1011. Order on a petition of John Preston of Fourness, eldest son of John Preston of the same place, desiring an allowance for his own and the support of three of his brothers and sisters. It was stated in this

order that the net annual rents of the estate then under sequestration in the several counties, amounted to £521. 9. 8—a fifth part of which was ordered to be allowed to petitioner, the amount to be taken out of the Yorkshire estates unless John Pym's trustees showed within 14 days cause to the contrary.

fo. 1013. Document, which shows that the Yorkshire Commissioners did not obey the order, repeating the order imperatively (6 January 1653[-4]; the first order

was dated 3 August 1653).

fo. 1015. Petition 3 August 1653 desiring allowance for maintenance.

fo. 1017. Petition by Col. Cooke.

fo. 1019. Certificate exhibiting the allocation of Thomas Morgan's and John Preston's estates to the Pym Trustees.

ff. 1021-23. Papers relating to the appointment of

Col. Cooke as guardian of the infant.

fo. 1025. Petition of Col. Cooke 29 February 1650[-1].

fo. 1027. Affidavit of Joseph Budden touching the death of Lady Jane Preston, late wife of John Preston, knight, *alias* John Preston of the Manor in Furness, by which it appears her ladyship died, and was buried at the City of York, in or about the month of June 1644.

ff. 1033-8. Report.

ff. 1039-1048. Various papers connected with the case.

fo. 1057. Petition.

fo. 1059. Return showing annual rents of the estate.

fo. 1089. Order—to allow a 5th.

fo. 1091. Copy of order to pay to F. Bagshaw the amount allowed.

(First Series, vol. lxiv., No. 2060, fols. 316, &c.)

fo. 316. Petition from Richard Tomlinson of Dalton in Furneis, minister, which showed that an augmentation of £50 a year had formerly been granted to him to be paid out of the tithes of Dalton due then formerly to

one — Preston, Esq., Papist and delinquent, who was impropriator: but the people who were to have paid the tithes were so averse thereunto that petitioner never received a penny of the augmentation; that in pursuance of an order from the Commissioners above, the Lancashire Commissioners summoned the inhabitants of Dalton to appear before them and show cause why they should not pay the said augmentation. Whereupon they appeared, but failing to show cause the Commissioners granted petitioner an order to distrain; but the people of the town assaulted and beat off the officers and pursued them eight miles, and thus petitioner reapt no benefit by the order. Petitioner therefore prayed for an order to distrain the inhabitants of Dalton for the said augmentation, to be directed to his friends and to the soldiers of the county troop to assist them therein. 3 October 1654. "The petr to apply himselfe to ye Trustees for Ministrs Maintenance who pply haue ve Conusance of his case."

fo. 317. Copy of the order to pay referred to in petition above, dated 23 December 1653:

fo. 319. Preston in By the Comrs for Seqcons the 21th of Feb. 1653[-4]

Whereas by an Order from the Comittee for Plundered Ministers dated the 18<sup>th</sup> of March 1652[-3] Recytinge a form order of the 3<sup>d</sup> of Janu 1648[-9] Whereby they granted the yearley sume of 50<sup>li</sup> out of the pfits of the Impropriate Rectory of Dalton, seq<sup>rd</sup> from John Preston Esq., for increase of the maintenance of Richard Tomlinson Minister of the pish Church of Dalton aforesaid over and above the yearly stipend of £17. 6s. 8d. payable to him out of the said Rectory:

It is thereby Ordered that as well all arreares of the said £50 a yeare as of the saide yearlie stypend to him due Be paid. Which Order is by another Order from the Com<sup>rs</sup> for Compoundinge dated the 23<sup>th</sup> of December 1653 confirmed and allowed to be paid accordingly.

But not findinge any of the profits of the said Rectory paid into the Treasury, but detayned by the Inhabitants as wee are informed, and the yearlie Rent of 150<sup>11</sup> due to the Comonwealth for the said Tythes since December 1645 being wholly in arrear and unpaid, together with part of the sume of 500<sup>11</sup> due before that tyme and undertaken to be paid by Thomas Shaw rector of Aldingham, Thomas Medcalfe of Lanc<sup>r</sup> gent., James Postlethwite, John Jackson, John Sharp and others, upon the 25<sup>th</sup> of January 1645:

Itt is ordered that the agent for Lonsdale Hundred shall summon the above named persons to appear before us at Preston upon the 16<sup>th</sup> of March next to shew cause why they should not pay the arrears of the said £500, undertaken by them as aforesaid. And that he likewise sumon the said James Postlethw<sup>te</sup> and the other Inhabitants of Dalton and Lindall to appeare at the same tyme, to shew cause why they should not pay the Arreares of the Rent of £150 p ann due since December 1645 as aforesaid. Otherwise the same to be leuied by way of distress

Ro. Massey.

"Intr E. W. Vera Copia."

"Richard Tomlinson maketh oath that this coppy is true examined by ye originall order by him.

Sworne 27 Sep. 1654 before ye Com<sup>rs</sup>.
R. TOMLINSON."

fo. 321. Affidavit of Henry Atkinson.

fo. 323. Petition.

fo. 325. Order issued by the Committee for Plundered Ministers dated March 18, 1652[-3], directing the payment of the arrears and of a stipend due to him. This document is signed by William Hay, George Serk, Tho. Jarvoise and John Palmer.

#### Thomas Preston of Holker, Esq.

(Second Series, vol. xxxiv., No. 1879, fols. 611, &c.)

fo. 611. Delinquency, that he made out warrants as a Commissioner of Array. He compounded on a particular which disclosed that by virtue of a conveyance made in 17 Charles I [1641-2] he was seised of a frank tenement for life, with remainder to his son George Preston and the heirs males of his body, of two capital messuages called Holker-Hall and Frithall and other lands and tenements in the parish of Cartmell worth yearly £300; that he was seised in fee to him and his heirs of certain lands and tenements in Dalton in Furness and Lancaster worth yearly £50, also of an estate for 3 lives in the rectory of Cartmell, its value above the £55 reserved rent to the Bishop of Chester being £60 per ann; that he was seised in fee of certain old rents in Ulverston worth 2s. yearly; that he was seised in fee of the manor of Kirkby-Langsdale in Westmoreland of the yearly value in "Demeasnes Copyhoulders Rents & Free Rents 9011" and in old rents £20; also of the manor of Preston-Richard in the parish of Heversham in Westmoreland, worth yearly £23. He owed by bonds £2000. Fine at a sixth £1842. 18. 0.

He appended a request to increase his receipts from the rectory of Cartmel to £160 a year.

fo. 613. Report, and on review fine reduced to £1392. If compounder settled the impropriation of Cartmell or £120 a year, then the fine to stand at £752.

fo. 615. Order of the Committee of Goldsmiths' Hall dated 27 August 1648, mentioning the conditions upon which a composition could be effected.

fo. 617. Petition, in which, though acknowledging he had subscribed to some warrants as a Commissioner of Array, he stated that when the enemy advanced into the district where he resided he protected and secured the houses, goods, persons and estates of many com-

manders and others well affected to the Parliament and procured enlargement from imprisonment of above 40 persons of the Parliament soldiers at his own charge, and that for the aforesaid crime [signing warrants] he submitted to a yearly rent and had then paid out of his estate £1250.

fo. 619. A particular of his estate given by Mr. Henry Farrington, according to his best knowledge and re-

membrance (3 April 1646).

fo. 621. Letter from the Committee at Preston (dated 24 September 1646) to the Committee in London, stating that in accordance with their order of 6 April 1646 they had made the inquiries into the matters referred to and enclosed the report, attested by the clerk's hand.

fo. 623. Report from the Committee of Westmoreland, with valuation of compounder's property in that

county.

ff. 625-6. Report of the Lancashire Sequestration Agents of a like character, countersigned by E. Wall, clerk of the Committee at Preston.

fo. 627. Certificate signed by Ric. Hoghton, Ra. Assheton and W. Ashurst, stating that compounder submitted himself in 1643, was engaged on the side of the Parliament in the wars of 1647–1648. Dated 12 December 1648.

fo. 629. Particular of the Lancashire estate, in which petitioner mentions that he had a life interest in it, with remainder to his son George and the heirs males of his body, as appears by an indenture dated 15 February 17 Charles I [1641-2] made at and in consideration of his marriage with Katherin, daughter of Sir Gilbert Hoghton. The annual value was £643. 2. o. At foot it is stated that there was a charge made by the Committee of Plundered Ministers upon the rectory of Cartmell and the Bishop's rent to the minister at the church of £50, and to four ministers at the chapels of Flookburgh, Lindall, Stauley and Cartmelfell £40 each. Total £210.

On the back of this paper: "Pd June 18, 1649, £552. Settled £120 for 2 lives, for w<sup>ch</sup> £840 was allowed. Paid more August 30 1650 £212, in full of £1592 and Interest"

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1559, fols. 1020, &c.)

fo. 1020. A petition for the above by Foster Pleasance his solicitor, by which it appears that an order granted by the Commissioners above had been sent to the Lancashire Committee; and petitioner had been credibly informed that the Committee in that county, to whom Mr. Preston had been referred for taking the Oath and Covenant, had not sat then for some time nor were they likely to sit soon, which—Mr. Preston being unable through illness to come to London-was likely to put a stop to his proceedings in the composition (for which he otherwise was ready). Petitioner suggested that if the proceedings were allowed to proceed the administration of the oath might be taken later; dated 27 January 1648[-9]. Ordered that Sir Richard Houghton and Mr. Ashurst should witness his taking the Covenant, and that the sub-Committee should examine the deed, &c.

fo. 1032. Order dated I January 1648[-9] by the London Commissioners sitting at Goldsmiths' Hall, that Thomas Preston, Esq., of Holker, should pay the sum of £1842. 188. od., as a fine for his delinquency, one moiety in hand, the other at three months; but if he satisfied them that he had but a life interest in the manor of Holker Hall, &c., valued at £300 a year, then the fine was to be abated by the sum of £450. os. od., and he was referred to the sub-committee in Lancashire to take the Negative Oath and Covenant, the taking to be certified by the Committee, and they also to treat with him as to the sale of his rectory.

(First Series, vol. xiii., No. 355, fol. 402.)

fo. 402. "To the Right Honble the Comissionrs for Compoundinge with Delinquents.

"The humble peticon of John Cathrall in ye behalfe of himselfe & ye rest of ye parishionrs of ye Parrish of Cartmell in ye County of Lancaster

" Sheweth

"That the said parish being of a large extent and very populous, consisting of about 3000 Comunicants, yor peticoners have beene Constreyned to bee att a very great Charge for mayntenance of twoe able Mynisters for dispencing the word & other Ordinances amongst them, there being noe settled means belonging to the said pish.

"That forasmuch as Thomas Preston of Holker in ye said County Esq. hath lately made his Composicon wth this Comittee & amongst the rest of his Estate hath Compounded for the Rectory of Cartmell wth hee holds by Lease for lives of the Bishopp of Chester but

as yet hath not paid any part of his fyne,

"Yor Peticonrs humbly pray yor honors to take there Condicon into yor serious Consideración and to bee pleased to Order that ye £120 p Anñ weh they are informed this hoble Comttee out of there pyous Care are aboute bye in of Mr. Preston out of his said Rectory may bee setled upon ye Ministery of ye said Parish towards there future Mayntenance (Vizt) £80 thereof upon the Mynister of Cartmell & 40li upon the Chappell of Cartmell Fell.

"And yor petrs shall ever pray.

"22 Febu 1646

To Cast up the Impropriation at 7 years purchase. £120 p ann to be allowed, 840<sup>th</sup> to be abated out of the Composition."

#### William Preston of Ellel.

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3436, fols. 293, &c.)

fo. 293. Report of Mr. Brereton, by which it appears petitioner was one of the persons comprised in the Act for the Sale of Lands forfeited for Treason. The estate was surveyed according to the Act, and returned to the Surveyor-General 8th April (copy transmitted to Committee 16th same month). He petitioned 19th of April. By the survey it appeared he had been possessed of a tenement and lands in the parish of Cockerram of the yearly value of £25.19s.; also of three other closes of land in the possession of Richard Shireson of the clear yearly value of £6.18s., which last-named premises had been leased by petitioner to one Nicholas Clarkson for 24 years from Candlemas then last and Richard Shireson held by assignment from Clarkson. Fine at two-sixths £185.9s. 8d. (26 April 1653).

fo. 296. Petition.

fo. 297. Order to refer to Mr. Brereton.

fo. 300. Receipt, dated 12 May 1653, for £185. 9s. 8d., the full amount of fine.

fo. 301. Deposition of Henry Preston of Ellel, co. Lancaster, touching the indenture between petitioner and Nicholas Clarkson.

#### (First Series, vol. 1., No. 1560, fol. 1050.)

fo. 1050. Petition, which discloses that petitioner conceived he had been unjustly sequestrated and therefore prayed for particulars of the charges against him. 16 August 1650. Ordered that if the petitioner were not sequestered by the then late Committee, the Commissioners below shall give him a copy of the charges against him in writing and afford him facilities to cross-examine witnesses or produce and examine witnesses on his own behalf. This order emanated from the Barons of the Exchequer on an appeal by petitioner against the

sequestration. 27 August 1650. A second petition, praying for an order to give him the heads of the charges against him in writing and that he might know the names of the witnesses against him, &c. Prayer granted.

(First Series, vol. l., No. 1560, fol. 1067.)

fo. 1067. Petition of Mary, wife of William Preston of Ellell, mentioning that her husband's small estate was and had been for a long time under sequestration for his delinquency. She prayed for an allowance of a fifth, which was granted, 7 May 1652.

# Sir Thomas Prestwich of Hulme, Bart., and Thomas Prestwich, his son and beir.

(Second Series, vol. xxvii., No. 1422, fols. 145, &c.)

fo. 145. Delinquency, in arms against the Parliament. He took the National Covenant before Thomas Neesham, minister of Stoake Dawborne, Surrey, 15 January 1646[-7], the Negative Oath 25 February 1646[-7] and petitioned on 6 August 1646. He compounded on a particular which disclosed that he was seised in fee, in possession to him and his heirs, of several messuages and lands in Hulme, Wythenshaw, Manchester, Salford and in Sholaer, Crompton and other places in the parish of Oldham, worth  $f_{208}$ . 13s. 4d. per annum; also of a like estate out of certain "old rents" issuing out of lands &c. in the said places, worth £20 yearly. From and after the death of his mother, Mrs. Margaret Prestwich, there would come to him and his heirs other lands and tenements lying in the towns and fields aforesaid, worth £104. 6s. 8d. yearly, and also "old rents" worth £10 yearly. He claimed a deduction of £100, amount of a mortgage on certain of his Manchester lands due to one George Cheetham, also a rent charge of £20 granted to his brother John Prestwich for life issuing out of the above lands. He stood indebted £400 to several persons. His personal estate had been all lost, his woods cut down and destroyed, his houses wasted, and his wife and children had received no maintenance out of his estate for the preceding five years. Fine £641. 13s. 4d.

ff. 147-148. Report on petitioner's estate and his statement, signed D. Walkins, 20 May 1649. At foot: "Fyne upō Truro Articles is £443. 8s. 8d.

" July 27° 1649."

- fo. 149. Communication from Jo. Leach (April 24, 1649) stating that, upon a petition of compounder's alleging that errors had been made by those who had prosecuted his composition and his estate was overvalued: Ordered that he should be at liberty to put in a new particular.
- fo. 151. Affidavit of Thomas Prestwich that Ellis Prestwich was entitled to be paid an annuity of  $\pounds_4$  a year out of petitioner's estate. Sworn before Rob Aylett, 10 May 1649.

fo. 153. Particular of his estate.

fo. 154. "A Particular of some goods already discovered for which also the Compounder desires leave to compound." The goods were stated to be in the hands of the "Feoffees of the Schoole of Manchester or their Farmers." In other hands were "An Eastrige Egg tipp't with Silver and gilt," and "A Nutt tipp'd with silver." Value of the whole £25.

fo. 156. Petition, in which compounder states they had fined him £641. 138. 4d. He had paid a moiety of it and secured the rest. He mentions the errors, and requests they would examine into the matter and abate the fine imposed. fo. 158. Petition.

fo. 159. Certificate that he had taken the National Covenant, signed by Tho. Neesham, John Drake and Roger Leadbeater (his mark). Also at foot certificate that he had taken the Negative Oath before Tho. Vincent, 25 February 1646[-7].

fo. 162. Pass signed by Sir Tho. Fairfax, granting permission to Sir Thomas Prestwich, "Barronett &

Colonell," with seven servants, eight horses, two cases of pistols, baggage and other necessaries to pass into Lancashire; dated 18 March 1645[-6]. On same folio a pass similarly signed, dated 20<sup>th</sup> of same month, granting him permission as before, but with "6 case of Pistolls," to pass into London and thence beyond the seas.

fo. 165. Certificate signed by John Holcroft, testifying that Margaret Prestwich, widow, late wife of Edmund Prestwich of Hulme, Esq., had been possessed of the third part of Hulme aforesaid, and the third of the other lands since her husband's death; also that the estate was charged with the sum of £20 a year payable to John Prestwich his younger son; dated 9 October 1646.

fo. 167. Affidavit of Sir Thomas Prestwich, sworn before J. Sadler, 6 March 1646[-7], that he stood indebted on mortgage of £100 to Mr. George Cheetham

of Manchester.

fo. 169. Particular of compounder's estate.

fo. 172. Affidavit of John Rogerson of Manchester in connection with the above mortgage.

#### Thomas Ince.1

(First Series, vol. c., No. 3987, fols. 49, &c.)

fo. 54. Letter dated at Preston 22 Sep. 1654, signed by E. Aspinwall and Ro: Massey, mentioning that in observance of an order of the 14 June then last, made upon the petition of Richard Ashton, Thurstan Standish, and John Rigby, for additional proof to be made touching their title to certain lands in Lancashire seised as the lands of Thomas Ince, they state they had taken the examinations of the above, copies of which together with the interrogatories they enclosed, stating they had nothing further to certify than they had formerly returned.

fo. 49. Interrogatories administered.

fo. 50. Examination of Richard Ashton of Abram, yeoman, aged 70 years, fo. 51. of Thurstan Standish of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See iv. S.

Burgh in Chorley, aged 78 years, sworn at Wigan 25 Aug. 1654.

fo. 56. Letter from Preston on same subject dated 20 February 1650[-1].

ff. 57-9. Examinations of several witnesses. In this set there is a great deal of genealogical matter connected with petitioners' families.

#### James Prisoe.

(First Series, vol. lxxviii., No. 2425, fol. 409.)

Petition from John Piper of Dalton in Furnes, co. Lanc: husbandman, which disclosed that about 12 years then preceding petitioner intermarried with Elizabeth his wife, the only sister of one James Prisoe, who was then beyond the seas and not heard of for eleven years; petitioner after his marriage was admitted, in right of his wife, tenant to some copyhold land lying in Dalton belonging to Prisoe, and paid his fine for admittance to the same, to the use of the State; that about two years then ago Prisoe returning demanded his lands and the "mean" profits of the same for the whole time petitioner had enjoyed it, which petitioner paid. Shortly after one William Spenceley purchased the land from Prisoe, and the latter two months after went again beyond the seas, leaving two parts of his purchase money in the hands of Spenceley. After his departure it was reported that he was a Papist, and the Lancashire Commissioners sequestered the land for the arrears of rent paid by petitioner to Prisoe, and ordered that the agent for Lonsdale Hundred should distrain upon the third part of the estate for six years' rent, and in case there was not sufficient then to distrain the goods of petitioner. He therefore prayed that as Spenceley held two thirds of the purchase money, any deficiency might be taken out of that, and petitioner held harmless (February 3, 1652[-3]. Referred to Mr. Reading; the Commissioners to certify.

# Giles Proctor of Wiraiton, Busbandman.

(First Series, vol. lxxx., No. 2540, fols. 547, &c.)

fo. 547. Petition, showing that his father had adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament in his lifetime, for which his small estate had been sequestrated. Petitioner himself having ever been well affected towards the Parliament, asks to be admitted to a moderate composition for his said father's delinquency. (No date; no order.)

fo. 549. Particular.

For Margaret and Mary Proctor see John Gerard and Robert White.

For Thomas Pyc see Henry White.

For Alexander Pym see John Preston.

# Richard Quick of Woolton Magna.

(First Series, vol. lvii., No. 1839, fol. 525.)

fo. 525. Petition, stating that he had been inserted in the third late Act for Sale, and that his property lying in Much Woolton in the parish of Childwall had been surveyed for sale; he therefore prayed, as permitted by a proviso in the Act, to be admitted to compound for the same. This was agreed to and referred (14 February 1653[-4] to Mr. Reading to report upon.

(Second Series, vol. liv., No. 3574, fols. 15, &c.)

fo. 15. Report by Mr. Readinge, by which it appeared petitioner was one of the persons comprised in the Act for Sale of Lands forfeited for Treason. His estate was surveyed and certified 26 January 1653[-4]; he peti-

tioned 14 February same year. By the survey he appears to have been seised of a tenement and several parcels of land in Much Woolton worth £3.14s. yearly.

It was certified by the surveyors that Robert Quick, by indenture dated 23 February 1610[-1], in consideration of marriage of Sarah his daughter to John Cook, granted to the said Cook a tenement and certain lands there for 99 years if the said John and Sarah so long lived, to commence from the death of John Grice (whom they certified to be dead), at a rental of 8s. a year; therefore Richard Quick had in possession only the said 8s. a year and reversion after two lives of 17s. 10d. more. They certified further that John and Sarah were living at the date of report, that a rent of 1s. 4d. due on the premises to the Earl of Derby was then being received by the State, "and that there is paid by the heir for relief at the death of the Ancestor 1s. 4d., which they vallew at 2d."

fo. 17. Petition.

fo. 19. Order of reference (no fine mentioned).

For Richard Rabie see Henry White.

# Elexander Radcliffe of Fox Denton, Esq.

(First Series, vol. lxxvi., No. 2349, fols. 513, &c.)

fo. 513. Petition, which showed that petitioner's father deceased did in his lifetime convey and assure all his lands to the use of Robert Radcliffe his eldest son and his heirs male, and for want of such issue to William (subsequently Sir William) his second son—who had been sequestered for his delinquency—and his heirs male, in default to petitioner and the heirs male of his body; which said Robert and William Radcliffe died without issue and thereby petitioner, who was well affected and had paid all his contributions to the Garrison

of Manchester, was seised thereof as tenant in tail in reversion as appeared by the deed previously exhibited and proved before the Committee. The Committee granted petitioner their certificate in July 1648 to inhibit the then agent for sequestration from meddling in the sequestration of petitioner's estate real and personal. The then new Commissioners sequestered the estate for the delinquency of Sir William, petitioner's brother, although the estate was subject to several rent charges, portions and statutes; so that unless relief was extended to him he would have been speedily ruined.

Prayed an order confirming the order of the Committee or to examine his witnesses for proof of the deed; which was agreed to (18 March 1650[-1].

fo. 515. Second petition—(July 22, 1652).

fo. 517. Report.

ff. 518-528. Petitions, examinations, certificates and other papers connected with the case.

ff. 531-546. Report and other papers connected with the proceedings.

#### (First Series, vol. Ii., No. 1570, fols. 96, &c.)

fo. 96. Petition from Alexander Potter, gent., Susan his wife, John Byrom, gent., and Mary his wife, sisters, coheirs and executrixes to Alexander Radcliffe, then late of Fox Denton in Co: Lanc., Esq., deceased; by which it appeared that in a former petition they had complained that the Commissioners for Lancashire had about the month of January 1652[-3] seized upon their personal estates for some arrears of rent pretended to have been since 1648 for the estate of the said Alexander Radcliffe, and had thereupon constrained petitioners to give security by bond of £400 for payment of £209 at a day then to come for their goods being appraised at so much, and had subsequently received £100 in part thereof. The Commissioners above ordered—if the

Lancashire Commissioners had seized their property for no other cause—that then they should restore the money for which the same had been sold; and upon the petition of Mary Radcliffe, widow and relict of the said Alexander, the Commissioners ordered that she should have the arrears of the said Alexander's estate which had incurred subsequent to the first of April 1651. As the said Mary Radclyffe's interest in the said estate was only in right of her jointure made by the said Alexander her husband, who died on the 27 September 1652—and so the arrears ought not to be paid to her before that time, but to petitioners—and as the Commissioners had received from the said Alexander £48 for arrears from 1648 and had not restored the £100 received by them from petitioners nor delivered up their bond, to their great prejudice, notwithstanding that the said Commissioners had received the order of the 13 April 1653 as by an affidavit appeared: petitioners therefore prayed that the arrears up to 27 September 1652 should be paid to them and not to the said Mary, and that the Commissioners be ordered forthwith to restore as well the said money received from the said Alexander as of the petitioners' and deliver up the bond to be cancelled. 27 July 1653. Bonds to be delivered up; as to arrears, widow to show cause in 7 weeks from then whether she should have them till death of her husband—she to have timely notice of the above order.

fo. 97. Petition of Mary Radcliffe the widow, which showed that William Radcliffe, Esq., father of Alexander Radcliffe, by indenture dated 12 May 1642, for the consideration therein expressed did settle the manor of Chadderton in the county of Lancaster and the capital messuages with the appurtenances called Fox Denton and certain colemines and the third part of a mill in Chadderton and divers other messuages, lands, tenements and hereditaments in the parish of Oldham, Chaderton, Newcroft and Urmeston or elsewhere in the county of Lancaster, to the use of Robert Radcliffe

his eldest son and the heirs male of his body; remainder to William Radcliffe his second son and the heirs male of his body; remainder to the said Alexander Radcliffe. petitioner's then late husband, and the heirs male of his body; remainder to the right heirs of the said William Radcliffe the father for ever, charged nevertheless with £40 a year to the father for life and £800 for portions for his four daughters and £20 a year to each till their portions were paid. Robert the eldest son died 11 November 1642 without issue, in the lifetime of William the father, who died about December 1642. William the second son thereupon entered, and he being in arms against the Parliament the premises were sequestered for his delinquency in May 1646; he died 23 March 1647[-8] without issue. The premises by virtue of the third entail came then to Alexander and were discharged from sequestration by the Lancashire Committee by their order of 12 July 1648. As the estate tail of William was spent, petitioner's husband enjoyed the estate till in April 1651 the Lancashire Commissioners resequestered it, it not having been as they conceived sufficiently discharged. That thereupon her husband petitioned the London Commissioners for an order to discharge the sequestration, who referred his title and the whole proceedings to their counsel to state and report. Upon hearing thereof, after several debates thereupon it was on 16 December 1651 ordered that the case should be reported to the Committee of the Army to the end that the pleasure of the Parliament might be known therein; which was reported accordingly; yet notwithstanding the said William Radcliffe was inserted in the then late Act for Sale. Whereupon petitioner on behalf of herself and "the child she goes with," her said husband having died, she put in her claim at the Committee for the Removal of Obstructions for the allowance of the premises settled upon her for her jointure and the interest of the

child she goes with; which was allowed by the Commis-

sioners by order dated 7 February 1652[-3] after consideration had by them of that part of the Act of Sale dated 18 November 1652, page 3819, concerning all reversions and remainders expectant upon any estate tail—(13 April 1653).

fo. 99. Affidavit of William Kelley of Manchester, sworn before the Commissioners 7 July 1653, that he accompanied Mrs. Potter to Wigan on 15 June 1653 and saw her deliver an order from the Commissioners above to the Commissioners then sitting there, and heard Mrs. Potter desire the Commissioners to allow of the said Order. They answered the petition was not rightly grounded, for they seized not on the estate as a personal estate but on the premises. She then also desired to have the bond delivered up unto her wherein her said husband and Mr. Byrom stood bound. They answered they would not till they had received the remainder of the money (£109 or thereabout). She then desired to know how long they would wait for the money. The Agent replied it was then long due and he must have it speedily.

#### (First Series, vol. lxxvi., No. 2349, fol. 437.)

fo. 437. Petition from Alexander Radcliffe, Margaret, Susan, and Mary Radcliffe, and John Stanhope, Esq., which showed that petitioners had several rent charges of £20 a year payable to each, issuing out of the manor of Gaderton lying and being in the county of Lancaster and out of the capital messuages with the appurtenances called Fox Denton in Gaderton aforesaid; which several rent charges had then formerly been allowed by the then former Committee of the County, and subsequently their title had been proved by several witnesses before their Honours.

Petitioners therefore prayed for order to the Lancashire Commissioners, directing them to allow and pay the said several rent charges with the arrears for 1651;

petitioners having no other meanes to live upon (5 December 1651). No order.

fo. 439. Affidavit of Alexander Radclyffe in the matter, sworn before the Commissioners in London 10 December 1651.

#### (First Series, vol. Ixxvi., No. 2349, fol. 529.)

fo. 529. Petition from Mary Ratcliffe widow, relict of Alex Radcliffe then late of Fox Denton, Esq., deceased, on the behalf of herself "and the child she goes with," similar to petition from her printed above.

The date (except the year 1653) and the order obliterated by damp.

#### (First Series, vol. viii., No. 213, fol. 866.)

fo. 866. Petition of John Byrom and Alexander Potter, gent., showing that William Radcliffe, Esq., by indenture dated 12 May 1642 settled the manor of Chadderton and other lands in the county of Lancaster to the use of Robert Radcliffe his eldest son and the heirs males of his body, remainder to William his second son and the heirs males of his body, remainder to Alexander his third son and the heirs males of his body, remainder to the right heirs of the said William the father for ever. Robert the eldest son died in November 1642 without issue, in the lifetime of William the father, who died December following; and thereupon William Radcliffe the second son entered and being in arms against the Parliament the premises became sequestered for his delinquency in May 1646, and the 27 March 1647 he died without issue; whereby the premises came to Alexander Radcliffe and were discharged from sequestration by the Committee for Lancashire by an order of 12 July 1648 upon security given by the said Alexander and petitioners, who married two of his sisters, and was

by him quietly enjoyed until April 1651 when the then present Commissioners re-sequestrated the said estate as not being sufficiently discharged; and they had then lately seized and sold or disposed of the greatest part of petitioners' personal estate for the profits of the premises grown due since the said orders of 12 July 1648, "Notwithstanding that you have been pleased to Report the Case of the said Alexander to the Committee for the Army that so the pleasure of the Parliament might be known so therein, And that title hath bin allowed of by the Commissioners for removinge of obstructions upon the petition of Mary Radcliffe the widdowe & Relict of the said Alexander Radcliffe deceased: by which proceeding of the said Commissioners your Petitioners theire wifes and children are utterly ruined in their Estates, unlesse tymely releived by your Honours."

Prayed they would take the matter into consideration and afford such relief as they should think meet.

13 April 1653—Order made that if the petition was true as to the facts that then the personal estate should be restored or the proceeds for which they had been sold.

(First Series, vol. ci., No. 4086, fols. 217, &c.)

fo. 220. Letter, dated at Manchester 25 April 1651, signed by Peter Holt and Robert Cunliffe, mentioning that in observance of an Order of the 18th March 1650[-1] upon the petition of the above requiring them to examine the matter and contents of the petition with witnesses on oath for proof of the deed therein mentioned; which they did and enclosed copies, and certified that the estate mentioned in the petition was sequestrated for the delinquency of Sir William Radcliffe deceased (no date mentioned).

fo. 217. Examinations taken at Manchester 24 April 1651 of John Leigh of Gorton, gentleman, who said that he at the instance and entreaty of William Radcliffe

then late of Fox Denton, Esq., engrossed a deed tripartite in the 18th year of King Charles [1642] made between the said William Radcliffe, Esq., on the first part, Robert Radcliffe, gent., his son and heir apparent, likewise then deceased on the second part, and Sir Alexander Radcliffe of Ordsall, of the Honorable Order of the Bath, Knt, Richard Holland of Denton, Esq., and Thomas Prestwich of Hulme, Esq., on the third part; and he said that the deed then showed him was the same as he had engrossed and that the paper book out of which he engrossed the deed was drawn by counsell and agreed upon by the said parties months before he engrossed the deed; and he believed to be true that the said estate became sequestrated for the delinquency of Sir William Radcliffe, Knight, second son to the said William Radcliffe, Esq., both of whom were then dead.

fos. 217-18. James Whittacre of Chaderton, husbandman, a witness to the indenture, identified the document exhibited as the one he had set his name to.

For Edward Radcliffe see Oliver Nabb.

For Savill Radcliffe see Thomas Berrard.

# William Radcliffe of Fox Denton, Esq.

(Second Series, vol. xvii., No. 922, fols. 755, &c.)

fo. 755. Delinquency, in arms against the Parliament. Came in on the Articles of Truro; by certificate of Sir Thomas Fairfax 20 March 1645[-6]; took the National Covenant before W<sup>m</sup> Barton 29 October 1646 and the Negative Oath the same day. He compounded on a particular which disclosed that he was seised of an estate tail to him and the heirs male of his body of a messuage, demesne lands and tenements lying in Fox Denton and other lands in Chadderton worth £190 a year.

Also in fee certain rents out of a messuage and lands in Chadderton worth £16 a year. He claimed deductions for an annuity of £20 a year for life payable to Alexander Radcliffe, gent., as by a deed of compounder's father (William Radcliffe) deceased dated 12 May 1642 would appear. Also by the same deed the sum of £800, payable by compounder in sums of £200 each to his sisters Margaret, Elizabeth, Susan and Mary, and £20 a year until they shall be so paid. £30 a year payable to the poor of Oldham, a mortgage debt of £260 due to one Peirce Edgecombe, also £250 due on mortgage to Sir John Stanhopp Knt.

fo. 757. Certificate signed by W<sup>m</sup> Barton that petitioner had taken the National Covenant and Negative Oath.

fo. 762. Pass, signed by Genl. Fairfax, dated 20 March 1645[-6].

fo. 763. Affidavit of William Radcliffe [? petitioner] touching the incumbrances, &c. Sworn 31 October 1646 before Robt Aylett.

fo. 765. Particular.

fo. 766. Fine £463. Report 1 August 1649 "Paid Nothing"; "Returned Saveing G 516."

#### William Radcliffe of Balderston, Busbandman.

(Second Series, vol. xli., No. 2410, fols. 333, &c.)

fo. 333. Delinquency, adhering to the forces raised against the Parliament: he petitioned 18 May 1649, and compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seised in fee of a messuage and cottage in Balderston worth yearly £5. Fine £15 (19 June 1649).

fo. 335. Petition.

# William Radley of the Ball on the Bill, Co. Lanc.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1662, fol. 122.)

fo. 122. Petition, which showed that his estate had been "secured" by the Lancashire Commissioners. He stated that he was hopeful of proving himself innocent, and therefore prayed for a particular of the charges against him; which was granted. Also if the estate was neither sequestered nor secured, he was to enjoy the same pending the inquiry—on security being given. 27 January 1651[-2].

For Rainforth Chapel see James Smith.

#### John Ranicars.

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1651, fol. 1090.)

fol. 1090. Petition, which showed that he had by indenture with livery and seisin, bearing date 10 June 1649, purchased from Nicholas Ranicars a delinquent, for a valuable consideration, a messuage and certain lands in Hindley, co. Lanc: "which is truly menconed and conteyned wth the values thereof in ye perticular hereunto annexed." He prayed that he might be allowed to compound for the same (27 August 1650).

fo. 1091. "Reiected." The particular: total value per annum £10. 13. 4.

For John Ranson see John Catterall.

# Edmond Raphson of Ince Blundell, Busbandman.

(Second Series, vol. xlvii., No. 2979, fol. 541, &c.)

fo. 541. Delinquency, adhering to the late King's party against the Parliament: he petitioned 28 November 1650 alleging that he had been discharged as not being worth £200, but fearing he might be further

troubled he desired to compound. His particular disclosed that he was seised of a messuage in Ince Blundell and several small closes of land for the term of 2 lives, which messuage and lands were worth yearly £1. 15. 6. Personal estate £45. Fine £11. 1. (12 December 1650).

fo. 546. Petition. fo. 543. Particular.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3856, fol. 434.)

fo. 434. "Gentlemen

"Whereas by yor Order of the 10th of December last made upon the Peticon of Edmund Raphson of Ince Blundell Wee are Required to Certifie unto yor honnors a Coppie of the Record whereby fynd the Petr to be Sequestred as a Papist In observance Whereof wee humbly make Bold to Certifie vt our form Certificate touchinge his Recusancie dated upon the tenth of Aprill 1651 was grounded upon ve Accompts of the former Agents wherein they Returne him as a Papist delingt since weh tyme wee have pused the Conviccons Returnd unto us by the Clarke of the Peace for this County and doe find that att a Sessions of Peace holden att Wigan the 18th of Januarie 1640[-1] Edmund Raphson of Ince Blundell and Anne his daughter were Convicted of Recusancie weh Edmund Raphson wee conceiue to be ye same menconed in yor order And doe humblie Submitt the same to yor further consideracon and Rest

"Yor honnors humble Servts

"ROBT CUNLIFFE "G. PIGOTT."

"Preston 27° Febr "1651[-2]."

(First Series, vol. lii., No. 1646, fols. 1045, &c.)

fo. 1045. Petition from Edmond Ralphson of Ince Blundell, husbandman, which showed that petitioner had previously effected his composition and paid his fine and obtained an order from the Commissioners above to discharge his estate from sequestration; the Lancashire Commissioners refused to obey the order, alleging that petitioner was sequestered as a Papist delinquent. Petitioner averred he always had been a Protestant, and never before accounted a Papist, and that he constantly frequented the parish church where he lived, as was certified by the minister, churchwardens and other parishioners; that he had taken the Engagement and was willing and ready to take the oath of Abjuration to free himself from the imputation of being a Papist. He therefore prayed, he being a poor man and lying in London then at a very great charge, that he might receive an order for discharge of the sequestration. (10 December 1651.) "The Cors to certify to us a copy of ye record by weh they finde the petr to be Seqd as a Papist and what else they know. Thereupon we shall give furthr ordr."

fo. 1047. Second petition, dated 16 June 1652, in which in addition to recapitulating his preceding statements he adds that he had taken the oath of Abjuration: he also mentioned that there was another Edmund Raphson of Ince Blundell who he believed was taken for the petitioner. He also presented a certificate (see below), and the Commissioners decided, "upon reading the annexed certificate, it [the sequestration] is ordered to be discharged."

fol. 1046. County Lanc. January 8, 1651[-2]. Wee the Churchwardens and Subsequestrators of late and others all inhabitants within the parish of Sephton in the County of Lancaster whose names are Subscribed doe heereby certifye that Edmond Raphsonn of Ince Blundell with in our saide parish husbandman hath alwayes beene a protestant and frequented our Church at Sephton and never was a papist but having had fyve Children some of them yet alive men and women hee brought them up in the protestant religion. Alsoe wee doe certifie that the said Edmond Raphsonn was soe farr from being a papist that the two yeares together imediately gooeing

before the time the sequestration began hee was Churchwarden of our parish and euer since hath beene a churchman amongst us.

In witness whereof wee haue heereunto sett our hands the day and yeare first above written.

For the space of Seven years since I came to be Pastor at Sephton I have known Edmond Raphson to be a Protestant and a comer to our Church at Sephton

Jos: Tompson pastor at Sephton Omprey Willson

Henerie Fletcher Sequestrator and old Churchwarden

William Hunt Sequestrator

Phillip Conney an old Churchwarden John Bryanston an old

Churchwarden

Richard Goore an old

Churchwarden

William Trestram an old Churchwarden

Thomas Saier Churchwarden

Thomas Hesketh an old

Churchwarden

Thomas Rothwell an old

Churchwarden

Richard Tyrer an old

Churchwarden

Robert Ryding an old

Churchwarden

John Robertson an old Churchwarden

— Tyrer — Churchwarden

[This document is a copy of the original and not in good condition.]

Edmund Raphson. See also Robert Molyneur.

Omprey Willson
Nicholas Ballard
Robert Darween
William Robertson
Nicolas Steuenson
Nicolas Reynould
Robert Molinex
Robert Couldocke
Nicolas Abram
Robert Hill
Richard Edwardson
Henry Rothwell
Robert Hulme
John Molinex
Robert Blanchard

# John Rascowe of Aspull, Yeoman.

(First Series, vol. exiii., fol. 195.)

fo. 195. His delinquency, that he was in arms against the Parliament. Rendered before December 1645. His estate consisted in goods and chattels amounting to the value of £34. 16s. 9d., for which he was willing to pay the sum of £10.

(Second Series, vol. v., No. 202, fols. 27, &c.)

fo. 27. His delinquency, living in the enemies' quarters and bearing arms against the Parliament. He took the National Covenant on the 26 March 1646 before Wm. Barton, minister of John Zacharias, London, and the Negative Oath 27<sup>th</sup> March 1646. He compounded on a particular under his own hand. He only possessed a personal estate valued at £34. 16. 9., and for his freedom out of sequestration was willing to pay £10. Fined 31 March 1646—£10. Paid 1st April 1646.

fo. 30. Petition, denying that he had borne arms against the Parliament, stating that he had been maimed in work for the Parliament and his servant was then in the service of the Parliament. "But the Sequestration being ordered and yor petr fyndeing no other way for redresse, hee is come nynescore myles on foote to attend yor Honors being forced to borrow money to beare his charges having nothing but what hee getts by his day labour, taking his house roome from yeare to yeare & having a wife and sixe small children which are now in exceeding great misery."

fo. 31. Certificate of his having taken the National Covenant.

fo. 33. The Presentment of the Constables of Aspull and their assistants.

"Oct. the 14. 1644.

"Weê p'sent John Roscowe of Aspull for payeing Leyes for Prince Rupert's service—wch hee is ready to depose hee was inforct to do, or lose his life.

"Wee p'sent John Roscowe for carrying Armes against the Parlament at Houghton Comon—Hee also will Depose hee was there being Constrained by the Earle's forces, but would carry noe Armes thither, onely found a Muskett by the Waye as hee came home back againe And Sould it to Israel Edge one of Captain Bradshawe's Sowldiers for fourteen shillinges.

```
"ROBERT SMITH & )
"JAMES EDGE, Constables
```

#### "Assistants

```
"THOMAS GIDLOW Jurt"
"LEWES ORRELL Jurt"
"THOMAS TINCKLER Jurt"
```

"Vera Copia Exr."

ff. 35-37. An Inventory of the personal estate of petitioner.

"Seased and Inventoried the psonall Estate of John Roscowe of Aspull prized by James Houlden and William Mawdesley November 22th 1645."

Imprimis—In the Fire house one Chimnie

	an	d crowe -	-	-	-	00.06.08
	Item-	one arke	-	-	-	00.03.04
	"	Four chair	es	-	-	00.03.00
	,,	two stooles	; <b>-</b>	-	-	00.00.09
	,,	one trest	-	-	-	00.00.08
	,,	two chesffa		-	-	00.00.10
	"	three prigg		-	-	00.06.00
	,,	one posnet	:t -	-	-	00.05.00
	,,	one pott	-	-	-	00.04.00
	,,	two pewter	-	-	-	00.01.06
	,,	one Easher			en	00.01.06
	"	in other od	ld vess	sell	-	00.01.00
	"	one charne		-	-	00.02.00
	"	one wheele		-	-	00.01.00
	,,	one Lantir		-	-	00.00.08
Parlor—	,,	two bedste		-	-	00.03.04
	"	one Feathe	er bed	-	-	00.06.08

<sup>&</sup>quot;RICHARD JEPSON Jurt"
"RICHARD ORWELL Jurt"

	Item-	one Feather boulst one	
		Chasboulstr	00.04.00
		one blankett one cover-	00 1 04 1 00
	"	lett	00.06.00
		one arke	00.03.04
	"	one arke with meale in	00.03.04
	"	one Girnlin	00.00.00
	"	one little arke	
	"	one bedsteed	00.01.00
	"	one Featherbed	00.02.00
	"		00.10.00
	"	one paire of sheets -	00.05.00
	"	one board cloath	00 . 02 . 00
	"	one Feather pillow -	00.01.00
	,,	three arkes	00.10.00
	"	in Meale 2 Bushells 3	
		windles	01.03.10
	"	one turnell	00.02.00
Buttery	"	one Girnlin one collocke	00.01.06
	,,	one tubb	00.00.08
	,,	two chesffatts	00.00.10
	,,	Three Cuppes	00.01.00
	,,	one pottmugge & bassen	00.01.00
	"	one pott of butter	00.04.00
	"	one baskett	00.00.04
	"	one pan	00.10.00
		one ladle with 4 pewter	
	"	Bottles	00.02.00
		one Mugge of Butter -	00.02.00
	"	one piggen	00.00.06
	"	two potts one stond -	00.00.00
	,,	three boards	
	"		00.03.00
	"	one enad	00.03.00
	"	one spad	00.01.06
	"	two paire of fustian	
		Loomes and furniture	01.06.00
		for five paires)	
	,,	two bedsteeds	00.04.00
	"	one chasbed	00.03.04
	,,	one Feather Boulster -	00.03.00
	"	one blankett one cover-	
		lett	00.03.04
	,,	one chasbed & boulster	00.04.00
	"	two coverletts	00.01.00
	,,	one sheete	00.02.06
			H

Item-	in Barley 2	Win	dles	& a	
	halfe -	-	-	-	00.05.00
,,	in Wheate	-	-	-	00.08.00
"	two arks	-	-	-	00.04.00
,,	one tubb	-	-	-	00.02.00
,,	five boards	-	-	-	00.04.00
,,	five Kine	-	-	-	13.00.00
"	two Sterks	-	-	-	04.00.00
,,	two swine o	one p	igg	-	00.16.00
,,	in Haye		-	-	02.00.00
"	in Oates	-	-	-	03.00.00
				,	
Vera copia Exr			pso	nale	34 . 16 . 09
			R	eale	00.00.00

"Wee whose names are subscribed being agents for Sequestracons doe heareby signify that this is a true Coppie of John Roscow Inventarye.

"WILLIAM KINDSLEY.
"RICHARD BRADSHAW."

fo. 37. dorso. A memorandum that goods to the value of 58s. 4d. seized above were not the property of the petitioner. This is signed at foot by John × Roscows.

#### Leonard Rawlinson of Marsbegrange, Gent.

(Second Series, vol. xxxiv. No. 1853, fols. 267, &c.)

fo. 267. A Report upon the petition of the above, who had desired a review of his composition of certain lands to him descended by the death of Robert Rawlinson his uncle, a papist in arms. It was found that he compounded on 30 November 1648 at a tenth; fine £56.

He compounded for a messuage called Marshegrange which was formerly mortgaged to Sir John Preston for £100, which he discovered to the Committee upon his composition. The said debt of £100 had subsequently been discovered to the Committee for the Advance of

Moneys at Haberdasher Hall, where the compounder had been forced to pay in the whole £100. He had no benefit by his composition, the land being detained from him; and now one William Rawlinson, pretending a deed made to him by the said Robert Rawlinson being then a papist in arms, endeavours to compound for the same. He desired an abatement of his fine in regard to the £100 and in regard to his great losses and sufferings for the Parliament. Fined 18 January 1649[-50] £46.

fo. 269. Petition, in which he describes himself as a captain of a foot company under Col. Moore in the county of Lancaster. In addition to the messuage mentioned above compounder states he had also compounded for a messuage called Scathwayte in the county of Lancaster worth £10, which messuage was being kept out of his possession; hence he derived no

benefit from his composition.

"He therefore humbly prayes that such abatement in his latter paymt may be allowed as yor hors shall think fitt, Beseeching you to consider the faithful service don by yor petr to the State from the beginning of these troubles. And that yor Petr hath all his Arrears hee having been plundered three tymes by the King's pty & this last Sumer by the Scotts under the late Marquis of Hambleton [Hamilton] totally plundered of all his personall estate.

"And he shall ever pray &c.
"Leo. Rawlinson.

"Recd 15 June 1649 & Referred

"Io. LEECH."

fo. 271. Mch. 29, 1649.
Att the Comm<sup>tees</sup> for Advance of Public Money.

Reciting that Robert Rawlinson of Marshgrange deceased in his life owed John Preston of the Mannor, Esq., a recusant and delinquent, £100; that peti-

tioner had paid the £100 in satisfaction of the debt owing to John Preston and the Committee had accepted it in satisfaction: Ordered that petitioner be indemnified and stand harmless against the said John Preston and all others touching the debt, and further ordered Thomas Jarvis and Thomas Hamersley, officers of the Committee, to repair to Marshegrange, enter and take possession, and deliver the premises over to Leonard Rawlinson. In case of resistance to break open locks and doors, and "all Colonells Capts etc are requested to be ayding and assisting in execution hereof."

Jo: Gurdon Wm. Monson Godfr. Bosevile

"Ex. E. Dallison" (L.S.)

fo. 273. Memorandum that Robert Rawlinson of Marsh Grange, Co: Lancaster, gent., did the 21 August 1634 compound with King Charles's Commissioners topay a rent of £8 per annum for a lease of two parts of a capital messuage and 34 acres of land in Marsh Grange aforesaid which were seised into the King's hands the 27 September in the 6th year of his reign, he the said Robert Rawlinson being a recusant convict as appeareth upon record remaining in the custody of the Clerk of the Pipe or Engrosser of the Great Annual Roll of the Exchequer, 20 July 1649.

"Exaiat p. HE. COOKE Cl'icu Pipe."

fo. 277. "A True State of Captaine Leonard Rawlinson's business since ye beginning of theise tymes and that he hath beene a prisonner in Liverpoole. "Sheweth—

"That he was then possest of the Moyety of a quiet estate without any Morgage upon it—his Mother haueing the other Moiety dureing her life: but Leonard being forward to doe the Parlmñt service tooke up a.

command wth the first in that County and not contenting himselfe to stay at home went into Colonell Moore's Regim<sup>t</sup> against Prince Rupert's Forces which was three score miles from his own estate, in which service he was two years at his owne charge and at length taken prisoner at Liverpool by Prince Rupert's forces where he remained 14 weekes being then plundered to the value of £200 and had noe allowance from the State for his Imprisonm<sup>t</sup>. Sir John Meiney being at that present in Furness where the Estate of the said Leonard lyes who yt sumer ceased of all the said Leonard's rents, and the said Leonard's mother having at that tyme two sonnes and her servant in Actuall armes against the Parliamt tooke advantage of her sonnes imprisonmt and by the vertue of a warrant from Sr John Meiney tooke possession of diuers p'cells of the said Leonard's estate pretending a former lease her husband had made her of it which this opportunity gave her advantage to make use of.

"The same opportunity of Leonard's absence from home gave William Rawlinson (third Brother's sonne) opportunity and advantage to insinuate into the favour of Robert Rawlinson of Marshgrange his uncle Papist and Delinquent, who being taken with his staying at home and not then goeing out to oppose the King's pty being willing to make one £100 of his Tenemt he offered it to both Cozens to witt Leonard and Willm, which could first lay down ready money. Leonard was so impoverisht he could not, besides he trusted upon his title and the Parlts iustice to him, in which interim Willm layes downe the money, and had a blinde Deed made him by an ignorant clerke, but, when it came to be surrendered in Court the Steward of the Mannor refused to admitt William tenant, saving he could not admit a deed made under sequestracon and besides the said Leonard was right heire. But this Steward had a further aime then the State's advantage or Justice to Leonard, For this said Steward hath an estate adiovninge to Marshgrange which made him

desire to purchase the said estate of Marshgrange which he thought Leonards low condicon could soone admitt of—if perhaps Robert the Delinquent his popery did not forfeit it to the State, which the said Steward did also hope for: But when he saw the Committee of Goldsmiths Hall admitted Leonard to compound and that Leonard was not minded to sell it him, he then turned tailes and laboured to buy Willins interest for £500 and now labours to make his deed made under Sequestration good which before he refused to allow in open court. In this interim Leonard haveing Compounded and paid in his Moiety gets an Order from the Committee of Goldsmiths Hall for possession which was intercepted at the Post house at Preston by the adverse pty as we suppose, which adverse pty being Farmors of Sr John Preston's Estate in Furneis, which Sr John Preston's was given to Mr. Pimm's children, theise Farmors ceazes on Marshgrange for £100 charge which lay upon it owing to Sr John Preston, which was but a pretence to bring the possession into this Steward's hands, and as soone as they had ceazed it they farmed it to this Steward's wives father, who being in possession this Steward moved the said Leonard to put his right to arbitracon, saying he would helpe to agree his cosen Willm Rawlinson & him, and vt his cosen Willm should give him £200 for his interest if Leonard would entr into Bonds to bide an Arbitracon, which if he would doe he promised him to make an end of the suite which had beene seaven yeares depending betwixt his mother and him this Steward being her Counsellor, saying, if he refused soe good an offer it would cost him as much in suite, before he should gett it.

fo. 275. "Now, the said Leonard refuseing this offer came up to London paid in this £100 due to Sr John Preston to the Committee of Haberdashers' Hall and soe by their order tooke his possession which this Steward bragges he will ouerthrow by divers pretences

The person who fixed the paper in the vol. has inserted the latter part before the commencement.

about this floo which he would now prove its due to Mr. Pims children, notwithstanding its paid in to the State Thinking by this means to out the said Leonard & put him to suite for his owne by animating Willim Rawlinson to compound againe for the estate, thereby to enable them to evict the said Leonard out of his possession, which if they once doe, he is soe impoverished by his great sufferings in these tymes having been seaven yeares in suite with his mother for yt possession which shee gott by Sr John Meiney's warrant when hee was in prison in Liverpoole and by attending 15 months at the Committee to Compound that he is not able to mentaine suite. He hath been three tymes plundered by the King's pty, he is outed of his owne estate while he was in prison, he hath taken up money to the value of halfe his estate to mentaine himselfe, suites, and ierneyes about compounding, he hath all his arreares yet due from the State, soe that himselfe, wife, and children are utterly beggared if he find not redresse."

(No signature.)

fo. 280. Petition desiring to compound for his uncle's estate.

fo. 282. Report on above petition.

fo. 283. Particular of the estate of compounder's uncle.

fo. 285. "These are to signifie unto all whom it may concerne that this gent ye bearer hereof Capt. Rawlinson hath for this yeare and seuen monthes bin a Captayne in my Regiment at Liuerpoole where he performed faithfull service, being there taken prisnor by Prince Rupert's forces where he continued for a long tyme in a grieuous and chargeable imprisonment being likewise plundered of all his personall estate to ye great disinabling of him his wyfe and children to subsist and although he hath as yet receeived very little pay, & sustayned much losse both by plunder and neglect of his own estate lying at least 60 miles remote from Liuerpoole yet notwithstandinge out of his zeale

to y<sup>e</sup> Parliamt he hath raised another Company since his inlargm<sup>t</sup> w<sup>ch</sup> is now in actuall service for y<sup>e</sup> defence of the Garrison of Liuerpoole, himselfe being at this tyme in London upon publicke imployment concerninge himselfe and y<sup>e</sup> officers of y<sup>e</sup> said Garrison whereupon you are not interrupt him in passing and repassing yo<sup>r</sup> guards soe often as he shall have occasions and not to molest, detayne, staye, or trouble him upon any pretence or cause whatsoever as y<sup>u</sup> & every of y<sup>u</sup> respect y<sup>e</sup> publique service, he behavinge himselfe as becometh, &c. Given under my hand at y<sup>e</sup> Commons house this xxij day of July 1645. "John Moore.

"To all Officers whatsoever imployed in ye service of ye King & Parliamt, Theise."

fo. 287. Certificate dated 20 November 1648, signed by Martyn Dallison, Clerk to the Committee of Lords and Commons for the Advance of Money, that information was given in the preceding June that there was a sum of £100 owing by Robert Rawlinson of Marshgrange to John Preston, Esq., a papist in arms. Ordered that the said £100 should be paid to the said Committee out of the estate of Robert Rawlinson.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1654, fol. 7.)

fo. 7. Petition from Capt. Leonard Rawlinson, Capt. of a Foot Company in Col. Moore's Regim<sup>t</sup> at Liverpool, addressed to the Committee for Compositions sitting at Goldsmiths' Hall—

### "Sheweth-

"That yor petitioner compounded with this Committee for two tenements called Marshgrange & Scathoaites then lately belonging unto one Robert Rawlinson deceased (being his next heir) who dyed under Sequestration & payd in his moyetie of the fyne and secured the rest according to order. Now, soe it

is that certayne persons under pretence of an 100<sup>h</sup> for w<sup>ch</sup> this tenement at Marshgrange was formerly morgaged unto one Mr. Preston & now seized on for Mr. Pymmes children as part of Sir John Preston's estate [see *supra*] and also in the right of one John Rawlinson sonn to one W<sup>m</sup> Rawlinson, to whom the said Robert conveyd the said Tenement at Marshgrange by Deed of Sale when he was under Sequestragrange by Deed of Sale when he was under Sequestra-tion as a papist and Delinquent, have entred upon the sayd Tenem<sup>t</sup> at Marshgrange & forcibly hold the same from yo<sup>r</sup> pet<sup>r</sup>, Notwithstanding yo<sup>r</sup> pet<sup>r</sup> discovered the said morgage of £100 to the Comittee of Haberdashers hall for the use of Colonel Moore & was certifyed from thence to this Committee and likewise discovered the Deed made under Sequestration upon his Composition, went titles are meerly set on foot to weary out yor petitioner to make him Compound with them upon their owne terms in regard they are in possession.

"Yor petr therefore humbly prayes that in regard yor first orders are disobeyed, that yor honors will direct a warrant to Capt. Rippon Gouenor of Lancaster Castle

warrant to Capt. Rippon Gouenor of Lancaster Castle (in regard there is noe Committee in Lancashire) to put yor petitioner into possession of the sd Tenements of Marshgrange and Scathwaite according to his composition notwithstandinge any estate of the sd mortgage pretended for Mr. Pymm's children, & notwithstanding any such Deed made under Sequestration, and yor petitioner humbly prayes that the writings & Evidences belonging to the sayd Robert Rawlinsons estate and now detayned from yor petitioner may by expresse order be brought in to this Committee or delieuered to yor petitioner who is brought soe low by his sufferings in the Parliament's seruice that he is utterly hopeles to recouer his right but by yor speceall assistance, & yor petr shall daylie pray for yor honors health and prosperity etc. "Leo: Rawlinson." perity etc. "LEO: RAWLINSON."

On the outside of this document are several memoranda: "The Comittee to restore the possion if they sitt otherwise . . . those have gotten into possession to deliver possession on demand or . . . 14 days notice to appeare, etc."

[There is no date on this document, but a suggested date in pencil on margin is 15 February 1649[-50] with a reference to G, 5, 62. This date is probably correct, as there were no Committee men sitting in Lancashire, according to the petition, at this time, which, as the new Commissioners were appointed later in the year 1649-50, seems to confirm the suggestion, at any rate as to the month.]

# Robert Rawlinson of Marsh Grange—Deceased.

(Second Series, vol. xli., No. 2406, fols. 317, &c.)

fo. 317. John Kirkby of Kirkby, gent., petitioned as executor of Robert Rawlinson who had been in arms against the Parliament. He petitioned 9 June 1649 and compounded upon a particular which disclosed that as executor he was possessed of a personal estate of deceased amounting to £57. 14. o. Fine £9. 12. (27 June 1649.)

fo. 320. Petition in which he prays to be allowed to compound.

fo. 321. Particular. Details of Assets; Household goods £20, a debt owing by Christopher Banks of Old Parke and Thomas his son of £43. 4. 0, also a debt of £4. 10. 0. from Leonard Rawlinson of Sandscale, also one of £100 due from Elizabeth Rawlinson of Sandscale, relict of John Rawlinson.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1654, fols. 11, &c.)

fo. 11. Petition from Capt<sup>n</sup> William Rawlinson on behalf of John Rawlinson his son, an infant, which showed that Robert Rawlinson of Musgrange being a recusant in arms his estate was sequestered; after his sequestration he conformed to the Church of England, and for a tolerable consideration conveyed his lands to petitioner and his heirs; after which he died.

Petitioner, having always been faithful, prayed that he might be admitted to compound 4 May 1649. Referred to the Sub-Committee.

fo. 9. Report by Mr. Watkins.

fo. 14. Particular of the estate.

fo. 16. Certificate as to the conformity of Robert Rawlinson; dated 21 November 1646; signed by George Dalling & Tho: Fell, but no place inserted.

#### Edward Rawstorne, Esq.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3874, fols. 565, &c.)

Communications from Lancashire Commissioners dated 7 May 1651 at Preston, mentioning that in observance of an order of the 22nd of April then last upon the petition of Lawrence Rawstorne, Esq., they had taken the examinations of several witnesses for proof of the deed in the said order mentioned (copies enclosed) and that the estate mentioned in the petition annexed to the order had been sequestrated for the delinquency of Edward Rawstorne, Esq., then deceased, late Governor of Lathom, who was elder brother of the said Lawrence and died without issue male.

fo. 565. Examinations taken at Preston 7 May 1651 of Henry Fleetwood of Preston, gent., aged 62 years; who, sworn, said that the parchment writing then showed to him, upon which the Commissioners or some of them had endorsed their names, purporting to be an indenture and covenants of marriage made between Robert Hesketh of Rufforth, Esq., upon the one part and Edward Rawstorne of Newhall, Esq., and Lawrence his son and heir apparent upon the other part, dated 14 August 39° Elizabeth [1597] and upon which amongst others the name of Richard Fleetwood was endorsed on the backside as a witness, was the proper handwriting of Richard Fleetwood of Penwortham, Esq., deponent's late father.

Robert Hesketh of Rufforth, co: Lanc., gent. (theretofore steward to the right worshipful Robert Hesketh then late of Rufforth deceased), aged 72 years or thereabouts, sworn, said that he believed the parchment writing then showed to him to be an indenture made between the aforesaid parties, and to have been sealed and signed by the said Robert Hesketh. That the same was his seal, and his name his proper handwriting. He further said that he had heard that Holcroft Hesketh named in the said indenture was married to the said Lawrence Rawstorne, and that since the death of the said Lawrence, Mrs Alice Rawstorne their daughter (they having no heir male) received the sum of £400 and upwards according to the true meaning of the said indenture from Edward Rawstorne, Esq., her uncle, late of Newhall aforesaid then deceased. All this he the better knew because she was his near kinswoman and had lived with him for some time after her father's death and deponent had seen the counterpart of the said indenture in the custody of the said Robert Hesketh, Esq.

fo. 566. Thomas Turner of Preston, gent., aged 62 years, sworn, said that the parchment writing then showed to him (whereon the Commissioners or some of them had endorsed their names) purporting to be an indenture of covenants made between Edward Rawstorne of Newhall, Esq., then deceased (late father of petitioner) and Radcliff Ashton of Cuerdall, Esq., likewise then deceased, was as he believed signed sealed and delivered for and upon the considerations therein specified by the said Radcliffe Ashton and that he deponent, among others, saw the same sealed signed and delivered, and that the name Thomas Turner endorsed on the back of the said indenture as a witness was the proper handwriting of him the deponent; and he further deposed identifying the signatures of other witnesses.

fo. 567. Andrew Holden the younger of Todehalle, co. Lanc., gent., aged 41, another witness, swore to his own signature. Henry Cowper of Ashenbotham,

co. Lanc., yeoman, aged 42, sworn, deposed to the accuracy of the copies of the court roll, he having compared them (in company with Mr. Edmund Stephenson, the clerk of the copyhold courts within the manors of Tottington and Acrington) with the records of the said manors; and he further said that about two years then ago Mrs. Marie Rawstorne, then deceased, wife of Edward Rawstorne, Esq., late brother of petitioner, made a title and claim of inheritance unto her said husband's estate for the use of her daughters as heirs at law. Whereupon she and the said Mr. Rawstorne agreed to have a meeting at Wigan and that she would bring Robert Blundell of Ince Park, Esq., counsellor at law on her daughter's behalf, and the said Mr. Rawstorne, Ralph Worthington of Snydle; which they accordingly did. And upon Mr. Lawrence Rawstorne showing forth of two parchment writings (exhibited to deponent) purporting to be indentures and covenants of marriage, the one made between Robert Hesketh of Rufford, Esq., upon the one part and Edward Rawstorne and Lawrence Rawstorne, then son and heir apparent to him the said Edward on the other part, and the other between Edward Rawstorne of Newhall (late father of petitioner) upon the one part, and Radcliffe Asheton, Esq., upon the other, likewise of the copies of the court roll and transcript or "foot" of one fine, the said Mr. Blundell acknowledged that the messuage, buildings, lands and hereditaments mentioned in the aforesaid writings did clearly belong to the aforesaid Lawrence Rawstorne and his heirs; and subsequent to that meeting Mrs. Rawstorne never made any claim to the said premises (save only her dower).

fo. 568. Richard Whaley of Preston, one of the clerks of the Prothonotary's Office for the said County, aged 39, was also examined and deposed that the transcript of a fine shown to him, dated at Lancaster in the 40<sup>th</sup> year of Elizabeth (September 10<sup>th</sup>) [1598] before John Savill and others between Thomas Hesketh (attorney of the Court of Wards and Liveries of the

said Queen) and others, plaintiffs and Edward Rawstorne, Esq., deforc, of the manor of Hootton with other lands, was the proper handwriting of him the deponent and to his best remembrance a true copy of the foot of the fine between the said parties then remaining among the records in the Prothonotary Office at Lancaster and formerly examined with the foot by deponent.

fo. 571. William Eccleston, agent to the then late Committee, examined, said that in 1644 Thomas Jackson and he, being then employed as agents to the then Committee, were commanded by them to seize for the use of the soldiery of the said county the estate real and personal of Edward Rawsthorne, Esq. (within the Hundred of Leyland), being as was then reported Governor of the garrison of Lathom, then a garrison to the late King; that obeying the order they seized all the goods they then found at his house called Hutton Grange and collected the rents from his tenants with what other profits they could from the demesne of the Grange until the end of the year 1644; that in 1645 and 1646 they received the rents and other profits of the said estate, and in 1647 they likewise let the demesne lands, but received no profits therefrom as Edward Rawstorne died before anything fell due; that immediately after his death his brother Lawrence took possession, which deponent immediately made known to the then Committee. Their answer was it was by right and it belonged to him, and they directed deponent and the other agents not to intermeddle further with it; nor did they during such time as the said Committee acted, which was until the 25 January 1649 [-50], nor afterwards until deponent received an order from the Commissioner for Sequestration of the County on the 9th January 1650 [-1] for the securing of the said estate; which on receipt of the said order he immediately did, and that it then (18 November 1652) still stood sequestrated.

fo. 573. "Gentlemen

Yours of the 12th October last in the case of Lawrence Rawstorne was received upon the 19th of the same together with copies of sevall certificates formerly sent from hence. Wherein you tell us there appears to be some contradiccon for that by ors of the 23<sup>th</sup> of September It was certified that Edward Rawstorne Esq. was in his Lyfetyme Seq<sup>rd</sup> for delinquencie, and being under Seqcon att his death Lawrence Rawsthorne his brother entered upon the Estate the Sequestration not being discharged. And by that of the 7<sup>th</sup> of May it is likewise certified y<sup>t</sup> the Estate in Question was Seq<sup>rd</sup> for the delinquencie of Eduard Rostorne Esq. late Governor of the Garrison of Lathom, and that now by the last of the 15th of July it is said that wee are informed that the Estate hadd been under Seq<sup>con</sup> for the delinq<sup>cy</sup> of the said Edward (who dyed about three yeares afore) and the profitts Accompted for: since whose death the said Lawrence Rostorne enioved the same freed from Seqcon. And further that wee did not finde amongst the records of the late Comitee any order either for the Actuall Seqcon or discharge

"In answer whereunto and for the charging [? clearing] of this seeming contradicon wee humbly certify that wee finde the certificats to bee the same sent upp. And wee further find that the ground of the first two Certificats was for that upon pusall of the Agents Accompts It appeared that the estate aforesaid hadd been seized in the year 1644 within the Hundred of Leyland, the said Edward being then reported to be Gouernor of Lathom Garrison and in the year 1645 and 1646 the rents and profitts Received and Accompted for whereupon the gentlemen that then certified taking yt to bee a Seqcon made the Certificatts aforesaid. But by yor honors orders of the 11th of June last it being more pticularly required to certifie whether the Estate or any pte thereof was under

Seq<sup>con</sup> the first of December 1651 w<sup>th</sup> what proceedings we found in o<sup>r</sup> books or records touching the same Wee caused all the books of orders remaining in o<sup>r</sup> hands beginning about the latter end of October 1645 (before which time there was not any settled Comittee for Seq<sup>cons</sup> in this Countie, The Countie haveing till that tyme and some tyme afterwards from the beginning of the Warres been pestered with the Enemies Garrisons) to bee searched and not finding any order either for the actuall Seq<sup>con</sup> of him, or discharge, the certificate of the 15<sup>th</sup> of July was returned as aforesaid without the least thought of any p'iudicie to the State or of any endevo<sup>r</sup> to cast a Blind before yo<sup>r</sup> eyes.

"And now since upon this yor last wee have againe carefully searched all or books beginning at the time aforesaid and doe not finde any Judgmt or other proceeding Entered against him onely wee finde the profitts of his estate Accompted for in the yeares aforesaid. And for the proceedings before that tyme wee haue informed orselves from Seurall Agents that were then Imployed that the course of the Comittee then was to graunt Comissions to diverse psonnes to seq<sup>r</sup> the whole Estates of all delinquents two third parts of all Recusants named in a Schedule annexed to the said Commission without entering any Iudgmt agt them and for the most part without Information it being then soe publiquely knowne whoe were in Armes against the Parliament and sometymes Comissions issued to several persons to sequesterr all such delinquents and Recusants (without naming any) as were within such Division; and in trueth wee doe not before the tyme aforesayd find any ludgmts entered eether against Papist or Delingt, Although most of the grand Delinqts of this county as the late Earl of Darby and others were Seq<sup>d</sup> beefore that tyme without any formal proceeding But that they were publiquely known to bee in Armes.

"But for the further clearing of the Busines and that you may bee further satisfyed in the proceedings against him wee have taken the Exaiacon of William Eccleston one of or Agents who was Imployed in the seizing of the said Mr Rostorne's Estate weh will fully manifest howe hee hath been proceeded against wee not haveing order then as aforesaid onely the order made the 9th of Januarie 1650[-1] for securing the Estate—(a copie whereof is Inclosed).

"All which wee humbly submitt to yor honors Judgments and rest

"Yor Honors most humble Servts

"E. ASPINWALL "JOHN SAWREY

"ROB" CUNLIFFE

"Preston ye xvijth of November 1652."

fo. 577. Letter of 15 July 1652 referred to above.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1656, fols. 46, &c.)

fo. 46. Petition from Lawrence, Rawsterne, which disclosed that petitioner's grandfather about 30 years then ago settled divers lands in Lancashire to himself for life, then to petitioner's father Edward Rawsterne for life, remainder to Edward his eldest son in tail, remainder to the heirs of the grandfather; that petitioner's grandfather and father were both dead, and that Edward his son (for whose delinquency the lands were under sequestration) was also dead without issue of his body, and that therefore the property had come to petitioner; also that petitioner's father was seised of divers copyhold lands which he long before had surrendered to persons in trust, that the trustees had surrendered the said lands to the use of petitioner and he had been admitted about four years then ago and he had been in possession of the same until the time he petitioned, when the local Commissioners, for what cause he knew not, had ordered the same to be secured. He therefore prayed for a reference of his title to

counsel, to be reported for their judgment. 18 May 1650. Referred to Mr Reading.

fo. 46. A second petition 9 December 1651; re-

ference to Mr Reading.

fo. 50. A third petition. Ordered that petitioner enjoy his property, on good security being given, pending the decision (22 September 1652).

ff. 52-54. Two petitions.

fo. 44. (27 April 1653)—"We doe not judge him under the Act 1 December 1651—therefore discharged."

#### Sir John Redman of Wrayton.

(Second Series, vol. xxx., No. 1592, fols. 157, &c.)

fo. 157. "According to your order of the 13th of June 1649 upon the peticon of Mary Forbes, Late wife of Colonell William Forbes, desiring to add to her former Composicon certain Lands in the County of Yorke to her also descended from her father Sr John Redman and her brother William Redman, Esqr, Deceased—We finde—

"That the Delinquency was in the said Sir John Redman ye father whose Estate was Sequestred in 1645 and his Sequestracon not taken of in his lifetyme, nor in the life tyme of the said William Redman his sonne who dyed in 1645 after whose death there came to the Petr. as his sister and heire the Mannor of Wrayton with the appurtenances in the County of Lancaster of the yearly value of £20, for which his Fine was sett here the 12th of October 1647 at a tenth amounting to £40.

"She now desires to add to her particular certaine other Lands, Tenemts & hereditaments in Thorneton Lundasdale in the said County of Yorke to her also descended by the death of her said father and brother of the yearly Value before the Warrs (To

of the yearly Value before the Warrs £70.

"26 June 1649.

"To Bradinge. Fine at a tenth 140"."

fo. 162. Statement of the petitioner as to the property she wished to compound for, and her desire to have the amount of fine deducted from the arrears of pay due to her husband Col. Forbes, who was slain in the service of the Parliament.

fo. 163. Particular of the parcel of S<sup>r</sup> John Redman's estate which she desired to compound for, in Lancashire.

fo. 164. Same in Yorkshire.

fo. 167. A request to the Lancashire Committee to certify as to the Wrayton lands.

(First Series, vol. cxiii., fol. 220.)

fo. 220. Petition from Mary Forbes, widow of Col. Forbes and a daughter of Sir John Redman of Wrayton, co. Lanc<sup>r</sup>.

The estate for which she offered to compound was the manor of Wrayton sequestrated for her said father's delinquency, which having descended to her by the death of William Redman, son and heir of the said Sir John Redman, and not compounded for by them, she desired that it might be restored to her at a moderate composition and the fine to be deducted out of the arrears due to the said Col. Forbes, who was slain in the Parliament's service. The fine at a 10<sup>th</sup> was £40, which was ordered at the next opportunity to have been reported to the House.

# John Reines, Gent., Dorothy his wife, and benry White of Kirkland.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1667, fols. 267, &c.)

fo. 267. Petition which showed that Robert White then late of Kirkland deceased was in his lifetime seised in fee of certain lands and some tithes lying and being in Catterall in the County of Lancaster. By deed dated 14 April 1638 he conveyed the premises to feoffees in trust to the uses mentioned in the said deed, namely to the use of himself for life, and after—one part of the premises to James White, then since dead, elder brother

to petitioner Henry and to his heirs male, in default to petitioner Henry and his heirs male—the other part of the premises were conveyed to the use of petitioner Henry White and his heirs male. The tithes by the said deed were settled upon petitioner's father for life—after to the use of petitioner Dorothy, then wife of John Brockholes, Esq., and her heirs:

That petitioner's father being likewise possessed of a messuage and tenement in Kirkland called Gervas tenement by lease from John Boteler, Esq., and of two closes of land with a barn thereon standing in Tarnaker, by his assignment dated 14 April 1638 conveyed the same to certain feoffees in trust to the use of himself for life and afterwards to such uses as he by his last will in writing or by any writing under his hand and seal testified by two sufficient witnesses should limit and appoint. Petitioner's father did by his last will dated 16 April 1638 declare limit and appoint that petitioner Henry White should from and after his said father's death have and enjoy all the said messuage during the remainder of the term, and likewise by his said will he gave petitioner a barn and garden called Sturzaker Barn and another barn called Walker Barn during the unexpired portion of the term at his death; and did likewise appoint that petitioner Dorothy should have and enjoy the said barn and two closes in Tarnaker during the residue of the term as by the said will appeared:

That long after making these conveyances as well as his will petitioner's father was sequestrated for his recusancy and delinquency; and he dying about a year and a half then ago the premises ought to have come to petitioners, but the same being under sequestration and petitioners John Reines and Henry White having been since September 1650 and then were employed in the service of the Parliament in Scotland, they could never before these proceedings (19 May 1652) appeal for relief. They now prayed for an examination into their title.

fo. 255. Report by Reading dated 9 December

1652, based upon an Order of 19 May preceding on the above petition. In this report he enters into detail tracing the history of the devolution of the several matters involved and mentions many names of parties to the deeds, &c., among them Augustine Wildbore, Doctor of Divinity, Alexander Rigby, Esq., Henry Townly, gent., Christopher White, Thomas and Robert Sherbourne, Thomas Silcock and Ellis Allinson. He ultimately submitted to judgment whether the petitioners ought not to be allowed to enjoy the property and tithes according to the tenor of the deeds and wills.

fo. 269. Order referring the case to the Lancashire Commissioners.

fo. 271. Communication dated at Preston 12 June 1652 signed by Edw<sup>d</sup> Aspinwall and Rob<sup>t</sup> Cunliffe, mentioning that they had examined certain witnesses and enclosed copies of their examinations; also mentioning that the tithes never had been sequestered.

fo. 273. Examinations taken at Preston 8 June 1652—of John Woods of Kerkland, gent., aged 47. fo. 274. Of Robert Male of Claughton, gent., aged 54.

fo. 275. Of Robert Blackburne of Kerkland.

fo. 278. Separate petition of John Reines and Dorothy his wife.

fo. 283. Petition, original of the one (May 19, 1652) above.

ff. 286-287. Petition and copy of John Reines, gent., in the above case.

John and Dorothy Reines. See also Robert White. For Reinold Remington see Lord Morley.

## Richard Renicars of Pennington, Busbandman.

(Second Series, vol. xliii., No 2630, fols. 749, &c.)

fo. 749. Delinquency, adhering to the forces raised against the Parliament in the first war only. He petitioned 31 July 1649 and compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seized for term of two lives of a cottage, &c., lying in Leigh parish worth 10/- a year, that several persons owed him an aggregate sum of £56; he had corn and stock worth £25. Fine £12. 15. 10 (7 August 1649).

fo. 752. Petition. fo. 754. Particular, mentioning

names and addresses of debtors.

Richard Renicars. See also James Unsworth.

#### Thomas Richardson.

(First Series, vol. xii., No. 303, fol. 24.)

fo. 24. Petition from Henry Butler of Raukliffe, Esq, which showed that Thomas Richardson then late of Out Raucliffe was possessed of a messuage and tenement in Out Raucliffe for life, he being the last life of three. He became a delinquent and the messuage was sequestrated. The place being of inconsiderable value and Richardson dead, and the tenement which should have reverted to petitioner as lord and owner of it being still under sequestration, he prayed an order to examine &c. (16 November 1654). "Commissioners to examine & certify."

#### Milliam Richardson.

(First Series, vol. xlv., No. 1380, fol. 655.)

fo. 655. Petition from Thomas Molyneux of Garston, yeoman, which showed that one William Richardson owned a small messuage in the Chidwall, co. Lancaster. After his decease petitioner was to enjoy the same for a term of two lives (if then living); two thirds of it were sequestered for the recusancy of William Richardson who was then dead—yet petitioner could not obtain possession; he therefore prayed for an order to discharge the sequestration or to examine his title. 8 February 1654[-5]. "Comrs Lancr to ex: certify. Mr Reading to report."

(First Series, vol. cxiii., No. 7280, fol. 667.)

fo. 667. Letter dated at Warrington April 3, 1655, signed by E. Aspinwall and Ro. Massey, mentioning

that in observance of an Order of 8 February then last made upon the petition of Thomas Mollineux of Garston for a messuage and tenement then late in possession of William Richardson deceased, they had taken the examinations enclosed and they certified that they found two thirds of the premises were in the year 1643 sequestrated for the recusancy of the said Richardson. [The documents referred to as enclosed are wanting.]

For William and Elizabeth Richardson see also Elizabeth Jepson.

## Alexander Rigby of Burgh, Esq.

(Second Series, vol. xxvii., No. 1437, fols. 329, &c.)

fo. 329. Delinquency, that he was a Commissioner of Array and executed that commission and otherwise assisted the forces raised against the Parliament.

He compounded on a particular which disclosed that by virtue of a deed dated the 25th of January 7° Caroli [1631-2] made upon the marriage of Edward Rigby his son and heir apparent he is seised of a frank tenement for life—remainder to his son Edward Rigby for life, remainder to his wife for life, remainder to the heirs males of the body of Edward begotten on the body of his said wife, with several other remaindersin one capital messuage called the Burgh with lands in Duxbury and Chorley and Coppal called the demesne lands of Burgh and certain quit rents belonging to the same, worth £102 yearly; also of a life estate in a messuage and lands called the Hulls of Laton and certain "dry rents" thereunto belonging worth yearly £116; also of the remainder of a term for 18 years to come of a messuage and lands lying in Carleton held by demise from Thomas Singleton, worth above the reserved rent £30 yearly; also of the remainder of a term for 8 years of a windmill held by demise from one Edward Fleetwood, worth yearly £5. His personal estate to the value of £2000 had been seized and disposed

- of. He claimed to be allowed £200 due by him to one Alexander Holt of London. Fine at a moiety £959. Reduced by Order of the Committee to £361. 3. 4. (a sixth) November 18, 1648.
- fo. 331. Report by Dr Watkins, dated 31 July 1649, referring to the composition—also fines and that petitioner had paid the amount, also that he now desired to compound for a lease held by him overlooked in the first particular, value yearly £8. Fine £20.

fo. 334. Additional particular.

- fo. 335. Affidavit relating to the liability to Alexander Holte of London, goldsmith.
- fo. 337. Certificate in same matter signed by Alexander Holt.
  - fo. 340. Petition relating to the omitted item.
- ff. 341-343. Particular of the general estate of compounder.
- fo. 346. Petition. Order—referred to sub-Committee 16 January 1646[-7].
- fo. 347. Preston in Com

  Lancr. "At ye Comittee

  December ye 25 1646.

These are to Certifie all whom it may concerne that Alex Rigby of the Burgh Esq did upon the Fifte of Januarie last Take the Ooath of the Fifte of Aprill Sett out by Ordinance of Parliam t

Given under our hands, the day and year abovesaid

 fo. 349. Poulton in Com

Lanc-

"These are to certify all whom it may Concerne that Alexander Rigby of the Burgh Esq did uppon the last of December 1646 take the Nationall Covenant before us.

JOHN SUMNER Minister of Poulton
ROBERT CREANE
sign
RICH: × HULL
Churchwardens"

(First Series, vol. xcviii., No. 3868, fols. 527, &c.)

fo. 527. Communication dated at Preston 10 May 1651 referring to an order of the 19 February preceding upon the petition of Jane Rigby of Laiton, stating that they had examined the matters mentioned in the said petition and found that in the years 1647-8 she had farmed four fifths of the sequestered estate of her then late father Alex. Rigby, Esq., deceased, in Laiton and Carleton at a rental of £120, the then agent in behalf of the Public covenanting to free her from all charges and incumbrances which should be laid upon it during those two years; and they found by the examinations of Andrew Holden and Edward Dicconson, the then undersheriffs, that by force of several judgments they had levied on the said lands the several sums of £50. 10. 0 and £20. 13. 4—copies of whose examinations they enclosed.

ff. 527-8. The examinations of the undersheriffs sworn at Preston 6 May 1651.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fols. 904, 905.)

fo. 904. Petition from Jane Rigby of Laiton, co. Lanc., spinster, which disclosed that petitioner had then formerly farmed from the Agents for Sequestrations the demesnes of Layton at a rental of £120—she to enjoy the same free from all incumbrances except taxations and free quarters; yet in those years which

she enjoyed the estate the undersheriffs levied upon the said lands the sum of £80. 3. 4 which petitioner was forced to pay the said undersheriffs, they having been permitted by the then Committee to levy the same, and the Committee promised petitioner to deduct it from her rent; but their power expiring petitioner had to appeal to the new Commissioners, who declined without an order from above to allow the sum. She now prayed for the order. (16 June 1652.) Ordered that if the sum was levied before 25 January 1649[-50] the Commissioners to forbear levying it again.

fo. 905. Copy of petition.

For Edward Rigby see Robert Holme and Francis Sperrington.

#### Eleanor and Alice Rigby.

(First Series, vol. lxxvii., No. 2360, fol. 195.)

fo. 195. Petition from Richard Woods of West Darby, yeoman, showing that by a copy of a court roll of the manor of West Darby made 19 February 1637[-8] there was granted to him and Eleanor his wife a messuage and land to hold to them for 100 years if they or either of them so long lived, and then in jointure to Eleanor and Alice Rigby, who were then lately deceased, but the said lands stood sequestered for their recusancy: that by another copy court roll of the said manor the 2 September 1650 there was granted to him and his heirs a parcel of land called Longly, containing 4 acres; and that George Woods his uncle, then deceased, about 20 years then since by his will bequeathed the same to petitioner, after the death of Cicely wife of his uncle. She was then lately dead, and petitioner by licence of the court made 2 September 1650 was admitted to enter, but could not enjoy because it was sequestered for her recusancy.

<sup>1</sup> This gives a clue to the date when the powers of the old Commissioners ceased.

As petitioner had always been well affected to the Parliament and never suspected of delinquency or recusancy and as he had a good right by law to the lands, he prayed for an order discharging the same. (6 February 1650[-51]). Referred to Mr Brereton to state and report.

fo. 195. Affidavit of petitioner.

# Mr. Rigby of Church Kirk in the Parish of Whalley.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fol. 857.)

fo. 857. Printed certificate declaring the above 1 "to be a person qualified to preach the Gospel, as in and by the Ordinance is required, and therefore fit to receive such augmentation as hath been formerly settled upon him or the place where he preacheth"—20 April 1654.

## James Rigby of Standish, Yeoman.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fol. 891.)

fo. 891. Petition which showed that petitioner was one of the persons comprised in the then last bill of Sale and his estate was to have been sold as forfeited to the Commonwealth for treason. That it had been surveyed according to the directions in the said Act and returned to the Surveyor General, likewise signed by the Registrar and transmitted to the Commissioners. That petitioner was only a tenant for life, was a very poor man, much in debt, and had a great charge of children. He therefore prayed that he might be admitted to a favourable composition (9 April 1653). "Referred to Mr Reading to Report upon ye Survey from Drury House."

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  James Rigby, M.A., was minister at Church 1648-54; V. C. H. Lancs., vi., 404 n.

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3434, fols. 275, &c.)

fo. 275. Report of Mr. Readinge, by which it appeared petitioner was one of the persons comprised in the Act for Sale of Lands forfeited for Treason, by the name of James Rigby of Coppull, co. of Lancaster.

His estate was surveyed according to the Act (returned 4 March 1652[-3], copy transmitted to Committee 14 April 1653). He petitioned o April 1653. By the survey petitioner appeared to have been seised of the moiety of a messuage and certain lands in Coppull in the parish of Standish worth f.g. 11. o. yearly, held by lease for 3 lives under Ralph Standish, Esq., dated 2 October 1640, at a rental of 21/- yearly. Petitioner also held an estate for 10 years in a water mill worth  $f_{22}$  10. 0. a year. Fine at two sixths  $f_{345}$  16. 8. (22) April 1653).

fo. 277. Petition.

fo. 280. Affidavit of Edward Rigby of Coppull, declaring that James Rigby (deponent's father) was tenant for life under Ralph Standish of Standish, Esq., by lease made between Mr. Ralph Standish and Edward Rigby (deponent's grandfather) for the lives of James and Thomas Rigby, sons of the said Edward Rigby.

fo. 281. Reference to Mr. Reading by Committee.

For Jane, Isabel, and Elizabeth Rigby see John Dilkington.

## John Rigby of Standish Wood. Weoman.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1689, fol. 907.)

fo. 907. Petitioner, who had never been in arms against the Parliament but well affected, had a wife and five small children; and then lately through some misinformation had been unduly sequestered as a delinquent. He prayed for a copy of the charge against him and liberty to examine and cross-examine witnesses; which was granted-28 May 1651.

For John Rigby see Thomas Ince, and John Milkington.

## Joseph Rigby, Mayor of Wigan.

(First Series, vol. xcviii., fols. 351, &c.)

fo. 351. Examinations taken at Preston 10 October 1650 concerning Major Joseph Rigby.<sup>1</sup>

James Bradshawe of the Houghe in the parish of Bolton, husbandman, said that he was with William Kindesley of Hough, late agent for sequestration, at Wigan about 23 December last, and offered £8 a year for the estate of one Leyland of Abraham (under sequestration) which had formerly been let for £5 a year; but Joseph Rigby, then mayor of Wigan, being present, ordered him to hold his peace and bid no more, and offered to draw his sword on deponent, and sending for two serjeants of the town threatened to clap him by the heels. The agents, seeing Mr. Rigby so hot, called the deponent away from the table, and "in that tyme ye candle was put out & Mr. Joseph Rigby had the estate aforesaid for what this Informer had offred, although this informer was desired by a friend to offer & give £10 for ye estate before he left it." The agents, in consequence of Rigby's behaviour, left Wigan and went to Newton to set other estates.

Thomas Daneford of Harwood, husbandman, gave similar evidence of being threatened by Rigby when he wanted to bid.

fo. 352. Examination taken at Preston 20 September 1650.

Humphrey Cowley of Pemberton, husbandman, deposed that about Christmas last when William Kindersley and Jeffrey Birchall, then agents for sequestrations in Darby Hundred, were at Wigan to let sequestrated estates, he went to the appointed place (William Tempest's house) intending to bid for the estate of Mr. James Anderton of Birchley, a papist delinquent. He heard Rigby offer £28 for it for the year, and thinking it worth more intended to bid a greater sum,

but Mayor Rigby swore that if any man offered to take it from him he would knock him down. The agent Birchall said he should rather encourage men to take estates than affright them from it, but he again swore that if any man offered at it he would cut his head from his shoulders. So deponent went away, not daring to bid.

fo. 353. Gervase Lowe had intended to bid for Hugh Orrell's estate, which he had farmed for £6 the year before, but Rigbye prevented him entering the house to make an offer.

Roger Hindley of Pemberton, brazier, said that his brother John Hindley had a lease for three lives of a tenement in Hyndley worth 20s. a year, under Mr. Massey of Rixton, a papist delinquent. Rigby purchased the inheritance of the same from Mr. Massey for £80, and then compelled John Hindley to pay the yearly rent to him instead of to the agents for sequestrations.

John Hindley of Pemberton, brazier, confirmed.

fo. 354. Jeffrey Birchall confirmed the evidence of Cowley and Lowe,

William Kindersley, of the Halgh near Bolton, said that Rigby "thrust Gervase Lowe that intended to have farmed some estates forth of the doores and would not suffer him to take any estates and thretned others; and amongst the rest one Thomas Davenport offring to take an estate sequestred from Hugh Orrell at which Capt. Butterworth was offringe, Maior Rigby called Davenport base fellow and asked him how he durst stand in competicion with such a man as Capt. Butterworth; and this deponent desiringe Major Rigby to forbeare and not to use such words against those that came to farme estates, saying that if they [i.e. the agents] had thought to have received such oposicion they would not have come there, he asked who sent for them."

Richard Bradshaw, late one of the agents for sequestration in Salford Hundred, said that about January last he was at Blackrode to let sequestrated estates, among the rest that of one Janyon, let the previous year at £50 (all taxes being allowed out of the rent). He told Rigby, who offered to take it, that he being a soldier was unfit to farm estates, but Rigby said he was as fit as any other and offered £20 for it for the year and to pay all taxes. . . .

#### (First Series, vol. liii., fol. 887.)

fo. 887. "A charge of Misdemeanour exhibited before the Commissioners for Compounding agst Major Joseph Rigby late major of Wigan Com. Lanc<sup>r</sup>. 10 December 1650.

"That the said Joseph Rigby hath greatly impeded and hindered the proffitts of the Comonwealth whin the sd County by using his power and Authorities to hinder divers & seuerall men from coming to the Agents for sequestration for letting and settling the lands of Papists & Delinquents according to the best value to such as would bid most and did threaten diverse & seuerall men to beat them & cutt off their heads if they should offer to bid any mony or more mony than such sume as he mentioned unto them, and used divers words to that effect and the sot Joseph Rigbye would come into the house where the soft Agents Satt to lett the Lands as aforesaid and use such language to severall persons to descourage them from taking of the lands of the sc Papists & Delinquents lands and all this to the end that he himselfe the sd Major Rigbe mought take them at an undervalue for himselfe which he did, and did Imprison or secure seuerall men for the biding money or to hire the sd lands.

"This is done by order "Tho: Fowle."

#### 30bn Miamaiden of Cartmell, Deoman.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1697, fols. 1167, &c.)

Petition, which mentions that petitioner being son and heir to his father Thomas Rigmaiden was thereby legally entitled to a small cottage and tenement, yet though his father was dead he could not obtain possession because the property lay under sequestration for his father's recusancy; that petitioner himself was and ever had been a Protestant and had duly frequented the word read and preached in the parish church of Cartmell and elsewhere at days and times of public meeting and worship; that he had served the Parliament in Arms and had taken the National Engagement; that he was a very poor man living 200 miles away and not able to prosecute his appeal. He therefore prayed their honours to discharge his small estate; which was agreed to on condition that the property had been sequestrated for the recusancy only of the father, and if he were only a tenant for life and petitioner was the heir.

fo. 976. Second petition 25 March 1652. Referred to Mr. Brereton, and (as appears by a marginal note) the composition was on the third Act.

fo. 978. A third petition (13 April 1653), upon which and after the perusal of the certificates on folios 979, 981, 983 & 985 the Commissioners ordered the sequestration to be discharged.

fo. 1160. Certificate signed by many of petitioner's neighbours testifying that he had lived for the seven years then past in Cartmell parish, that he had constantly attended the parish church and that he had taken the Engagement before Thomas Fell and John Sawrey, Esqrs.

Among them are the names of John Sawrey, Phillip Bennett, minister at Cartmell, Thomas Fletcher, Chri: Finstat, William King and William Pepper, churchwardens, and others.

fo. 1172. Certificate signed by William Pepper, Captain, and John Stainton, Lieutenant, who also stated that petitioner had resided for seven years in Cartmell parish and that during that time he had voluntarily enlisted himself a soldier in the Parliament's service in a foot company.

## John Migmaiden of Mether Ulyresdale, deceased.

(First Series, vol. v., No. 102, fols. 314, &c.)

fo. 314. Report (heard 16 November 1654) by Readinge upon a petition of April 29, 1654, by Francis Baines and Thomas Clarkson, yeomen, desiring the discharge from sequestration of certain lands in Netherweirsdale, co. Lancaster, made over to them in trust for several uses by John Rigmaiden, which were under sequestration for his recusancy, he being dead.

Mr. Readinge found that by an indenture dated 24 February 1653[-4] made between John Rigmaiden of the one part and petitioners on the other, reciting that John Rigmaiden stood possessed of 60 years then to come (if Hugh Rigmaiden and Richard Atkinson or any of them so long lived) of a messuage in Netherweirsdale of the ancient rent of 12s, then in the tenure of the said John Rigmaiden by lease made by Gilbert weirsdale of the ancient rent of 12s, then in the tenure of the said John Rigmaiden by lease made by Gilbert late Lord Gerrard deceased to John Rigmaiden, gent. (late father of the aforesaid John Rigmaiden deceased) bearing date 6 August 19 Jacobi [1621]. The said John Rigmaiden, party to the indenture, for divers considerations assigned the premises to petitioners in trust to have the said messuage for 60 years if he John Rigmaiden and the other lessees or either of them so long lived, upon trust that he John Rigmaiden and his assigns should for his life receive the profits thereof to his own use, and after his death suffer Ann Green, wife of Roger Green, daughter of the said John Rigmaiden, if Roger Green, daughter of the said John Rigmaiden, if she survived her father, to receive the profits of a moiety of the said messuage for her life if the estate therein granted to petitioners should so long endure; the

petitioners immediately after the determination of the estates of John Rigmaiden and Ann his daughter should devote the profits to the use, maintenance and preferment of the children of the said Ann till the youngest of them attained the age of 15 years; after shall permit the eldest male issue (failing, the eldest female) of the body of Ann lawfully begotten and their lawful issues, and for want thereof the said Ann for her life, and for want thereof Roger Green her husband and his assigns for his life (if he survive Ann his wife), and for want thereof to the right heirs of the said John Rigmaiden and their assigns, to receive the profits for the residue of the term of 60 years, and the whole title tenant-right customary hold and demean of him the said John Rigmaiden of and in the said messuage for ever; they who received the profits paying the said yearly rent of 12s. and all other dues and services accustomed to be paid. Provided that if the said John Rigmaiden be indebted at the time of his death and his goods were insufficient to pay his debts and funeral expenses, that then the feoffees should stand and be possessed of a third part of the said messuage until they had raised money sufficient to pay his debts, &c.

William Gervos, one of the witnesses to the indenture, examined before the Lancashire Commissioners, deposed that John Rigmaiden died about the 6<sup>th</sup> of March 1653[-4], which he believed as he deponent was sent for to his funeral, but failed to go; he had heard several persons of credit who were at the funeral say he was dead. Deposed he was present when John Rigmaiden sealed signed and delivered the deed. The third of the messuage under sequestration was worth £3.10.0. a year. Deposed he saw Ann Green the daughter was alive and well on the 24 of August preceding and he believed she was then alive. Francis Baines, one of the petitioners, deposed also to the date of the death of John Rigmaiden, and that he owed deponent £15 and the expenses of the burial. He deposed also that he had not alone or jointly with Thomas Clarkson done any act to

divest him from the power and interest given by John Rigmaiden to deponent and Clarkson by the assignment dated 24 February 1653[-4].

Thomas Clarkson, the other petitioner, deposed to the same effect. Roger Green deposed to the death of John Rigmaiden and the sequestration of two third parts of the said estate, and confirmed the evidence of preceding deponents.

The Lancashire Commissioners transmitted the depositions to London and at same time certified that the lands were sequestrated in 1643 for the recusancy

of John Rigmaiden.

 $M^r$  Brown, Auditor for the county of Lancaster, certified that the two third parts of the messuage were let to John Rigmaiden himself at a rental of £2. 10. 0.

It was submitted that the sequestration should be discharged and petitioners permitted to enjoy the profits &c. (20 October 1654).

fo. 320. Order to refer matter to the Lancashire Commissioners (29 September 1654).

fo. 323. Petition (29 September 1654).

fo. 325. Mr Brown's certificate.

fo. 327. Certificate of M<sup>r</sup> Bayley, at the request of M<sup>r</sup> Dicconson, stating what proceedings had been taken in the case—(28 September 1654).

fo. 328. Communication from the Lancashire Commissioners dated September 5, 1654, signed by E. Aspinwall and Robert Massey, accompanying the depositions sworn to before them.

ff. 330-369. Copies of the examinations of the witnesses taken at Wigan 25 August 1654.

#### Dorothy Rishton.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fols. 947, &c.)

fo. 947. Order to take possession in favour of Humphrey Weld, Esq., and William Rishton, jun<sup>r</sup>, gent., who on 23 March 1652[-3] purchased several messuages, lands and tenements in the parishes of Whaley and

Blackburn, co. Lancaster, and particularly for that part of the manor house wherein Dorothy Rishton then lived, the kitchen building, the hall chamber and closet, the outhouses, the upper end of the great barne, the waine-howse, the Shawground, the Wheathome, the Elles (?) Home, the Roadsbank, the Hagg, and two acres of meadow in the townships of Church and Rishton in the said county, being parcel of the estate of William Rishton, Esq., and sequestered as the estate of the said Dorothy but sold by the Trustees as then in present possession of the State.

fo. 949. Similar order in favour of William Rishton of Grayes Inn, gent., of several messuages and lands lying in the parishes of Whaley and Blackburn, parcel of the estate of the above, dated 23 March 1652[-3].

fo. 951. Petition of the above Dorothy, she having been summoned to appear before the Commissioners to show cause why the purchasers above named should

fo. 951. Petition of the above Dorothy, she having been summoned to appear before the Commissioners to show cause why the purchasers above named should not have possession of the lands in the surrender specified. She submitted that as she theretofore had had a third of her then late husband's estate for dower, and did about 20 years then before for the advancement of her son William in the order named surrender the same to him for a valuable consideration, and that he had only at pleasure permitted her to live with him and enjoy part of the premises, in which she had no other title than by permission; neither did she willingly obstruct the said purchasers' possession, but hoped they would (in consideration of her age) permit her to have some lodging and habitation in the premises during her life at a moderate rate; which she humbly submitted to their Honours' just favour and consideration. Signed by the petitioner 5 January 1653[-4].

At foot is an affidavit sworn before the Commissioners in London on 18 January 1653[-4] by Hugh

At foot is an affidavit sworn before the Commissioners in London on 18 January 1653[-4] by Hugh Wadsworth, gent., who had seen Dorothy sign the petition and who had been desired to deliver the petition to the Commissioners, with the object of answering their order for the surrender of the premises.

fo. 953. 13 December 1653. Order referred to. At foot: 23 February 1653[-4]—"The possion to be given to ye purchasers notwithstanding what is alleadged by the Petr."

## Edward Mishton of Michelbeys, Gent.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fols. 970, &c.)

fo. 970. Petition which showed that William Rishton of Pouthaugh, gent., by indenture dated 28 September 15° Chas. I [1639] demised to petitioner for a term of 80 years—if petitioner, Elizabeth his wife or James his son so long lived—a tenement called Michaelheyes and certain lands lying in the parish of Blackburn; that petitioner had been one named in the then last Act for Sale, but in virtue of the proviso in it he now prayed to be admitted to compound for the same (22 March 1652[-3]). Referred to Mr Reading to report.

fo. 945. Order to take possession of a messuage and

fo. 945. Order to take possession of a messuage and lands called Michaell Heyes in the parish of Blackburn, then late parcel of the estate of Edward Rishton, and then in the tenure of the said Edward and Katherine his mother

## Ralph Risbton of White Ash, the Elder.

(Second Series, vol. li., No. 3403,1 fols. 879, &c.)

fo. 879. Compounder was included in the Act for Sale of Lands under the name of Ralph Rishton junior of Whiteash, co. Lancaster. His estate was surveyed for sale 18th February and certified 7 March 1652[-3]. By this it appeared that he was then lately seised of a messuage called Whiteash in the township of Oswaldtwistle in the parish of Whaley and certain closes of land worth yearly £4. 18. 3. The premises were not set at the time by the State but in the occupation of compounder (Mr. Brereton's Report).

fo. 882. Petition.

fo. 883. Order of Reference to Mr. Brereton.

fo. 886. Second Petition, described in this as of Stainell.

fo. 887. Order to refer the same to Mr. Reading in which it appears that this compounder is the father of the succeeding. He also petitioned to compound for Whiteash valued at £9. 8. 8, also a cottage and croft, for life and the life of his wife, held by lease from Thomas Barton, Esq., dated 6 March 1613[-4] at a rent of 12d.; worth above the reserved rent 4s. a year. Fine £57. 10. (30 March 1653.)

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fols. 943, &c.)

fo. 943. Order to take possession of a tenement called White Ash and appurtenances thereto belonging lying in the township of Oswald-Twistlee and parish of Whaley, co. Lancaster, late parcel of the estate of Ralph Rishton, senior, sold to Thomas Wharton, gent., on 29 April 1653.

fo. 971. Petition praying for liberty to compound, 22 March 1652[-3]. Referred to Mr. Reading. By a note in the margin he appears to have compounded on the third Act.

## Ralph Risbton of White Ashe, the Younger.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fols. 974, &c.)

fo. 974. Petition from Ralph Rishton the younger of Whiteash, yeoman, which shows that (as he alleged) his name had been inserted through a mistake in the additional Act for Sale, he never having committed any act of delinquency; he therefore prayed that the Commissioners would according to justice grant him such relief as should to their honours seem meet.

28 January 1652[-3] "The Comrs Sequestrators & Auditor to certify," &c.

(First Series, vol. ci., No. 4087, fol. 222.)

fo. 222. Letter dated at Lancaster 21 March 1652[-3] signed by E. Aspinwall and John Sawrey, mentioning that by an Order of the 28 January then last made upon the petition of the above they were required to certify the grounds and cause of the sequestration of petitioner's estate, when sequestrated, and from whom. In reply they certified that upon a perusal of the then former agent's accounts they found that in the year 1643 Raph Rishton of White Ashe the younger was sequestrated for recusancy and that two thirds of his estate had so remained under sequestration for recusancy and not for delinquency; but they found about the same that Ralph Rishton of White Ashe the elder, father of petitioner, was sequestrated for recusancy and delinquency, which had been continued up to the date of these proceedings.

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fol. 955.)

fo. 955. Petition, which showed that as his estate had been sequestered for his recusancy only he desired to avail himself of a proviso in the Act of 21 October 1653 and be admitted to contract; which was granted, the same being referred to Mr. Reading to report (28 December 1653).

#### William Kishton of Poalthalgh, Gent.

(Second Series, vol. lii., No. 3415, fols. 55, &c.)

fo. 55. Report by Mr. Reading. Petitioner was comprised in the Act for Sale of Land forfeited for Treason, and was described as of Peuthalgh, co. Lancaster. The estate was surveyed January and February 1652[-3] and certified 14 March 1652[-3]. He petitioned 15<sup>th</sup> of same month. The survey was made of a farm called Poulthough lying in the parishes of Whaley and Blackburne; the survey was made in

several parcels, with tenants' names, number of acres, and values. Amongst these on the fifth and sixth sheets of the survey is mentioned a small tenement in the township of Rishton, parish of Blackburne, with two closes of land adjoining worth yearly 30s., but the lease being then expired they found that to be in possession of the State. It was formerly leased by petitioner's father to John Broughton. There was also in possession of the State a cottage in Church, parish of Whaley, worth ros. a year. It was for these two parcels petitioner desired to compound. Fine £18 (5 April 1653).

fo. 58. Petition.

fo. 59. Order of Reference to Mr. Readinge.

fo. 61. Particular.

fo. 64. Application to compound, dated 22 March 1652[-3] at Manchester. Signed for petitioner by Thomas Whasting (?).

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1690, fols. 942, &c.)

fo. 942. Petition, which showed that his estate was sequestered for his reputed delinquency—of which he conceived himself to be in no way guilty; he therefore prayed for an order to have such witnesses examined upon oath and he to cross-examine them. 24 September 1650. "If the Sequestration were laid on within a yere then to certify the proceedings and proofes."

fo. 967. Second petition, which disclosed that his estate had been included in the Act for Sale of the 18th of November 1652, and he now desiring to have the benefit of a proviso therein prayed to be allowed to be permitted to compound for the same. 15 March 1652[-3]. "Referred to Mr. Reading." A marginal memorandum in another hand says, "Wm Rishton of Pouthalgh—petr to compound 3 Act" (no date).

## John Mivington, deceased.

(Second Series, vol. xli., No. 2397, fols. 207, &c.)

fo. 207. His delinquency had been that he was in arms against the Parliament and was slain "at Newberry battle 1643." His brother and heir, James Rivington, of Euxton, husbandman, petitioned 17 May 1649, alleging that he had been in arms for the Parliament. He compounded upon a particular which disclosed that his brother died seised in fee of the moiety of a tenement and 16 acres of land in Euxton of the yearly value of £3. 5. 0.; that he died also seised of the reversion of the other moiety, worth also £3. 5. 0.

Fine £14. 12. 6. (25 June 1649).

fo. 210. Petition.

fo. 211. Particular, in which a deduction is prayed from the value of £90 payable to compounder's brothers and sisters as appeared by a deed dated I January 9 Charles [1633-4] and by the last will of Lawrence Rivington, compounder's father, then deceased. From this it appears that his mother's name was Jane.

## Adam Robertson alias Hodgkinson of Hindley, Ibusbandman.

(First Series, vol. lxxxiv., No. 2806, fols. 185, &c.)

fo. 185. Petition showing that he had in the last war adhered to the forces raised against the Parliament, for which delinquency his estate had been sequestered; he prayed to be admitted to compound, expressing himself as willing to submit to such fine as was imposed upon him. 23 July 1649. Referred to the Sub-committee.

fo. 187. Particular of his estate.

#### Edmund Robinson of the Mewland, Deoman.

(Second Series, vol. xxxiii., No. 1779, fols. 127, &c.)

fo. 127. Delinquency, being in the garrison at Clitherow when it was held against the Parliament. He compounded on a particular which disclosed that he was seised in fee of a copyhold estate in the Forest of Pendle of the yearly value of £16. 11. 4, also of a reversion in fee after the death of John Robinson his father of certain copyhold rents within the manor of Pendle worth yearly £6. 13. 4.

He claimed a deduction of £6. 13. 4. per annum for 2½ years to Thomas Duckworth, granted by surrender 15 May 1640. Fine £40.

fo. 130. Particular.

fo. 132. Petition.

fo. 133. Certificate from Robert Marsden, minister at Clitheroe, that compounder, then a prisoner in Clitheroe Castle, voluntarily before him and divers officers on 16 January 1644[-5] took the National Covenant. Dated 6 March 1647[-8].

fo. 135. Certificate by Tho. Vincent that he took

the Negative Oath, 31 January 1648[-9].

fo. 137. Certificate by Ric: Shuttleworth (a member of the House of Commons) stating that compounder was his neighbour and that poverty alone had prevented petitioner from proceeding with his sequestration before (22 March 1647[-8]).

## John Robinson of the Old Land in Pendle. Bent.

(Second Series, vol. xlii., No. 2509, fols. 473, &c.)

fo. 473. Delinquency, assisting the forces raised against the Parliament: he petitioned I May 1649 and compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seised in fee to him and his heirs in certain lands in Osweltwisle worth yearly  $f_{24}$ , also of a copyhold

estate of and in certain lands and tenements in the manors of Ightenhill, Chatbourne, Worston and Pendleton, with the coal mines therein, worth above the reserved rent of £5. 6. 9. to the Crown the sum of £59. 3. 6. ob. yearly; also of a copyhold estate for his own life of a parcel of land held of the manor of Slatburne in the co. of York worth £15. yearly. Fine £191 (5 July 1649).

fo. 476. Petition.

fo. 477. Particular.

fo. 479. John Robinson of the Ould Lawnd in the Countie of Lancaster in Pendle Forest. A particular of his estate for terme of life houlden of the Manner of Ighnil being of ould and new rents.

	H s. d.
John Hurst for his land and Tenement -	8.1.0
John Hargrave for his land and Tenement	1.0.0
William Greene in Colne Toun for his Tene-	
ment and garden	1.6.8
Richard Banks his two tenemts in Pendle	
Forest called Rattan Clough new Houlds .	7.10.0
John Bowker of Pendleton for his lands &	
tenem <sup>t</sup>	4.9.0
William Greenshaw for lands & two tenemts	
in Church Parish	4.0.0
The Owld Lawnd, Hamston Cliff and Cham-	
ber in Pendle weh hee rents from ye Seques-	
trators	15.0.0
One parcell of land called Hawksole weh he	
hath enioyed since May last was twelve	
months never before in his possession ye	
lease being then expired	4.0.0
for right in the New Lawnd in Pendle	5.0.0
Thomas Barrost of Mouseley his tenement	0
in Burneley wood ould land and common-	5.6.8
James Wood his tenem <sup>t</sup> in Burneley wood -	4.0.0
John Greenhaw in Little Marston in Colne	
pish	0.13.4
Leonard's Tenem <sup>ts</sup> in Colne Robert Whaleyes tenem <sup>t</sup>	0 · 3 · 4
Robert Whaleyes tenemt	I.O.O
John Robinson of Gouldesley in Pendle Forest	
Henry Bayley of the Craggs	
Blakeley Greens Tenem <sup>t</sup>	0 · I · 3°

	Ħ s. d.
Ann & Margrett of Varley for howse & Garden	0.10.0
Hugh Parker for his tenement	
Widowe Parker for her tenem <sup>t</sup>	0.2.0
Common of Champion in Sladburne pish in	
the Countie of Yorke	0.15.0
Three Colemines in Little Marsenden & ye	
Ould Launds att	2.1.0

This is a True and iust pticular of all my Estate for which I only desire to compound and doe submitt unto and undertake to satisfie such Fine as by this Comittee for Composicons with Delinquents shalbe ymposed and sett to pay for the same in order to the freedome and discharge of my person and Estate, And I doe affirme that I am noe Papist or did belong to the Lawe Comon or Civill nor excepted in any proposicons or votes of Parliam<sup>t</sup>

JOHN ROBINSON.

## fo. 481. Deductions.

	Ħ	s.	d.
Paid out of the Mannor of Ightenhill unto			
the King being New houlds	3 •	10	. 2
Paid out of the Rents of Ightenhill unto ye			
King being ould houlds	o .	18	. 7
Paid out of the Mannors of Chatburne Wor-			
ston and Pendleton being all of ould		_	
houlds	ο.	18	. 0

(First Series, vol. liv., No. 1726.)

Order to take possession of several messuages, lands and tenements lying in Oldlande in the Forest of Pendle, late parcel of the estate of the above, sold to George Hurd, gent., on the 24 August 1653. Names of occupiers mentioned.

For Robert and Francis Rockley see James Gorsuch.
For Thomas Rogers see John Calvert and Richard Calvert.

## Elizabeth Rogerson of Ashton, widow.

(First Series, vol. lxxxii., No. 2637, fol. 295.)

fo. 295. Petition showing that two thirds of her estate was under sequestration for recusancy only: she therefore prayed that she might be admitted to contract for the same (13 January 1653[-4]). Referred to Mr. Reading.

### John Rogerson of Manchester. Gent.

(Second Series, vol. xlix., No. 3220, fols. 711, &c.)

fo. 711. Delinquency, adhering to and assisting the forces raised against the Parliament: he petitioned 18 July 1651 stating his estate had then lately been seized and secured, but never sequestered. He compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seised of a house, a bed, and some books, worth  $f_{i,0}$ , 10. 0.; that by the last will and testament of William Hulme of Manchester there was a legacy given unto him worth £20.

fo. 714. Petition.

fo. 715. Particular. Fine f.4. 18. 4. (2 September 1651).

## William Rogerson of Coppull, Webster.

(Second Series, vol. xlix., No. 3146, fols. 29, &c.)

fo. 29. Delinquency, adhering to and assisting the forces raised against the Parliament in the last war. He petitioned 7 May 1651, and compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he was seised for three lives of and in a messuage with lands thereunto belonging lying in Coppull of the yearly value of £4. 2. 0. Fine £10. 5. 0. (20 May 1651) confirmed.

fo. 32. Petition.

fo. 31. Particular.

For Philip Rover see Sir Richard Fleetwood.

#### John Roscow of Barrow, Gent.

(Second Series, vol. liv., No. 3575, fols. 21, &c.)

fo. 21. Report by Mr. Readinge, by which it appears that petitioner was one of the persons comprised in the Act for Sale of lands forfeited for treason. His estate was surveyed and returned 29 December 1653, and he petitioned 23 February 1653[-4]. By the survey it appeared that he was seised of a copyhold estate of inheritance of a tenement and certain lands in Barrow worth annually £6. 3. 0.; the same had then been let by the Commissioners for 7 years at a rental of £4. 11. 9. annually, out of which there was a reprize payable to the chief lord of Fornes of  $9^s$   $4^d$  per annum.

fo. 23. Petition.

fo. 25. Order of reference. Fine £25. 2. 0. (24 February 1653[-4]).

(First Series, vol. lxxx., No. 2531, fol. 437.)

fo. 437. Petition showing that he was one of the persons comprised in the then last Additional Act for Sale, and his estate, lying and being at Barrowe in the parish of Dalton, had been surveyed and a return made of it. He prayed to be admitted to contract for the same (23 February 1653[-4]). Referred to Mr. Reading.

For Richard Rose see Lancashire Poor.

#### Francis Roson.

(First Series, vol. xvii., No. 494, fols. 489, &c.)

fo. 489. Petition from Gilbert Crouch, gent., of London, which showed on the 15 May preceding petitioner appealed to the Commissioners for present possession of several tenements in Little Crosbye late parcel of the estate of W<sup>m</sup> Blundell, Esq., some whereof

were out of lease at the time when petitioner purchased but sequestered for the recusancy of other persons who were tenants for life, some others had come out of lease since the former petition. On the former petition an order had been sent to the Lancashire Commissioners to examine and certify.

In the particular there petitioner had casually omitted a tenement in Ditton in the tenure of one Hugh Roson, purchased by petitioner, as in petitioner's possession but kept under sequestration for the recusancy of one Francis Roson deceased. Prayed for an order to examine &c. "19 June 1655." Granted.

fo. 492. Petition on same subject "15 May 1655."

For Thomas Rothwell see Sir Thomas Fleetwood.

For Mary Roughley see William Bill.

For Francis Rous see John Preston.

For Sir Benjamin Rudyard see John Preston.

#### James Rutter of Alves Walton, busbandman.

(First Series, vol. xxx., fol. 1014.)

fo. 1014. Petition from Robert Holland of Mawdesley, which showed that James Rutter of Ulveswalton for a valuable consideration had by good assurance in law conveyed his estate to petitioner for the term he had in it, yet petitioner could not then enjoy it inasmuch as two third parts of it were sequestered for the recusancy of the said James Rutter. He prayed that he might be admitted to compound for the said two third parts.

[This petition is signed "James Rutter," which is apparently a mistake. A particular of the estate is appended—worth £7 yearly.]

(First Series, vol. liv., No. 1739, fol. 1204.)

fo. 1204. Petition which disclosed that petitioner's estate was, so far as regarded two thirds thereof, under

sequestration for his recusancy only; he therefore prayed to be admitted to compound for the same 10 January 1653[-4]. Referred to Mr. Reading.

#### Michael Rutter.1

(First Series, vol. liv., No. 1739, fol. 1195.)

fo. 1195. Order to take possession of several messuages lands and tenements lying in the parish of Croston, co. Lanc<sup>r</sup>, then late parcel of the estate of the above, and sold to William Dicconson of London, gent., on the 25 May 1654.

#### Thomas Rutter.

(First Series, vol. c., No. 4053, fol. 583.)

fo. 583. Letter dated at Preston 10 January 1650[-1] signed by Peter Holt, Robt Cunliffe and G. Pigot, mentioning that on the petition of Elizabeth Rutter, who was then late wife of Thomas Rutter of Mawdesley deceased, they certified that he being in his lifetime possessed of a cottage and about an acre of land in Mawdesley aforesaid for the term of one life then in being two thirds of it was sequestrated for his recusancy, and by his death the same came to petitioner his late wife who was and had been a Protestant and constant frequenter of the church, and the day this letter was written she took the oath of Abjuration before them; and yet, remarked they, the estate still remained under sequestration. She and her five children, continue the Commissioners, were likely to starve for want of relief unless the sequestration were discharged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Calendar of Com. for Comp. (iii. 1843) he is described as of Quinton in Gloucestershire. On 15 June 1648 he compounded for his delinquency in signing two warrants for raising money and arms for the king. In 1644 he came in voluntarily to the County Committee of Gloucester and lent them £200 for the use of that garrison. His fine at a tenth was £300.

They state they were very unwilling to trouble the Commissioners above with certificates of the above nature, but out of commiseration for the petitioner and her children they made bold to commend the same to their better consideration.

(First Series, vol. xlvii., No. 1444, fol. 625.)

fo. 625. Petition from Richard Nelson of Croston, gent., which showed that one Thomas Rutter of Maudesley for a valuable consideration had conveyed to petitioner his small estate during Rutter's term therein, but as two thirds of it had been sequestrated for Rutter's recusancy petitioner could not obtain possession. He therefore prayed to be admitted to compound for the same. (No date or order.) A particular of the premises at foot.

## John Rycroft of Haigh, Parish of Wigan,

(First Series, vol. liii., No. 1686, fols. 761, &c.)

fo. 761. Petition, which showed that at the beginning of the "unhappy differences" when the King's party had almost the sole command of the county and most especially where petitioner lived being near unto Wigan, a garrison which the King's party did keepat which time all the country thereabouts were summoned by warrant from the Earl of Derby, who then was made General of his Majesty of those parts, to come and appear at a place called Westhoughton Common upon pain of death—petitioner being a poor man having a wife and seven small children durst not disobey that command but with the rest of his neighbours went to the place aforesaid, which happened about three years before this time (no date on petition). and stayed there but one day; since which time petitioner never showed himself or contributed a penny towards that party in any manner of ways but had contributed

towards maintaining a soldier for the Parliament with all levies and taxations according to his ability. For which cause (before mentioned) petitioner was sequestrated and for no other.

"May yt therefore please this Hoble Comittee to take ye pomisses into yor worthy consideracon and accepte of his submission & suffer him to compound for his poore estate for the maintenance of his wife and his seven small children: otherwise they are like to be burthensom to the Countrie For weh yor Clemencie shewed herein yor peticoner as in all duty bounden wth his wife and poore children

"will Dayly pray
"JOHN RYCROFT < mke."

fo. 758. "January 24 1645[-6].

"Wee Peter Rylands and John Pendleberie Agents for Sequestrations ymployed in Darbie Hundred doe Sartifeiy whom it may conserne that the estate personall and Reall of John Raycroft of Haigh husbandman is according to Inventory and farely taken and brought in to us by William Haighe and William Peeterston of Hindley Yeomen sworn apprisors is as followeth viz.

"Personall estate Fourteene poundes nine shillings and eightpence

"Reall estate vewde and surveyed by Henrie Howe and William Morris sworne apprisors both of Haighe yeomen to Fourteene acres at seuen poundes eleuen shillings three pence p annum.

"Yours PETER RYLANDS
"JOHN PENDLEBERIE."

At foot

"The reall and psonall estate before menconed ys valued and apprized to as high a rate as it would have given or beene lett for before the beginning of these unhappy differences

" JOHN X RYECROFT."

ro. 759. Certificate signed by Willm Barton, minister of St John Zecharias, London, that petitioner took the

National Covenant and subscribed before him on the 16 February 1648[9].

fo. 765. Particular of his estate.

fo. 763. Statement of his delinquency, &c.

## Thomas Ryley of the Parish of Clitheroe, Peoman.

(Second Series, vol. vi., No. 283, fols. 418, &c.)

fo. 418. His delinquency, for wearing arms and being against the Parliament. He took the National Covenant before W<sup>m</sup> Barton, John Zacheries, London, 27 April 1646, and the Negative Oath on the  $28^{th}$  same month; he compounded upon a particular which disclosed that he held lands in Chatburne worth £11. 5. 4 yearly, also a reversionary interest in Chatburne worth £5, a lease of lands in Chatburne worth £7. 9. 6, also another worth £5. Personal £20. Fine £50.

fo. 421. Petition.

fo. 423. Particular.

fo. 424. Wm Barton's certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Described in one of the papers as "Clothier." This paper is followed (on the same page) by those of John Bretherton and James Barker. The footnote will be found under "John Bretherton."

## **APPENDIX**

It has been deemed advisable to add to this volume a brief comparison of the Society's volumes, so far as they have gone, with the Record Office Calendar. The latter gives a large number of additional references which should be consulted by those who wish to go minutely into any particular case, for it indexes not only the 167 volumes long known as the 'Royalist Composition Papers,' but about a hundred more preserved at the Public Record Office and containing orders, certificates, letters, &c. The difficulties of arrangement are illustrated by the very first cases, for the Calendar joins together two separate Abbots, and on the other hand the Society's volume separates two Abrams. Some of our apparent omissions are accounted for by such differences of judgment; and a few others by the fact that the Calendar takes account of the sequestrations after the abortive rising of 1659.

Jонн Аввот (i. 1). Cal. iv. 2798 (where he is wrongly described as 'of Houghton').

RICHARD ABBOT (i. 3). Cal. iv. 2799: '12 April 1654. Claim allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears from 24 Dec. 1649.'

ELIZABETH ABRAHAM (i. 7). Cal. iv. 2871, where this is rightly joined with the case of WILLIAM ABRAM (i. 7).

John Ackers (i. 9). Cal. v. 3199; date of order given as 22 March 1654.

ROBERT Adamson (i. 10). Cal. iii. 2199 (Laughton in error for Langton): 17 July 1650, sequestration discharged.

HUGH ADLINGTON (i. 11). Cal. iv. 2799: '24 March 1653. Order for discharge of the estate and its delivery to Peter, surviving son and heir of Hugh Adlington.'

John Ainscoe (omitted). Cal. v. 3234. On 10 April 1655, on the petition of William Parke of Walton-le-Dale, an order was made for the discharge of two-thirds of a messuage in Walton inhabited by John Ainscoe alias Martin (now dead), sequestered for his recusancy.

GEORGE AINSWORTH of Oswaldtwisle (omitted). Cal. iii. 2333. On 6 June 1650 the County Committee sent information against him for delinquency. On 5 Feb. 1650-1 Fowle is to consider whether copies of the depositions against him should be given to him; and on 11 Feb. he requested the heads of the charge against him, his estate being seized. On 12 Feb. the County Committee was ordered to examine the matter in private and to give him the heads of the charge. On 6 Nov. he asked publication of the proofs and a speedy hearing; which was granted. On 18 Nov. he complained that the County Committee was disposing of his estate before judgment, and stay was ordered. On 6 April 1652 he begged discharge on the Act of Pardon.

ISAAC ALLEN (i. 18). Cal. iv. 2650. The chief part of the Society's record is not calendared. In the Calendar is a note that Allen sent certificates to prove that he was not sequestered on 1 Dec. 1651, and he was discharged.

ALICE ALSTON (i. 34). Cal. iv. 3174, under Edward Parkinson.

WILLIAM AMBROSE (i. 35). Cal. iii. 1701.

ALATHEA ANDERTON (i. 36). Cal. iii. 2125 (mentions she had 13 children); iv. 3175.

CHRISTOPHER ANDERTON (i. 36). Cal. iii. 2125. The long details of Anderton's offence are omitted in the Calendar, which adds—7 May 1651: John Jones, vicar of Eccles, asked for £18 a year from Eccles rectory, worth £200 a year, sequestered from Christopher Anderton. The vicarage consisted solely of this stipend and 13 acres of glebe.

Francis Anderton (i. 53). Cal. iii. 2126 (under Christopher A.) adds particulars of his petition in 1651, and states (18 July) that, having taken the oath of abjuration, he is allowed his rents.

Hugh Anderton (i. 54). Cal. iii. 2073.

ISABEL ANDERTON (i. 67). Cal. iii. 2074 (under Hugh A.).

James Anderton (i. 75). Cal. iii. 2072. The fine of £800 was reduced to £655, 3s. 4d. on account of a charge of £200 for portions to his three sisters, and the sequestration was suspended. Afterwards the tenants were ordered to pay their rents to him, and their leases, not being confirmed, were to be made void.

JAMES ANDERTON (i. 81). Cal. iv. 2571, which gives some particulars of the first petition (18 Apl. 1654) of Nicholas Shuttleworth of Forcett, Yorks.

WILLIAM ANDERTON (i. 84). Cal. iv. 2575. The fine was paid 18 Sept. 1653 and the estate discharged.

Christopher Apley (omitted). Cal. iii. 1950—'12 May 1649. Fine fit 10s.'

THURSTAN ARROWSMITH (i. 86). Cal. iv. 3004. 5 May 1653 : claim allowed with arrears, on petitioner taking the oath of abjuration.

Anne Ashe (i. 91). Cal. v. 3193.

BRIDGET ASHTON (i. 91). Cal. iv. 2598 (under Richard Ashton).

EDMUND ASITON (i. 91). Cal. ii. 1466, adding: '[10 Dec. 1646] The inhabitants of Oldham parish complain that only £50 is reserved to them, though the church having no other maintenance they cannot hope for a godly and learned minister. Having always been faithful, and many of them ventured their lives in the service, they beg that Assheton, who is sequestered for deserting Parliament, may not have any part of the tithes, which will never be quietly gathered so long as he has part, they part, and the minister of Shaw chapel part. They beg settlement of the whole tithes on the minister.'

MARGARET ASHTON (i. 93). Cal. v. 3185.

RICHARD ASHTON (i. 93). Cal. iv. 2598, which has erroneously joined this case with that of Richard Ashton of Croston.

RICHARD ASHTON (i. 96). Cal. iv. 2596; and iv. 2622 (for Dorothy Ashton, see p. 102).

RICHARD ASHTON of Bamfurlong (omitted). Cal. iv. 2522. On 29 Aug. 1650 the petition of Anne, relict and administratrix of Ed. Lloyd of Wigan, to compound for an extent on lands in Abram belonging to Ashton, was referred to Brereton.

ROGER ASHTON (i. 112). Cal. v. 3178, which adds William Caldwell as a claimant on the estate. On 20 June 1654 he begged discharge of two-thirds of a meadow (worth 205. a year) which he had about 1638 demised to Roger Ashton (now deceased), who bequeathed the remainder of his term to petitioner's children. Edward Robinson, the County Commissioner, was directed to discharge the sequestration if he considered the proofs sufficient.

THOMAS ASHTON (i. 112). Cal. ii. 1179; adds date of fine — 20 Apl. 1647.

THOMAS ASHTON (i. 114); the place should read 'Hest Bank.' Cal. iii. 1950, giving date of petition as 28 Apl. 1649.

WILLIAM ASHURST of Dalton (omitted). Cal. v. 3257. He petitioned to compound, 22 Nov. 1647.

NATHANIEL ATKINS (i. 114). Cal. iv. 2785.

MILES ATKINSON (i. 116). Cal. iii. 1950, giving 1652 instead of 1653.

Ann Audland (i. 117). Cal. iii. 2024, joined with Farrington's case.

John Baines (i. 118). Cal. iii. 1951.

THOMAS BALDWEN (i. 118). Cal. iv. 3158.

JENNET BALL (i. 118). Cal. v. 3236, adding—'20 Dec. 1655.

Report that the petitioners are only trustees for the wife [Jane] and the eldest son of Peter Travers, and that their recusancy should be inquired into.'

WILLIAM BALLARD (i. 119). Cal. v. 3241.

RICHARD BAMBER (i. 120). Cal. iv. 3115-6, which gives the date of his petition as 28 June 1653, and in the allowance of his claim makes a reservation of the life interest of his grandmother Jennet Bamber. The Calendar rightly separates the petition of Captain Roger Bamber (p. 124), as pertaining to the estate of Edward Bamber, deceased.

EDWARD BAMFORD (i. 125). Cal. iii. 2039, under Thomas Eccleston.

EDWARD BAMFORTH (i. 125). Cal. iii. 1951.

RICHARD BANNESTER (i. 125). Cal. iv. 2650, giving date of petition as 4 March 1650-1, and references to later proceedings, ending (4 March 1651-2) in an order to discharge the estate.

THOMAS BANNESTER (i. 129). Cal. iv. 2962, under Sir Richard Hoghton.

Anne Bannister (i. 130); the place in the heading should read <sup>4</sup> Eccleston near Croston. <sup>2</sup> Cal. iv. 3175.

Christopher Bannister (i. 130). Cal. v. 3199, adding—'6 July 1654. Claim allowed with arrears from 14 June.'

ALEXANDER BARKER of Dalton (omitted). Cal. v. 3235. On 3 May 1655 Henry Ashurst of London (for his brother William Ashurst of Ashurst) petitioned for the discharge of a tenement in Dalton let to Barker and sequestered for his delinquency, the last of the lives having fallen in. Referred to the County Commissioners.

James Barker (i. 133). Cal. ii. 1228, giving date of petition as 30 April 1646, and of discharge as 5 May 1646.

JOHN BARKER (i. 134). Cal. ii. 1389, where Barker is described as 'of Southwell, Notts.' He compounded (1646) on the Newark articles, having been in that place on its surrender, but in 1652 his estate was sequestered for neglecting to pay the second moiety of his fine.

THOMAS BARKER (i. 134). Cal. iv. 2808, adding—'16 Dec. 1652. Claim allowed, and arrears granted from date of petition.'

THOMAS BARKER of Broomfield in Melling (omitted). Cal. iii. 2020. On 4 May 1649 he compounded for delinquency in adhering to the forces raised against Parliament, and renewed his petition on 13 July. On 24 April 1650 he was noted as having clapsed his time of payment.

Robert Barlow (i. 137). Cal. iii. 2305.

GEORGE BARNES (i. 138). Cal. iv. 2916, which adds—'24 Feb. 1652-3. Order for discharge of the sequestration, with arrears from the date of petition; the infant to be brought up by the nearest of kin who is a Protestant.'

RANDLE BARNES (i. 142). Cal. iv. 2725.

Thomas Barnes (i. 143). Cal. iv. 3120.

THOMAS BARNES (i. 144). Cal. iii. 1940; the first petition was dated 10 March 1649. Having proved that he had paid the fine, he was not to be disturbed.

DAVID BARON (i. 145). Cal. iv. 3175.

LAWRENCE BARON (i. 145). Cal. iv. 3060, adding—'18 May 1654. Claim allowed on report, and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition.'

ROBERT BARON (i. 150). Cal. iv. 2913, which gives the charge against him thus: '9 Dec. 1651. The County Committee send up informations and depositions accusing him of having joined the Earl of Derby, &c.; but others report that he acted for the Parliament, and that last August, being then bailiff, when the Scots marched through Wigan, he did many services to the cause.'

ELIZABETH BARTON (i. 150). Cal. iv. 2957, which adds paragraphs relating to a different John Barton (living 1653). On 8 June 1654 the Drury House Trustees requested a positive order for discharge of Elizabeth's lands, being in the last Act for Sale as belonging to Thomas Parker; but the County Committee refused on the ground that the sequestrations were in the names of the several tenants.

Hugh Barton (i. 152). Cal. iv. 3174.

CHRISTOPHER BATE (i. 152). Cal. v. 3185.

JOHN BATE (i. 152). Cal. ii. 1093; he compounded through Robert Birley, being too infirm to appear in person.

GEORGE BATTERSBIE (i. 153). Cal. iv. 2848 (under Abraham Nabb. See Lancs. R.C.P., iv. 203).

RICHARD BATTEY (i. 155). Cal. iii. 1950.

CHARLES BAXTER (i. 155). Cal. iii. 2098.

WILLIAM BAYLTON (i. 155). Cal. ii. 1212; date of first fine 23 May 1646.

WILLIAM BAYNES (i. 157). Cal. v. 3185.

ROWLAND BECKINGHAM (i. 157). Cal. iv. 2906; '14 Oct. 1652. Claim allowed and sequestration discharged, and the directions of 22 April last to be observed.'

Anthony Beesley (i. 159). Cal. ii. 1114 (under James, ear! of Derby).

ELLEN BEESLEY (i. 160). Cal. ii. 1285 (under Viscount Kilmorey); the names are printed Ellen Beeley and John Burnes, but Beesley and Burne (or Burnes) are the correct names. A note on p. 164 calls attention to the fact that most of this article refers to Lord Kilmorev's case (see Lancs. R.C.P. iv. 41).

GEORGE BEESLEY (i. 167). Cal. iv. 2989 (under Thomas Beesley). JAMES BEESLEY (i. 170). Cal. iv. 3174.

JOHN BEESLEY (i. 170), brother of George above. Cal. iv. 2990; fine at two-sixths f.7, 12s. 3d.

THOMAS BEESLEY (i. 171), father of above George and John. Cal. iv. 2989; date of discharge 21 April 1653.

WILLIAM BEESLEY (i. 172). Cal. iv. 3155: '26 Sept. 1654. Claim allowed on report, and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition.'

JOHN BICKSON of Bretherton (omitted). Cal. iii. 1951; '15 May 1649. Fine [7 17s. 6d.'

RICHARD BILLINGE (i. 173). Cal. iv. 3102, adding that on 2 Nov. 1653 he petitioned to compound for the third part of his estate in Bickerstaffe and Billinge under the Act of 3 Sept. 1653.

Francis Bindloss (i. 175). Cal. iii. 1697, under John Fleming, to whose case belongs much of what is printed in Lancs. R.C.P.

THOMAS BIRTWISLE (i. 182). Cal. iv. 2571.

JOHN BISPHAM (i. 183). Cal. iv. 3185 (Elizabeth Bispham of Ashton in Amounderness).

Ann Blackburne (i. 183). Cal. iv. 3058: '20 July 1654. Claim allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition.'

EDWARD BLACKBURN of Tarnacre (omitted). Cal. v. 3185. On 13 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract under the Recusants Act for two-thirds of his sequestered estate.

JOHN BLACKBURNE (i. 186). Cal. iv. 2713; on 21 Oct. 1652 the sequestration was discharged.

Mawdlan Blackburne (i. 190). Cal. v. 3191.

RICHARD BLACKBURNE (i. 190). Cal. v. 3178.

ROBERT BLACKBURNE (i. 190). Cal. iii. 1702, wrongly entered under another Robert, of Monmouthshire.

WILLIAM BLACKBURNE (i. 191). Cal. iv. 3059; date of petition 16 Sept. 1653.

THOMAS BLACOE (i. 193). Cal. v. 3237.

THOMAS BLAYE (i. 194). Cal. iv. 2788 (Blaze, under Philip Wenman).

Symond Blakey (i. 194). Cal. v. 3234 (under Richard Burton). Alexander Bleasdall (i. 195). Cal. v. 3236.

HENRY BLUNDELL (i. 196). Cal. iv. 3168. In Lancs. R.C.P. two Henries seem to have been confused, so that the note is erroneous, the first and last paragraphs belonging to the Henry who married Margaret Burton. John Burton's claim was allowed and the sequestration was discharged. For the second paragraph see Cal. iv. 3047 under Robert Blundell.

HUMPHREY BLUNDELL (i. 197). Cal. iv. 3083, where is given an abstract of Richard Bolton's petition.

ROBERT BLUNDELL (i. 199). Cal. iv. 3047, where Robert Blundell of Preston has been wrongly identified with Robert of Ince. The latter part of the matter printed in Lancs. R.C.P. belongs to the case of the Clitheroe Tenants; see ii. 63–72. Also Cal. iv. 2635 (under Thomas Blundell, in error).

WILLIAM BLUNDELL (i. 203); the 'petitioner' in the first paragraph is Anne, the wife of William, and in the second paragraph William himself. *Cal.* iv. 2692, 3124, where the dates of Anne's petitions on fo. 510 and fo. 512 are given respectively as 15 Feb. 1653 and 21 Jan. 1650-1.

Peter Boardman of Orford (omitted). Cal. ii. 1612. His fine on compounding, 19 Dec. 1646, was £20.

Samuel Boden (i. 207, iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2955, v. 3266.

WILLIAM BONER (i. 209); the name should be Bower. See below (i. 213). Cal. i. 731 (no names).

HENRY BOOTH (i. 209); this name should be Bootle. Cal. iii. 1952.

RICHARD BOOTH (i. 209). Cal. iv. 3169.

John Bootle (i. 210). *Cal.* ii. 1116 (incorrectly as Booth, under James, earl of Derby).

WILLIAM BOOTLE (i. 210). Cal. iv. 2844; the County Commissioners were ordered to discharge the sequestration if no part was sequestered for his delinquency or recusancy, if he was not above 26 years old and if his deeds were sealed before May 1642. There being a suspicion that Bootle was a recusant, some further inquiry must be made; 3-5 Feb. 1652-3.

WILLIAM BOWER (i. 213). Cal. iii. 2051.

ADAM BOWKER (i. 214). Cal. iv. 2836.

EDWARD BOWKER of Bretherton (omitted). Cal. v. 3185. On 13 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract under the Recusants Act for two-thirds of his sequestered estate.

Peter Bowker (i. 215). Cal. iv. 2836.

THOMAS BRABIN (i. 215). Cal. iii. 2016: '22 April 1650. Fine reduced to £107, 17s.; £15 to be repaid him, on discovery of an error in the report.'

JOHN BRADE (i. 216). Cal. iii. 1950.

JAMES BRADLEY (i. 216). Cal. iv. 3010 (under Bradley of Bryning).

JAMES BRADLEY (i. 216). Cal. iv. 3010, where petitioner's father is called James Bradley the elder.

JAMES BRADLEY (i. 217). Cal. v. 3193, as John Bradley.

ROGER BRADLEY (i. 217). Cal. iv. 3026: on 21 April 1653 the sequestration was discharged, with arrears from Elizabeth Bradley's death.

WILLIAM BRADLEY (i. 221). Cal. iv. 3176, as Bradshaw, correctly.

JOHN BRADSHAW (i. 221). Cal. iv. 2471, v. 3216, where it seems to be implied that John Bradshaw of Wrampool was under sequestration; he seems to have been a petitioner only.

JOHN BRADSHAW (i. 226). Cal. v. 3295 (under Christopher Anderton).

ROGER BRADSHAW (i. 228). Cal. iv. 2971 (under William Bradshaw), iii. 2126 (under Christopher Anderton), and iv. 2701 (under Richard Sale).

JOHN BRAILE (i. 233); the name should be Brade. See above (i. 216). Cal. i. 731 (no names).

EDWARD BRAND (i. 233). Cal. iii. 1950.

ALEXANDER BRERES (i. 234). Cal. iii. 1772.

LAWRENCE BRERES (i. 237). Cal. ii. 1299.

JOHN BRETHERTON (i. 237). Cal. ii. 1178.

JANE BREWER (i. 239). Cal. iv. 2886, where it is recorded that on 16 Oct. 1651 the County Committee report that she was sequestered long since, conformed in 1648, and took the oath of abjuration; nevertheless two-thirds of her estate remained under sequestration. 29 Nov. 1651: Nothing can be done till the resolution of Parliament has been obtained in like cases.

THOMAS BREWER (i. 239). Cal. iv. 2786, adding: '9 March 1653-4. The claim allowed and the estate discharged, with arrears.'

Anne Bridgeman (omitted). Cal. ii. 1272. On 5 May 1646 she,

as widow of Edward Bridgeman of Barrington (?), co. Lanc., was fined £50 as composition for her husband's delinquency. On 16 Sept. she complained that the sequestration continued, and on 20 Nov., on payment of half the fine, a suspension was ordered. On 21 Aug. 1649 the estate was discharged, the fine having been paid in full.

Augustine Brockholes (i. 243). Cal. iv. 2713.

JOHN BROCKHOLES (i. 245). Cal. iii. 2021.

MARY BROCKHOLES (i. 246). Cal. iii. 1909, where, in the account of the sales, Thomas Brockholes of Claughton seems to have been confused with Thomas of Heaton. The former was the lord of the manors of Claughton and Heaton. The papers in his case seem to have been destroyed; see Lancs. R.C.P., i. 247.

MARGARET BROOKFIELD (i. 250). Cal. iv. 3127, ending: '4 July 1654. Claim allowed, with arrears from 19 July 1653, and sequestration discharged.'

EDWARD BROWNE (i. 251). Cal. iii. 1814: 'I March 1647-8. Fine at one-sixth, £127, 8s.' See also Hothersall case below (iii. 262).

RALPH BROWNE (i. 255). Cal. ii. 1150: He had served Prince Rupert, because threatened with hanging; he had a son in the Parliament's service at his charge. He had come 180 miles afoot, leaving his wife and children in great distress.

WILLIAM BROWN (i. 256). Cal. iii. 1804.

RANDALL BROWNLOW (i. 257). Cal. iii. 2014.

John Burrowes of Weeton (omitted). Cal. v. 3198. He petitioned to contract under the Recusants Act of 1653.

GILBERT BURSCOUGH (i. 257). Cal. iv. 3055.

GILBERT BURSCOUGH (omitted). Cal. iii. 1951: Compounded 15 May 1649; fine £4, 4s.

EDWARD BUTLER (i. 258). Cal. iv. 2781: '20 Oct. 1654. Fine paid and estate discharged.'

EDWARD BUTLER (omitted). Cal. iv. 2966. Petitioner, younger son of Henry Butler of Rawcliffe, has always been conformable and has taken the Engagement, yet his estate has been sequestered; viz. he had in 20 James I. assigned closes in Rawcliffe, for the term of his father's life, to Richard Green and Henry Mercer. He asks for the heads of the charge against him, with leave to examine witnesses. Granted, 2 March 1651–2. On 11 Feb. 1652–3 the County Commissioners intimated that they had let his estate to Robert Worthington of Rawcliffe.

HENRY BUTLER (i. 260). Cal. iv. 2676. Elizabeth Butler was in the last Act for Sale (1652), though there was no information

against her. On 12 July 1653 her annuity of £100 from Girsby manor (Yorks), forfeited by her and sold to Gilbert Crouch, was released from sequestration.

HENRY BUTLER (i. 261). Cal. v. 3216.

JAMES BUTLER (i. 261). Cal. iii. 1879.

Thomas Buttler of Clayton (omitted). Cal. v. 3185. On 13 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract for two-thirds of his sequestered estate under the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

WILLIAM BUTLER (i. 263). Cal. iv. 2781 (joined with Edward Butler).

ALEXANDER BUTTERWORTH (i. 264). Cal. iv. 2644.

Adam Byrom (i. 266). Cal. iv. 2836 (under William Birchall). The main portion of the case is in Lancs. R.C.P. ii. 83-5, under Cowley.

EDWARD BYROM (i. 266). Cal. iv. 2836.

John Byrom (i. 267). Cal. iv. 2774. The fine for his own delinquency (24 June 1651) was £191, 16s. 6d. (being £10 short in error), and for his brother Ralph's estate at one-sixth, £201, 6s. 8d. (3 Feb. 1651-2).

LORD BYRON (omitted). Cal. iv. 2339, v. 3307, where the lands in Lancashire are referred to only in general.

John Calveley (omitted). Cal. v. 3260. A certificate of 4 Jan. 1654-5 that his estates in Lancashire, Cheshire and Denbighshire had not been sequestered and that he had not compounded.

John Calvert (ii. 1). Cal. iii. 2131. On 30 Oct. 1649 John Broughton of Whittington (Staffs.) and Robert Chilmead of London desired to compound for two-thirds of Cockerham manor, purchased from Richard Calvert, but sequestered for his recusancy. The County Committee was directed to certify the yearly value and the reason for sequestration. The petition of John Calvert the younger (15 Aug. 1650) was granted on 26 Feb. 1651–2. On 19 Nov. 1650 Richard, John, Dorothy and Jane, children of the younger John Calvert, begged allowance of a fifth of his estate, sequestered for his recusancy and delinquency, and it was granted. The eldest of the petitioners was but 13 years old. A year later (27 Nov. 1651) Holland Simpson of Westminster, guardian of these children, asked for the fifth of their father's estate, 'as they are in great danger to be brought to the miserable condition of begging'; this was granted, with arrears from 24 Dec. 1649.

JOHN CANSFIELD (ii. 4). Cal. iv. 3101, where particulars are given of some estates of the father Sir John Cansfield, papist. They refer to Yorkshire.

ROBERT CANSFIELD. See below, Caulfield.

RICHARD CARTER (omitted). Cal. v. 3202. On 17 Feb. 1653-4 a house, &c., in Widnes forfeited by him was discharged, having been bought from the Treason Trustees by John Fullerton.

Thomas Carus (ii. 5). Cal. ii. 1172. The documents appear to refer chiefly to the son's estate. It was ordered on 12 August 1651 that he was to enjoy the estate on security, Thomas Fell, M.P., to show cause within 28 days against the same or in default petitioner was to have the estate absolutely. On 2 Dec., no cause having been shown, the estate was discharged.

EVAN CATTERALL (ii. 14). Cal. iv. 2823, adding: '20 Jan. 1652-3. Such's title allowed and sequestration discharged.'

John Catterall (ii. 16). Cal. iv. 2811; the fine was paid and the estate discharged.

ROBERT CATTERALL of Clayton-in-le-Dale (omitted). Cal. iv. 3174. On 22 Dec. 1653 he petitioned to contract for the two-thirds of his sequestrated estate under the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

Robert Caulfield (ii. 17). Cal. v. 3185, as Robert Cansfield (correctly).

THOMAS CHALLONER (ii. 17). Cal. iii. 1967 (under A. Wildbore). On 27 Aug. 1650 Barker's fine was confirmed at £132; a fifth, with arrears from 24 Dec. 1649, to be paid to his wife.

HENRY CHARNLEY (ii. 19). Cal. v. 3194.

HUGH CHARNLEY (ii. 20). Cal. v. 3194, adding: '2 Nov. 1654. Claim [of Holland and Blackburn] allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition; but the debts due to delinquents and two-thirds of those due to recusants are to be paid first to the State.'

OLIVER CHARNLEY (ii. 23). Cal. iv. 2751 (under Maynard). On 23 Dec. 1652 the claim of Banastre Maynard was allowed and the sequestration discharged.

Ann Charnock (ii. 24). Cal. v. 3191.

Robert Charnock (ii. 25). Cal. iii. 1723 (under Thomas C.).

ROGER CHARNOCK (ii. 29). Cal. ii. 1415.

THOMAS CHARNOCK (ii. 29). Cal. iii. 2023, where he is identified with a Thomas Charnock of Lydney (Glos.).

Anne Chawner (ii. 33). Cal. v. 3224.

EDWARD CHISENHALL (ii. 35). Cal. iii. 1860. The fine was paid 14 Apl. 1649 and the estate was discharged. His petitions with regard to the lands his mother held in Shevington were rejected. She was said to be 67 years old in 1652.

BRIDGET CHORLEY (ii. 39). Cal. iv. 2578 (under Richard C.). It was ordered on 12 Aug. 1652 that the County Committee should pay her one-third of her annuity (on proof that she had not released her interest therein), the other two-thirds to continue sequestered for her recusancy.

BRIDGET CHORLEY (ii. 41). Cal. iv. 2578.

RICHARD CHORLEY (ii. 41). Cal. iv. 2578. The date of Margaret's petition, which was allowed, is given as 17 Oct. 1650.

ROBERT CHORLEY (omitted). Cal. v. 3224. On 14 Dec. 1654 a house in Yealand Conyers, forfeited by him, was discharged, having been bought from the Treason Trustees by Thomas Wharton.

JOHN CHRICHLOWE (ii. 42). Cal. v. 3186.

THOMAS CLARKSON (ii. 42). Cal. iii. 1952, v. 3191.

DOROTHY CLIFTON (ii. 43). Cal. iv. 2854.

GERVASE CLIFTON (ii. 45). Cal. iv. 3032.

John CLIFTON (ii. 46). Cal. iv. 2714, where the date of Whitfield's petition is given as 12 Feb. 1650–1, and a second is mentioned dated 24 May 1652, this being for leave to examine further witnesses in proof of his claim.

Thomas Clifton (ii. 47); the first paragraphs are entered in the Calendar under George Crooke of Broughton (iv. 3173), perhaps in error; on p. 49 the name is given as 'Croper,' and on the same page for 'Little Lorne (?)' the Calendar has 'Littleholme.' Bradshaw obtained discharge of Crook's tenement 17 July 1655. The section ff. 298–309 (pp. 50–54) is rightly entered in the Calendar under Edward Scarisbrick (iv. 2492). The petition of John Horrock, minister of Colne (p. 55), is not noticed in the Calendar; nor are those of John Knowles and Isabel Kirkby (p. 61). The Calendar references to Clifton are iv. 2572, 2854, v. 3308. The petition of Thomas Jolly (4 Sept. 1655) for arrears of a grant to his church of Altham from the rectory of Kirkham, appears in Lancs. R.C.P. iv. 35.

CLITHEROE (ii. 63). Cal. iv. 2928, adding: '2 March 1653-4. [Hammond and others] petition for speedy hearing of the report on the case, the Committee for Compounding having forbidden the County Committee to allow them to seize the lands of Robert Blundell, sequestered for recusancy and delinquency, towards their share of payment of the £4000. Hearing was ordered, 28 March. Order to the County Committee to pay, from the sequestered estates of Robert Blundell and others, an equal portion with that of the other tenants in Clitheroc, towards payment of the £4000, with damages.' See the headings of Robert Blundell, above (i. 199), and John Hammond, below (iii. 149).

JAMES COLLIER (ii. 72). Cal. ii. 1278.

JAMES COLLIER (ii. 73). Cal. iii. 2098.

George Compton, co. Lancaster (omitted). Cal. iv. 2922. On 31 Dec. 1651 his mother, Dame Mary Compton, asked for the heads of a charge of delinquency against her son for being in the Scots' army the last time they came into England, for which he was in question before the Council of State, who discharged him on its appearing that he was a man of distempered brain and a lunatic. She begged to have the rents meantime, and this was granted on condition that she did not delay the prosecution.

SIR HENRY COMPTON (ii. 74). Cal. ii. 1602. The income from the rectories of Bolton le Sands and Clapham (Yorks.) was to be disposed of as follows: To Caton church £100 a year, Bolton £100, Over Kellet £50, Wyresdale £30, Overton (Lancaster) £16, 135. 4d., Clapham £100.

KATHERINE COKE (ii. 74). Cal. iv. 2792.

JAMES COOLING (ii. 76). Cal. iii. 2014.

LAWRENCE COPELAND (ii. 77). Cal. iv. 2909, adding: '24 June 1652. Claim allowed, with arrears from the father's death, on fuller proof of the death, and on the son's taking the oath of abjuration.'

ROBERT COPELAND (ii. 80); part of the last case.

JENNET COTTAM (ii. 80). Cal. iv. 3065.

RICHARD COTTAM (ii. 81). Cal. v. 3183.

THOMAS COTTAM (ii. 82). Cal. v. 3202 (under Threlfall), adding: '21 Dec. 1654. Order on report that his claim be allowed to half the lands settled for maintenance of Thomas Threlfall, junior, with arrears from date of petition; and the same for the other half, for payment of Thomas Threlfall senior's debts, unless the County Commissioners find that Margaret, wife of Thomas Threlfall, is the Margaret Threlfall of Poulton who has been convicted of recusancy.'

WILLIAM COWLEY (ii. 83); see Adam Byrom, above. *Cal.* iv. 2836 (under Birchall).

THURSTAN COWLING (ii. 85). Cal. iii. 2086.

ROBERT CRAVEN (ii. 85). Cal. iv. 3131; the fine was paid and the estate discharged 30 Dec. 1653.

WILLIAM CRICHLOWE (ii. 86). Cal. v. 3186, 3240.

GILBERT CROFT (ii. 86); part of the papers in William Marsh's case (iv. 118).

George Crook (ii. 87). Cal. v. 3208; on 23 Nov. 1654 the claim was allowed and sequestration discharged.

John Crook (ii. 88). Cal. v. 3193.

WILLIAM CROOK (ii. 88). Cal. iv. 3174 (Richard Crook). The

case in Cal. v. 3213 probably refers to the same William Crook of Brindle. On 7 June 1654 Lieut. John Marsh of Preston begged allowance of his title to Welshman's House and 3 acres of land in Preston, two-thirds of which had been sequestered in 1644 for the recusancy of William Crook. He died without issue four years ago, bequeathing it to his nephews George and John Crook, who sold to petitioner. The claim was allowed on 23 Nov. In Cal. i. 573 is a request from the County Committee for the relief of Lieut. John Marsh in regard of his constant fidelity (30 Apl. 1652). In his absence on service in Scotland his wife, who farmed Welshman's House in Preston (sequestered for William Crook's delinquency), was forced by the ill affection of some towards her husband to give 40s. a year more for it than it was worth.

WILLIAM CROOKE (ii. 89). Cal. iv. 3175.

ELIZABETH CROPPER of Cockerham, widow (omitted). Cal. iii. 1952. April 1649.

GRACE CROPPER (ii. 89). Cal. iii. 1952.

Peter Cropper (ii. 89). Cal. iii. 1717.

SIR JOHN CROSLAND (ii. 90). Cal. iii. 1698 (Jordan Crosland, under John Fleming); the petition is dated 15 June 1654.

JORDAN CROSLAND (ii. 91). Cal. iii. 1696 (under John Fleming). Jordan Crosland of Helmsley (Yorks.) compounded in April 1649, his fine at a sixth being £25 or £30. He married Bridget, daughter of John Fleming. On 14 March 1653-4 it was ordered that no further proceedings should be taken against Crosland in respect of the estate at Urswick.

John Crosse (ii. 95). Cal. iv. 3041; on 22 Dec. 1653 the claim was allowed and the sequestration discharged.

RICHARD CROSTON (ii. 98). Cal. iii. 2086.

RICHARD CROYCHLOW (ii. 99). Cal. iii. 1951, v. 3290.

CUERDEN POOR (ii. 99). Cal. ii. 907 (under Thomas Osbaldeston): On 5 Jan. 1653-4 the claim was allowed, two-thirds to be paid from the sequestered two-thirds of the recusants' estates (viz. Osbaldeston, Woodcock, and Banister).

Thomas Culcheth (ii. 108). Cal. iv. 3176, 3142 (Thomas Hawarden).

THOMAS CURREN of Dalton (omitted). Cal. iv. 2707. On 5 Feb. 1650-I Thomas Singleton and his wife Katherine (daughter of Thomas Curren), on behalf of their four children declare that on their marriage in 1633-4 the said Thomas Curren settled on their lands in Dalton, for which he was paid £200. Curren held them till his death three years since, but his widow Margaret keeps possession though the

lands should have been sequestered to the State for Singleton's delinquency, and his wife ought to have had her fifth. It was ordered that the estate be sequestered, unless the party in possession shows cause within five weeks; Brereton to examine the title.

Ellen Dalton (ii. 109). *Cal.* iv. 2559 (under Robert Dalton). The petition was allowed on 26 July 1655.

Dalton Sisters (ii. 113). Cal. iv. 2559 (under Robert Dalton). Thomas Blackburn of Newton-in-Makerfield begged allowance of his claim to Aldcliffe Hall, sold to him in 1639–40 by the daughters of the late Robert Dalton for £350, to hold from and after their deaths or from 1 May 1655. The estate is under sequestration for their recusancy.

KATHERINE DALTON (ii. 114). Cal. iv. 3113. The petition is printed in the preceding case (ii. 114); it was granted 12 July 1653.

THOMAS DALTON (ii. 115). Cal. iv. 2559; iii. 2136 (wrongly entered under John Dalton of Barton-on-Humber). On 27 Sept. 1650 Elizabeth, widow of Thomas Dalton, begged a fifth part of her husband's estate, sequestered for recusancy and delinquency. She had brought her husband an estate and had many children. Granted.

HENRY DANDY (ii. 116). Cal. iv. 2767 (under Henry Suart).

Widow Dandy (ii. 117). Cal. iv. 3175 (Jane Dandy).

EDWARD DANIEL of Durton in Broughton (omitted). Cal. iv. 3175. On 28 Dec. 1653 he petitioned to contract for the two-thirds of his estate under the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

John Danson (ii. 117). Cal. v. 3219 (Dawson). On 6 Dec. 1654 the claim was allowed and the sequestration discharged.

THOMAS DANSON (ii. 118). Cal. iii. 2014.

EDWARD DEANE (ii. 119). Cal. iv. 2527 (under John Lancaster). See Lancs. R. C. P. iv. 54.

John Denis (ii. 119). Cal. iii. 1978.

EDWARD DENTON (ii. 120). Cal. iv. 3133.

John Denton (ii. 120). Cal. iv. 3169.

DENTON and Woods (ii. 120). Not entered in the Calendar.

LORD DERBY (ii. 122-243). Cal. ii. 1100-1118, v. 3266, noticing estates outside Lancashire. As in other cases the Calendar records orders made which are not printed in the present series. Several of the Calendar sections are herein made separate cases—e.g. Jennet Parker. The following seem to have been omitted: A letter from Sir Thomas Fairfax in commendation of the earl (p. 1100); a petition from James Wainwright and William Brownsword on behalf of Ormskirk parish (p. 1105), referred to on p. 218; a petition by John

Edgeworth and Anne his wife, administratrix of Edward Bridgeman (p. 1107); the sale of Ormskirk bailiwick to Capt. Jeffrey Fleetwood (p. 1116).

LORD DEVONSHIRE (ii. 243). Cal. iv. 2831.

John Dewhurst (omitted). Cal. iii. 2014: '2 May 1649—The estate of Robert Dewhurst of Comberhall, being sequestered for delinquency of his father, John Dewhurst, who is dead, he petitions by Thomas Waring (his guardian) for a discharge or permission to compound for his poor estate. 23 June—Fine 135. 10d.'

ROBERT DEWHURST (ii. 2.44). Cal. iii. 2014; adding: '22 March 1655. Claim allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition.'

WILLIAM DEWHURST (ii. 251). Cal. ii. 1089, where the date of his first petition is given as Jan. 1645-6.

Hugh Dicconson (ii. 253). Cal. iii. 1962.

HENRY DICKENSON (ii. 253). Cal. v. 3186.

ELIZABETH DILWORTH (ii. 253). Cal. v. 3182.

EDWARD DITCHFIELD (ii. 254). Cal. iv. 3122; he made a further petition on 7 Feb. 1654-5, and it was referred to Solicitor Williams.

HUGH DOBSON (ii. 254). Cal. v. 3210; on 21 June 1654 the fine was paid and the estate discharged.

ELIZABETH DOUGHTY (ii. 256). Cal. iii. 2271.

HENRY DOUGHTY (ii. 262); the manor of Thornley was bought by George Hurd (ii. 259). Cal. i. 194, 330, 361; iv. 2957. The petitions of Henry Sherburne, Henry Doughty, and James Murgatroyd seem to have been omitted from the Calendar.

ELIZABETH DOWSON (ii. 269). Cal. v. 3237.

Benjamin Eccles (ii. 270). Cal. iv. 3095, adding the result: '2 Nov. 1654. Order on report allowing the claim to Galland Bank, with arrears from date of petition, but not to the other estate, the deeds by which he claims from the earl of Derby not being produced; as they are in Lancashire, they will be allowed if produced before the County Commissioners there, or certificate of their execution.'

RALPH ECCLESTON (ii. 274). Cal. iv. 2549, adding: '28 Oct. 1652. Claim allowed on report and estate discharged, with arrears since 24 Dec. 1649, on Eccleston's deposing that his interest expired on termination of the lease in 1646.'

THOMAS ECCLESTON (ii. 277). Cal. iii. 2038; Henry Eccleston's claim was allowed on 4 July 1655.

WILLIAM ECCLESTON (ii. 278). Cal. iv. 3175.

Robert Edmondson (ii. 278). Cal. v. 3192.

Peter Egerton. See William Radley, below (v. 107).

ROBERT ELLETSON of Broughton [in Furness] (omitted). Cal. iv. 2502. On 16 Aug. 1650, having been sequestered by the County Committee, he begged the heads of the charge against him, with liberty to examine the witnesses. This was granted, unless he had been sequestered by the late County Committee. Cal. v. 3258: Robert Elletson of Rosethwaite [probably the same person] petitioned to compound on 25 Feb. 1650–1.

RICHARD ELTONHEAD (ii. 279). Cal. iii. 2089.

RALPH EYVES (ii. 279). Cal. iv. 3096; Lord Hertford's claim was allowed 9 May 1655.

RICHARD EYVES (ii. 285). Cal. iv. 3096.

THOMAS EYVES (ii. 286). Cal. iv. 3161.

WILLIAM FARRINGTON (ii. 287). Cal. iii. 2024. The Penwortham estate was discharged 11 Feb. 1652-3 on payment of the fine of £25.

WILLIAM FARRINGTON (ii. 293). Cal. ii. 2025; discharge granted 22 Dec. 1652.

John Fanshaw (ii. 295). Belongs to Lawrence Parkinson's case, v. 34.

Ann Fazakerley (ii. 295). Cal. iv. 2520, from which it appears that Ann was widow of Robert Fazakerley.

Katherine Fazakerley of Fazakerley (omitted). Cal. iv. 2861. She petitioned on 31 July 1651 as sequestered for recusancy, for the benefit of her third under the Act of 25 Jan. 1649–50. Allowed.

MARGARET FAZAKERLEY (ii. 296; her petition, &c., pp. 301-4; order, p. 298). Cal. iv. 2520.

Nicholas Fazakerley (ii. 297). This part of the Fazakerley papers is not separately named in the *Calendar*.

NICHOLAS FAZAKERLEY (ii. 297). Cal. iv. 2520; in William Lea's case the Committee would not allow or disallow (26 Jan. 1653-4), but left him to his remedy at law.

THOMAS FAZAKERLEY (ii. 312—heading omitted). Cal. iv. 2895, adding: '13 Apl. 1654. Fazakerley having been formerly examined, though not on oath, and being now dead, the claim is allowed (though the proof cannot be made satisfactory) and the sequestration discharged.'

Ann Fearnley (ii. 314). Cal. ii. 1298.

ALEXANDER FIELDEN (ii. 314). Not specially mentioned in the *Calendar*; apparently part of the papers relating to Ratcliffe Houghton (iii. 294).

ELIZABETH FINCH (ii. 315). Cal. iii. 1951; v. 3180. It appears

that the petition mentioned last (ii. 319) was by Elizabeth Finch to contract under the late Recusants Act for the sequestrated two-thirds of her estate. See also Richard Hodgson, below (iii. 232).

THOMAS FINCH (ii. 319). Cal. v. 3192.

RALPH FINCHAM (ii. 320). Cal. iii. 1758.

JAMES FISH (ii. 321). Cal. iv. 3169; the yearly value of his estate was £3, 6s. 8d.

John Fisher of Eccleston (omitted). Cal. ii. 1236, iii. 1951. On 30 Apl. 1646 he petitioned to compound for delinquency in bearing arms in the train-band against Parliament. He had a wife and four small children, and was not worth £50. On 15 May 1649 the fine was fixed at £5.

John Fleetwood (ii. 321). Cal. iii. 1787.

SIR RICHARD FLEETWOOD (ii. 323). Cal. iii. 2284-8, for Osborne and Rothwell (under Sir Thomas Fleetwood), and iv. 2665, for Roper.

SIR THOMAS FLEETWOOD (ii. 336). Cal. iii. 2282. On 17 Oct. 1650 the Committee declared that he was no delinquent and might have his mansion house and a third part of his estate.

JOHN FLEMING (ii. 345). Cal. ii. 1695. See also Bindloss, Crosland.

Robert Foole (ii. 350). Cal. iv. 3025. '11 Aug. 1652—Dame Anne Lucas, widow, of Lexden [Essex], begs allowance of title to lands, &c., in co. Lancaster purchased by her; divers tenants held them by leases for lives or years which are now expired, being recusants or delinquents—as Henry Sharples of Samlesbury and Robert Foole of Billington—by whose deaths their interest has expired, but the County Committee refuse to restore her the profits without orders. 6 April 1654—Claim allowed, with arrears from date of petition.'

SIR JOHN FORTESCUE (ii. 351). Cal. iv. 2539. On 3 Nov. 1653 the order of 24 June was confirmed (see ii. 352-3), the profits to be fairly divided, and the County Committee to assist Hearle in collecting the arrears.

William Forth (ii. 368). Cal. ii. 1092.

JANE FOSTER of Charnock Richard, widow (omitted). Cal. iv. 3175. On 28 Dec. 1653 she petitioned to contract for her estate under the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

Walter Fowler (ii. 369). Cal. iii. 1891; v. 3289, not mentioning the fragment printed, and giving no particulars of the Lancashire estates except that on 18 Jan. 1649 Robert Ducy and Thomas Rogers compounded for lands purchased from Walter Fowler in

Lancashire and other counties, and that on 15 March 1653-4 was discharged the sequestration of forfeited lands in Pendleton Pole (par. Eccles) brought by John Wildman.

HENRY FOXCROFT (ii. 370). Cal. iii. 2086.

John Gardner (iii. 1). Cal. iii. 1950; i. 731.

ROBERT GARDNER of Aspull (omitted). Cal. v. 3192. On 17 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract for his estate under the Recusants Act.

GABRIEL GARSIDE (iii. 2). Cal. iii. 1640.

THOMAS GARSTANG (iii. 5). Cal. v. 3186; he had but little land, and that was in Herefordshire.

EDWARD GEE (iii. 5; iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2955. He and two other ministers, Thomas Johnson and Samuel Boden, were at Warrington with the Earl of Derby for a week [in August 1651], either free or (as reported later) taken prisoners by his forces.

CHARLES GERARD (iii. 6). Cal. iv. 2440. On the petition of Alice Bowles it was ordered (14 Oct. 1652) that judgment could not be allowed on the present proof; thereupon in March she begged for a rehearing.

EDWARD GERARD (iii. 18). Cal. v. 3193 (' of Haighton ').

LADY ELIZABETH GERARD (iii. 18). Cal. iv. 2788 (under Philip Wenman).

HENRY GERARD, sen. (iii. 19). Cal. iv. 2723.

HENRY GERARD, jun. (iii. 20). Cal. iv. 2723.

JAMES GERARD (iii. 21; iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2956, where he is associated with others who joined the Earl of Derby in August 1651.

JOHN GERARD (iii. 22). Cal. iv. 2885; Purefoy's claim was allowed 26 March, 1651-2. '7 Jan. 1651-2. On the petition of Richard Blackburne of Brindle on behalf of John Gerard, an infant (aged 8), order that Blackburne bring in a particular of the estate for which he desires to compound, when Reading will report the case.'

RATCLIFFE GERARD (iii. 23). Cal. iii. 1692.

RICHARD GERARD (iii. 25). Cal. ii. 1556. As to Winstanley's petition it was ordered (25 March 1652) that no part of the annuity [of £200, Cal.] can be allowed out of the Commonwealth's two-thirds of the sequestered estate.

THOMAS GERARD of Aughton (iii. 27). Cal. iv. 1279, where the Inces of Aughton and Ince are confused. On 21 July 1652 he begged the benefit of the Act of Pardon, and was discharged.

Thomas Gerard of Ince (iii. 34, both father and son). Cal. ii. 1280. On 31 May 1650 the wife was allowed a fifth out of the estate.

Catterall's claim was allowed on 13 Jan. 1652-3, unless he was sequestered for recusancy, in which case only two-thirds was to be paid. The claim of William and Ellen Knowles was not allowed (4 July 1655), the Committee not being satisfied as to Simpson's death nor that the £4 a year was a real charge on Gerard's estate. Rockley's claim was allowed on 24 July 1655.

SIR WILLIAM GERARD (iii. 51). Cal. iii. 1720, v. 3286. The order of 21 April 1653 (referred to on p. 54) was: 'On re-examination of deed of 1632] and of another of 1652, wherein Lord Molineux and others are trustees, that the later trustees account on oath with the auditor for their receipts towards the £3000 to be raised for Anne's portion, and the trustees of the later deed are to have £300 a year out of the estate till the £3000 is paid. The suspension on the rest of the estate is to be taken off and the sequestration proceeded with by the County Committee.'

WILLIAM GERARD (iii. 71). Cal. iii. 2079.

THOMAS GILLEBRAND (iii. 71). Cal. iv. 3071.

John Girlington (iii. 72). Cal. iv. 2960. See also Cuthbert Parkinson (v. 24).

Dame Katherine Girlington (omitted). Cal. ii. 1096. Her lands were mostly in Yorkshire, but on 20 Jan. 1653–4 John Girlington, aged 16, begged allowance of his title to lands in Arneforth (Yorks.) and to a yearly rent of 8s. 6d. in Torver, sequestered as the estate of his late father Sir John Girlington.

RICHARD GIRLINGTON (iii. 72). Cal. iv. 2902.

George Glave (iii. 76). Cal. iv. 3031; the claim of Blackburn and Mercer was allowed on 4 May 1654.

THOMAS GLAVE of Broughton (omitted). Cal. iv. 2910. On 27 Nov. 1651 Margaret and Anne Glave, widows, with another widow and three fatherless children, all conformable, petitioned the County Committee for the discharge of two-thirds of a small house and 15 acres of land sequestered for the recusancy of Thomas Glave, who had died two years before. They are too poor to free it, and are like to be cast on the parish for sustenance. Ordered to prove title.

THOMAS GOOLDEN (iii. 81). Cal. iv. 3160 (qy. under Gooden of Little Bolton).

Thomas Gooden (iii. 81). Cal. iv. 2723.

THOMAS GOODEN (iii. 86). Cal. iv. 3160 (Little Molton in error).

Robert Goost (iii. 87). Cal. iv. 3176 (as Robert Graft).

EDWARD GORE (iii. 87). Cal. iv. 3145 (described as 'of Alker').

John Gore (iii. 87). Cal. v. 3216.

James Gorsuch (iii. 88). Cal. iv. 2758. The fine at two-sixths was £173, 25.; it was paid on 17 Feb. 1653-4 and the estate was discharged.

Elizabetii Gradell (iii. 90). Cal. v. 3207. Discharge was granted on 24 Oct. 1654.

DOROTHY GRANT (iii. 91). Cal. ii. 1115 (under Earl of Derby).

JAMES GREEN (iii. 92). Cal. iv. 2569 (under Sir Thomas Tyldesley).

GEORGE GREEN (iii. 95). Cal. iv. 3174.

RICHARD GREEN (iii. 95). Cal. v. 3186, 3193.

RICHARD GREEN (iii. 95, 127—Greenhouse). Cal. iv. 2569.

ROGER GREEN (iii. 100). Cal. iv. 2569 (under Sir Thomas Tyldesley). On 27 April 1654 Fanshaw's claim was allowed, and the order was confirmed on 13 June. See also Anne Latus, below (iv. 71).

John Greenhalgh (iii. 107). Cal. iv. 2950. See also Richard Holt, below (iii. 255).

THOMAS GREENHALGH (iii. 115). Cal. iv. 2950; on 8 Dec. 1653 his claim was allowed.

RICHARD GREENHOUSE (iii. 127). Cal. iv. 2570, correctly reading Green for Greenhouse.

John Gregson (iii. 128). Cal. iii. 1922.

RICHARD GREGSON (iii. 128). Cal. v. 3186, reading Greyson.

Ellen Grimshaw (iii. 128). Cal. iii. 2379, iv. 3145.

THOMAS GRIMSHAW (iii. 133). Cal. iv. 2716.

John Guest (iii. 135). Cal. iv. 2568 (under Sir T. Tildesley). The claim was allowed 24 June 1652.

ROBERT GURNALL (iii. 137). Cal. iv. 3161. The claim was allowed on 20 June 1654.

Roger Haidock (iii. 137). Cal. iii. 2117.

John Halliwell (iii. 137). Cal. iv. 2803, v. 3304. On 16 March 1653-4 the claim was allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears of two-thirds of the premises from the date of petition.

JOHN HALLIWELL (iii. 144, 251). Cal. iv. 2821, 3175.

CUTHBERT HALSALL (iii. 145). Cal. iv. 2973. On 9 Jan. 1654-5 his request to enjoy his estate on security was ordered to be considered, and on 23 Jan. it was ordered to be let to him for its full value, in reversion after any existing lease.

Thomas Halsworth (iii. 148). Cal. iii. 2086.

RICHARD HAMER (iii. 148). Cal. ii. 1457.

John Hammond (iii. 149). This refers to the Clitheroe copyholders' petition; see ii. 69.

JOHN НАNCOCK (iii. 149). Cal. iii. 1952.

Ellen Hankinson (iii. 150). Cal. v. 3179.

Ann Harrington (iii. 150). Cal. iv. 3128. Her claim was allowed on 4 July 1654, with arrears from her husband's death.

Christopher Harris (iii. 151). Cal. iv. 2427. On 10 May 1653 the fine at two-sixths was fixed at £25, 7s. 6d.; sequestration was suspended on 24 Nov. on payment of half the fine, and on 23 March the other moiety was paid. For the reference in the Calendar at iv. 3122 (also v. 3267) see under Lord Derby, at ii. 176.

John Harrison (iii. 164). Cal. v. 3186.

SIR JOHN HARRISON (iii. 165). Cal. ii. 1523, without special notice of the Lancashire estates.

Margaret Harrison (ii. 165). Cal. iv. 2738. On 26 Feb. 1650-I Thomas Harrison of Hale claimed a tenement in Hale, descended to him from his late grandmother Margaret Harrison, but still sequestered for her recusancy. On 7 July 1652, it being proved that his father, William Harrison, was dead, the County Committee were ordered to discharge the sequestration, on Thomas producing the deed by which he claimed it. On 17 Feb. 1652-3 he produced the deed, and the claim was allowed; he must take the oath of abjuration.

Peter Harrison (iii. 166, iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2955, where he is described as 'late solicitor to the County Committee.' He was one of those charged with having joined the Earl of Derby in August 1651; 'he was angry with the earl for plundering and therefore recalled his two sons from his service.' On 6 Sept. 1652 the County Committee asked further direction in his case, there being only one witness, who now refused to depose.

RICHARD HARRISON (iii. 166). Cal. v. 3192.

THOMAS HARRISON (iii. 167). This seems to be omitted in the Calendar.

THOMAS HARRISON (iii. 167). Cal. iv. 2738, 2972. Elizabeth Harrison was the mother of Thomas. Discharge was granted on 17 Feb. 1652–3.

Anne Harsnepp (iii. 168). Cal. iv. 2560 (under Robert Hesketh). '29 July 1652. Order on report allowing the deed; but the County Committee are to certify whether the Thomas Hesketh of Rufford named be any of the Thomas Heskeths who are convicted of recusancy, and if so, to continue two-thirds of the estate under sequestration for the recusancy.'

ROBERT HAULGH (iii. 171). Cal. iv. 3124. The earlier sequestration referred to and the date 1648 identify him with the Robert Hough of p. 263 below (Cal. iii. 1836). The fine was paid 3 Jan. 1653-4 and the estate discharged.

John Hawarden (iii. 172). Cal. v. 3186.

HENRY HAWORD (iii. 172). Cal. iii. 1922.

THOMAS HEAPE (iii. 174). Cal. iii. 1810.

CHARLES HEARLE (iii. 175). Not named in the Calendar (iv. 2955) with the others incriminated for joining Lord Derby in 1651. For the second part of the case (p. 178) see Cal. iv. 2539 (under Fortescue).

Anne Hearon of West Derby (omitted). Cal. iv. 2861. On 31 July 1651 she petitioned for the benefit of her third under the Act of 25 Jan. 1649–50, being sequestered for recusancy. The claim was allowed.

ROBERT HELME (iii. 179, 254). Cal. iii. 2389, referring to earlier proceedings. The first petition of Edward Rigby (28 June 1650) was rejected, but on 29 July (on a second petition) order was made for paying him two-thirds of the rent, unless the County Committee could show cause to the contrary.

Frances Helmes, widow (omitted). Cal. v. 3179. Mark Bradley, scrivener, of London, deposed (6 Jan. 1653-4) to a deed whereby in 1637 Sir Francis Brandling, George Wray, and James Fawcett, a London merchant, were bound to pay Frances Helmes 104. Also depositions, apparently in the same case, of William West of Middleton [near Lancaster] as to his witnessing a deed of 16 Aug. 1639 between Nicholas Singleton and John Leyburne. [This may not be a Lancashire case.]

Margery Heritage (iii. 181). Cal. iv. 3041. On 5 Jan. 1653-4 the son's claim was allowed, with provision for sequestration of two-thirds of Margery's dower (if any).

Bartholomew Hesketh (iii. 185). Cal. iv. 2978.

GABRIEL HESKETH (iii. 186). Cal. ii. 1110 (under Earl of Derby). On 14 Nov. 1654 the claim was allowed and the sequestration discharged. Also Cal. iv. 2960.

KATHERINE HESKETH (iii. 194). Cal. iv. 2561.

RICHARD HESKETH (iii. 195). Cal. v. 3178.

ROGER HESKETH (iii. 196). Cal. v. 3213. On 5 Sept. 1654 the claim was allowed.

ROBERT HESKETH (iii. 199). Cal. iv. 2960 (under Gabriel Hesketh), for the first part, and iv. 2562 (under Robert Hesketh, jun.), for the second part.

Robert Hesketh (iii. 200). Cal. iv. 2560.

ROBERT HESKETH (iii. 204). Cal. iii. 1950; iv. 2561 (the connection of the two cases having been overlooked).

Robert Hesketh (iii. 209). Cal. iv. 2561.

Thomas Hesketh (iii. 209). Cal. iii. 2051.

THOMAS HESKETII (iii. 211). This belongs to the papers of Lady Jane Houghton below (p. 269). Petitioner was grand-nephew and heir of the Thomas called his 'uncle.'

William Hesketh (iii. 212). *Cal.* iii. 2051 (first paragraph), iv. 2934; iii. 2052.

WILLIAM HESKETH (iii. 218). Cal. iv. 2934, where Hesketh of Maynes has been confused with Hesketh of North Meols. On 2 Nov. 1654 the sequestration was discharged.

ELLIS HEY (ii. 221). Cal. iii. 1923, where the date is given as 19 Feb. 1648-9. The following is added: '1650 (?). Richard Lomax, Adam Smith, and other trustees for his infant grandchild and heir, Ellis Hey, petition that nineteen years ago the grandfather (on receipt of a large portion with Mary Rodley, the infant's mother) conveyed to his son her husband and his heirs his whole estate, reserving only a life interest. The lands are chargeable with portions for the infant's two sisters, who are deprived of education and maintenance for the delinquency of their grandfather; he is very old and infirm and too much in debt to compound. The trustees therefore beg to compound on the infant's behalf. No order.'

Peter Heywood (iii. 222. The second paragraph on p. 226 refers to Peter Heywood the son). Cal. iii. 1789. He compounded in 1647 on the Dublin articles. In 1650 an information was laid against him by Alice, Lady Moore; Cal. iii. 2209.

Elizabeth Higham (iii. 228). Cal. iii. 2111.

WILLIAM HILL (iii. 228). Cal. v. 3238.

John Hilton (iii. 229). Cal. iii. 2039, 2276 (misplaced under Henry Hilton), iv. 3175.

RICHARD HINDE (iii. 229). Cal. iii. 1963. Date of petition 14 April 1649.

John Hodges (iii. 230). Cal. iii. 1953.

JOHN HODGKINSON (iii. 231). Cal. v. 3186.

JANE HODGKINSON (iii. 231). Cal. v. 3192.

Luke Hodgkinson (iii. 231). Cal. iii. 2008.

RICHARD HODGSON (iii. 232). This belongs to the case of Elizabeth Finch, ii. 315.

RICHARD HODGSON (iii. 233). Cal. iv. 2849, wrongly included under the estate of Hodshon of Hebborn, co. Durham. On 4 Sept. 1655 an order was made that William should be admitted tenant for a year, provided he would give as much as any other.

RICHARD HODGSON (iii. 234). Cal. iv. 2909.

ROBERT HODGSON of Euxton (omitted). Cal. iv. 3175. On 28 Dec. 1653 he petitioned to contract for his estate under the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

EDMUND HOGGARD (iii. 236). Cal. iv. 3111. He alleged (16 May 1653) that he was sequestered by mistake for recusancy, he being a Protestant and attending Protestant worship. He had been freed by the late County Committee. Nevertheless on 29 Nov. he begged to compound for two-thirds of his estate on the Recusants Act.

RICHARD HOLDEN (iii. 236). Cal. iv. 3158, adding: '20 July 1654. Claim allowed on report, and sequestration discharged, with arrears from Holden's death in May 1652.'

RICHARD HOLCROFT (iii. 238). Cal. iv. 3176.

RALPH HOLLAND (iii. 238). Cal. iv. 2845.

RICHARD HOLLAND (iii. 240). Cal. iv. 2924 (under John Fletcher).

RICHARD HOLLAND (iii. 240). Cal. iv. 2842. On 19 June 1655 Trotman was ordered to bring in an account of his receipts from the estate, it being supposed the debt was then fully satisfied.

THOMAS HOLLAND (iii. 244). Cal. iv. 2787.

John Holliday (iii. 249). Cal. iii. 1751, where are references to earlier proceedings. On 27 May 1647 he was discharged as not worth £200. In 1652 the matter was revived, but on producing his former discharge, another order was made (25 May) for the discharge of the estate. The depositions in the text follow.

James Hollinhead of Broughton [near Preston] (omitted). Cal. v. 3186. On 13 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract on the late Recusants Act. Referred to Reading.

William Hollinhead (iii. 251). Cal. v. 3186.

John Holliwell (iii. 251). See Halliwell above (р. 144).

Ann Holme (iii. 251). Cal. iv. 3128. On 18 July 1654 the sequestration was discharged and arrears granted from 19 July 1653, the date of Gilbert Holme's petition.

EDMUND HOLME (iii. 253). Cal. iv. 3165 (under Thomas Wilkinson).

ELLEN HOLME (iii. 253. William Holme's earlier petition will be found later in the volume, p. 307). Cal. iv. 2904.

ROBERT HOLME (iii. 254). This belongs to the case of Robert Helme above (p. 179).

RICHARD HOLT (iii. 255). Cal. ii. 1417. The date of composition is given as 30 July 1646. The date of the second petition (p. 256) is given as 30 June 1648 (probably in error); it was ordered that he should have all his rents from 29 Jan. last past. The paragraph on p. 257 belongs to the Greenhalgh case (p. 111 above).

ROBERT HOLT (iii. 257). Cal. ii. 1241. On his request (24 Oct. 1646) that £450 might be accepted as a first payment it was ordered that on paying £500 and giving security for the balance the sequestration should be suspended.

Thomas Posthumus Holt (omitted). Cal. iii. 2380. On 21 June 1650, on Winstanley's motion on his behalf, it was ordered that his case be stated in writing and presented; and on 5 July his petition was, on Rigby's motion, referred to Reading.

JAMES HORNBY (iii. 261). Cal. v. 3179.

Robert Hothersall (iii. 262). Cal. v. 3207. On 14 Dec. 1654 Boulton's claim to a moiety of a house, &c., leased to him was allowed. The later petition of Robert Elston has been printed above (i. 251) under Edward Browne; the earlier one (3 May 1654) seems to have been overlooked, but part of the matter is printed below (iii. 300).

ROBERT HOUGH (iii. 263). Part of the papers connected with Robert Haulgh above (p. 171).

GILBERT HOUGHTON (iii. 264; the second heading should be cancelled. Brinscall Hall is in Wheelton). Cal. iii. 2018. On 30 July 1653 the fine was paid and the estate discharged.

HENRY HOUGHTON (iii. 266). Cal. iv. 2900. On 24 Sept. 1652 it was decided that he did not fall within the ordinances of sequestration and was therefore discharged.

Hugh Houghton (iii. 267). Cal. ii. 1065.

INETT (JUETT) HOUGHTON (iii. 268). Cal. v. 3186.

LADY JANE HOUGHTON (iii. 269). Cal. iv. 2561 (under Hesketh). In the Calendar Robert Hesketh of Rufford seems to have been confused with Robert Hesketh, jun., of Dunderdale, his illegitimate half-brother. On 31 March 1652 Lady Jane was to be proceeded against for engaging with the King of Scots. She seems to have compounded for this, and later her estates were under sequestration for her recusancy only (see p. 285). On 20 June 1654 Robert Hesketh being dead, the arrears since his death were to be paid to the guardian of Thomas Hesketh his grandson and heir. For the petition of this Thomas see p. 211 above. In Heneage's case an order was made on 11 Aug. 1652 that all arrears were to be paid from 24 Dec. 1649 during the sequestration, and two-thirds for the time it was sequestered for her recusancy. Mawdesley's first claim was allowed on I April 1652.

JOHN HOUGHTON (iii. 285). Cal. iv. 2541, including also the cases of William his father (see p. 303), Margaret his cousin (p. 290), and Margaret his step-mother (p. 293). It adds a reference to the petition of Thomas Gascoigne of Barnbow (Yorks.), trustee for the three daughters of William Houghton (13 July 1653), who had a lease of part of the demesne of Park Hall (dated 1635) to enable him to provide their portions.

Margaret Houghton (iii. 290: also p. 287). Cal. iv. 2542. See last case.

DAME MARGARET HOUGHTON (iii. 291). Cal. iv. 2902 (under Girlington). On 18 Nov. 1652 her claim was allowed; two-thirds to be paid by the County Committee and one-third by Mrs. Girlington.

Margaret Houghton (iii. 293). Cal. iv. 2542 (see John Houghton).

RALPH HOUGHTON (iii. 293). Cal. iv. 3124. 'On 15 March 1653-4 on the return of the County Committee's certificate, sequestration discharged.'

RATCLIFFE HOUGHTON (iii. 294; also ii. 314). Cal. iv. 2724.

RICHARD HOUGHTON (iii. 297). Cal. iii. 1883; v. 3289.

SIR RICHARD HOUGHTON (iii. 299). Cal. iv. 2962.

Thomas Houghton (iii. 300). Cal. iii. 1993.

William Houghton (iii. 301). Cal. iv. 3101.

WILLIAM HOUGHTON (iii. 303). Cal. iv. 2541. See John Houghton above. Parkinson's petition is entered in the Calendar (v. 3212) under Girlington.

Bryan Howard (iii. 305). Cal. iv. 3169; on 13 Dec. 1653.

EDWARD HOWARDS (iii. 305). Cal. v. 3306; discharged from sequestration 24 April 1655.

THOMAS HOWARTH of Heskin (omitted). Cal. iii. 1951. On 12 May 1649 he compounded; fine fio. Neglecting to pay, he was on 17 Aug. 1653 required to do so, with interest; on 24 Nov. he paid and the estate was discharged.

John Hudson (iii. 306). Cal. iii. 1951.

ROBERT HULME (iii. 306. See also iv. 47). Cal. iv. 2739. Sephton's claim was allowed, 17 Feb. 1652-3.

WILLIAM HULME (iii. 307). Cal. iv. 2904. This is the first part of the case of Ellen Holme above (p. 253). For 'Billington' read 'Billinge.'

JOHN HUNT of Haighton (omitted). Cal. iv. 3144: '27 Sept. 1653. Certificate by Thomas Fowle that in the books of seizures and compositions of recusants his estate was seized 6 Car., and that he was convicted of recusancy and compounded 13 Aug. 1634.

WILLIAM HUTCHINS (iii. 307). Cal. iv. 2945.

THOMAS HUTTON (omitted). Cal. v. 3308. On 9 July 1651 he petitioned that he might have compensation for the seizure of four of his oxen (value £21) for the Parliament's ships lying in Fouldrey Road, during his absence at the battle of Higham Moor, when he was lieutenant to Captain Nicholson in the Parliament's service. He had applied in vain to the County Committee. Noted: 'We have no power.'

THOMAS INCE (iv. 1; v. 95). Cal. iv. 2666. On 22 Feb. 1654-5 (see p. 8) the Committee decided they could not then allow the lease; but after the debts were proved the petitioners might have the profits until Dec. 1657, when the lease would expire. Proofs of debts were to be sent up. On 24 May 1655 the claim by Mawdsley and Banks was allowed and the estate discharged.

GEORGE IRELAND (iv. 14). Cal. iv. 2821 (under Edward Norris).

MARGARET IRELAND (iv. 14). Cal. iv. 2503.

BARTHOLOMEW JACKSON (iv. 23). Cal. iv. 2658.

RICHARD JACKSON (iv. 28). Cal. iii. 2060.

GEORGE JANION (iv. 29). Cal. v. 3187.

William Jenkinson (iv. 29). Cal. iii. 1951.

ELIZABETH JEPSON (iv. 29). Cal. v. 3177. Thomas Jepson on 6 Jan. 1653-4 petitioned to contract on the Recusants Act for twothirds of his sequestered estate. Referred to Reading. The claim of Richardson and Keighley was allowed on 4 Sept. 1655.

JOHN JOHNSON (iv. 33). Cal. iv. 2573.

LAWRENCE JOHNSON (iv. 33). Cal. iv. 3174.

Piscarius Johnson (iv. 33). Cal. iv. 3147.

THOMAS JOHNSON (iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2792.

THOMAS JOHNSON, &c. (iv. 34). Cal. iv. 2955. See also under the separate names.

THOMAS JOLLY (iv. 35). Cal. iv. 2573 (under Thomas Clifton).

WILLIAM JOLLY of Culcheth (omitted). Cal. ii. 1275: '7 May 1646. His estate being but 5 guineas a year is below composition, and he is to be discharged from sequestration.'

WILLIAM JUMP (iv. 36). Cal. iv. 3097. On 20 June 1654 the sequestration was discharged.

RICHARD KELLET (iv. 38). Cal. iv. 2917.

WILLIAM KEMPSON, co. Lancaster (omitted). Cal. v. 3229. Claim by Elizabeth Kempson, his infant daughter and heir, for a rent charge on lands in Warwickshire, sequestered for his recusancy.

RICHARD KENION (iv. 41). Cal. iii. 2124.

VISCOUNT KILMOREY (iv. 41; also i. 162–166). Cal. ii. 1283. His first petition was refused on 14 May 1646; he was at the surrender of Oxford. His lands were in Shropshire, Staffordshire, Cheshire, and Lancashire. On 13 March 1650–1 his estates were again seized. On 29 May 1651 he complained that by a clerk's mistake he was accused of undervaluing, compounding for £205 instead of £345, the yearly value of his lands in Amounderness Hundred. In 1659 he was accused of complicity in Sir George Booth's rising.

RICHARD KING of Preston (omitted). Cal. v. 3258. He petitioned to compound on 26 Feb. 1649-50.

Anthony Kirkby (iv. 44). Cal. i. 361.

JOHN KIRKBY (iv. 44). Cal. ii. 1574. See also Robert Rawlinson (v. 122).

RICHARD KIRKBY (iv. 45). Cal. ii. 1448.

THOMAS KIRKBY (iv. 46). Cal. v. 3243.

Thomas Kitson (iv. 46). Cal. iii. 2045, adding: '26 March 1652. On his death, his estate descending to Lucy his wife, a recusant, and she pleading discharge on the Act of Oblivion, the County Committee for Westmorland, where he had lands, beg directions. 6 May: Order that if she be a recusant two-thirds of her estate to be sequestered.' She afterwards married Robert Westby; Cal. v. 3292.

WILLIAM KNIGHT of Samsbury (omitted). Cal. ii. 1541. On 8 Oct. 1646 the Committee at Goldsmiths' Hall wrote to the Lancashire Committee stating that Knight had petitioned to compound, but his estate being less than £10 a year in land and £200 in all, he was, by the new propositions, acquitted and pardoned. Accordingly on 15 Oct. he was acquitted from composition.

JOHN KNIPE (iv. 47). Cal. v. 3198.

Lancashire Cases (iv. 47). Cal. iii. 1949–53, all noticed separately, except the following, whose cases were not prosecuted: William Banes, John Davies, William Lafield, Nicholas Merton, Lawrence Parkinson, John Sykes. Another class was commended by the County Committee to the Committee for Compounding, the petitioners being too poor to travel to London, under date 21 July 1652; Cal. iv. 2991. The orders made were:

- Mary Cropper, spinster, of Westhead, claiming a cottage and 4½ ac. of moss ground, granted by the late earl of Derby to her late mother Ellen and assigned to her, but sequestered for her mother's recusancy. Allowed on proof of title, if she be not a recusant.
- Alice, widow of William Hitchin of Knowsley, claiming a rent of 2s. 6d. an acre on land belonging to her late husband and sequestered for the recusancy of John Yate. Allowed two-thirds on proof of title.

Thomas Simpkin, an infant, claiming two-thirds of 6 ac. in Hornby, sequestered for the recusancy of his parents.

Allowed on proof, if he be not a recusant.

Gilbert Spencer, begging freedom from rent of a small house in North Meales, leased from William Hesketh (a delinquent) and now sanded up and lost. Referred to the County Committee.

- The five children of Thomas Wainwright of Ormskirk, claiming a fifth of £5 from the profits of Ormskirk fairs granted to their father (a delinquent) by the late earl of Derby. Allowed, if the father be not a recusant.
- John Ward of Woodplumpton, asking discharge of two-thirds of his small estate of 24s. a year sequestered for his supposed recusancy, he being conformable. Refused, if sequestered for recusancy.

The Calendar (v. 3240) records another set of cases, in which Hugh Cooper, Cuthbert Cordwell, Richard King, and Banaster Maynard on 11 July 1655 begged the benefit of discharges granted to estates under the value of 40s. yearly. They were to be discharged on proof of title and value.

The following also may be Lancashire cases, though no county is recorded in the *Calendar* (v. 3197). On 19 Jan. 1653-4 William Brand, Lawrence Parkinson, Richard Backhouse, Christopher Gregson and Ann Whalley, widow, aged 80, petitioned jointly (being too poor to act singly) to contract for their estates under the Recusants Act.

LANCASTER (iv. 47). Cal. i. 21, the names of the delinquents, &c., being given.

John Lancaster (iv. 53; also ii. 119). Cal. iv. 2527.

Susan Lance, decd. (iv. 55). *Cal.* iv. 2967. '29 July 1652. Claim allowed on report, and estate discharged, on petitioner's taking the oath of abjuration.'

ABRAHAM LANGTON (iv. 56). Cal. iv. 3151.

THOMAS LANGTREE (iv. 59). Cal. iv. 2659. The petition of the

children was granted, the County Committee being ordered (1 Sept. 1653) to pay them the fifth.

Henry Lathon (iv. 64). Cal. iv. 2994. 'Francis' was a daughter, as appears later. On 9 Dec. 1652 two-thirds of the estate was to be discharged and allowed to Bradshaw as guardian. The County Committee was to see that the child was brought up a Protestant. On 5 April 1653 Bradshaw was confirmed as guardian and was to have custody of the child.

JOHN LATHOM (iv. 67). Cal. iv. 2861.

RICHARD LATHOM (iv. 68, and iv. 69). Cal. iv. 3119. The paragraphs relating to Sumpner and Fazakerley are recorded separately in the Calendar (v. 3219, 3238).

RICHARD LATHOM (iv. 70). This belongs to the case of Ellen Maghull below (p. 112).

Thomas Lathom (iv. 70). Cal. v. 3219. Sumpner's claim was allowed on 6 March 1654–5 and on 10 April the County Committee was ordered to pay him arrears due from 25 March 1694.

Anne Latus (iv. 71). This belongs to the case of Roger Greene above (iii. 100).

ELIZABETH LAWTON, JOHN LAWTON (iv. 72, 73). Cal. iii. 2062; v. 3292, taking the cases together as John and Elizabeth Lawton. On 9 July 1649 Margaret Wolfall, widow, of Denton, complained that Henry Lawton had ejected her illegally. On 21 Jan. 1651–2 Thomas Lyon and Thomas Litherland begged discharge of a copyhold messuage and land, formerly the estate of John Lawton, but sequestered from Elizabeth Lawton. Henry Lawton prevented them going to law until the order of 30 Oct. 1649, but they have since proved their title. On 25 May 1652 Henry Lawton having compounded for two-thirds of the estate of John and Elizabeth Lawton (sequestered for their recusancy) and paid his fine, the sequestration was discharged. On 3 June 1652 the claims of Croft and Whitfield were allowed.

RICHARD LECKONBY (iv. 76). Cal. iii. 1654.

Thomas Lee (iv. 78). Part of the Naylor papers; see below (p. 206).

CHARLES LEIGH (iv. 78). Cal. ii. 1394. This is a Cheshire case.

George Leigh (iv. 78). Cal. iv. 2898, adding: '20 Jan. 1651-2. Not being adjudged within any act of delinquency, he is to be discharged.'

HENRY LEIGH (iv. 86). Cal. ii. 1159. A Cheshire case.

James Leigh (iv. 86). Cal. iv. 2564. On 5 Jan. 1653-4 Webb's

claim was allowed and the estate was discharged. The claim of the Wigan Grammar School was allowed on 13 May 1652.

NICHOLAS LEIGH (iv. 91). Cal. iv. 2625. On 29 Dec. 1653 the claim was allowed and sequestration discharged.

THOMAS LEIGH (iv. 93). According to the *Calendar* (ii. 894) the first paragraph belongs to the case of Thomas Leigh, jun., of Adlington (Ches.), and the second (iv. 2777) to that of William Naylor below (p. 206).

WILLIAM LEYBURN (omitted). Cal. v. 3210. On 25 April 1654 William Garnett and Francis Bowers, as trustees for Nicholas, Charles, and John, the children of John Leyburn of Witherslack (Westmld.), having several years unexpired of a lease at Baire, complain that they have been unduly sequestered for the delinquency of the late William Leyburn, who was only a tenant at will. The matter was referred to the Lancashire Committee and Reading. See Cal. v. 3292.

George Leyland (iv. 93). Cal. iii. 1952. Fine (15 May),  $f_1$ , 10s.

RICHARD LEYLAND (iv. 94). Cal. iv. 3088. William Leyland's claim was allowed on report, 28 Dec. 1654. Nicholas Mather of Abram on 8 July 1653 begged confirmation of a lease of Richard Leyland's sequestered messuage, &c., in Abram, granted to him by the County Committee in 1652.

WILLIAM LEWIS (iv. 97). Cal. iv. 2693-5.

HENRY LINAKER (iv. 97). Cal. v. 3238. The Henry Linaker of Garston joined with John and Thomas Plumbe (p. 98) was probably a different person; Cal. iv. 2738. See also the Lancashire cases above (pp. 47, 53).

THOMAS LINGARD (iv. 100). Cal. iv. 2749. On 7 March 1653-4 the claim was allowed.

LIVERPOOL (iv. 102). Cal. i. 141. For a Liverpool petition in the matter see below in the Molyneux papers (pp. 155-58).

George Livesey (iv. 103). Cal. iv. 2726. On 15 July 1652 the claim of Lawrence (son of George) was rejected until proof should be given of the death of his father and of Elizabeth wife of Lawrence (father of George); also proof that Lawrence was the eldest son. On 31 Aug. 1653 discharge was granted in favour of William Eltonhead and confirmed 17 July 1655. To this case belong some papers given below (v. 40) under the heading 'Hugh Parr'; his claim was granted 4 Aug. 1652.

RICHARD LIVESEY (iv. 110). Cal. iii. 1994. John Lowe (iv. 110). Cal. v. 3231. ELLEN LUND (iv. 111). Cal. iii. 1952.

John Lynnaker (iv. 112). Cal. v. 3182.

Ellen Maghull (iv. 112). Cal. iv. 3000. See also p. 70 above.

MANCHESTER (iv. 113). Cal. i. 22.

HENRY MANCKNOWLES (iv. 113). Cal. iv. 2729.

WILLIAM MANWARING (iv. 117). Cal. iv. 2825.

ELIZABETH MARCER (iv. 118 and 128). Cal. iv. 3013, adding: '25 July 1654. Order on report allowing the claim and discharging two-thirds of the one-third, with arrears from date of petition.'

WILLIAM MARSH (iv. 118). Cal. iv. 2825. The first petition was made by Gilbert Croft. In the end (12 Dec. 1652) his claim was allowed. The section above (ii. 86), headed Gilbert Croft, belongs to this case.

MATTHEW MARTIN (iv. 120). Cal. iv. 3167. Richard Whitehead's claim was allowed on 22 Dec. 1654.

PETER MARTINDALL (iv. 120). Cal. iii. 1953, v. 3306. He may be the 'Peter Marindall of Manchester' who compounded two days previously for the same fine, £3; see Cal. v. 3308.

PHILIP MARTINDALL (iv. 121). Cal. iv. 2860.

RICHARD MARTINSCROFT (iv. 122). Cal. iv. 2742.

Dorothy Mashiter (iv. 123). Cal. v. 3179.

DOROTHY MASSEY (iv. 124). Cal. v. 3186.

RICHARD MASSEY (iv. 124). Cal. iv. 2625. The manor of Rixton is said to have been sold to Gilbert Ireland. Alice Massey's petition was renewed and granted on 29 Jan. 1650-1.

WILLIAM MASSEY (iv. 126). Cal. iv. 2519.

EMMA MATHER (iv. 126). Cal. iv. 3081. The claim was allowed on report, 12 April 1654, and the sequestration discharged, with two-thirds of arrears from her death 2 Dec. 1652.

ROBERT MATTHEWS of Pemberton (omitted). Cal. iv. 2394: 12 July 1650. Case postponed. No details or papers given.

MARY MAUDISLEY (iv. 127). Cal. iv. 2979.

ROBERT MAWDESLEY (iv. 128). This belongs to Lady Jane Houghton's case, iii. 280 above.

Johnson Melledge of Poole (omitted). Cal. iii. 1953. He compounded for delinquency in the first war on 10 May 1649; fine £11, 8s.

JAMES MELLING of Fishwick (omitted). Cal. v. 3193. On 17 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract for his estate under the Recusants Act.

MARGERY MELLING of Lea, widow (omitted). Cal. v. 3186. On 13 Jan. 1653-4 she begged to contract on the Recusants Act for two-thirds of her sequestered estate. Referred to Reading.

WILLIAM MELLING (iv. 128). Cal. iv. 2785. On 27 Nov. 1651 an order was given to resequester him.

ELIZABETH MERCER (iv. 128). These are examinations in the 'Marcer' case above (p. 118).

JOHN MERCER (iv. 129). Cal. v. 3186.

RICHARD MERCER (iv. 130). Cal. iv. 3034.

THOMAS MERCER (iv. 130). Cal. v. 3242, as Myerscough, correctly. The spelling in the documents is Mersco.

SIR GEORGE MIDDLETON (iv. 131). Cal. iii. 1783, 2131 (Calvert). On 21 Dec. 1648 the sequestration was suspended, and all rents due the previous 14 Nov. were to be paid to him. On 18 June 1650 his fine was confirmed at £1015, 1s. 4d.

ROBERT MIDDLETON (iv. 135). Cal. iv. 3080. Cobb's claim was allowed 23 March 1653-4.

ALICE MIDGEALL (iv. 138). This belongs to the following case.

EDWARD MIDGEALL (iv. 139). Cal. v. 3201. The sequestration was discharged on 9 Jan. 1654-5.

JOHN MILLER (iv. 139). Cal. v. 3178.

Ann Molyneux (iv. 140). Cal. iv. 3128, adding: '13 Feb. 1654-5. Claim allowed and sequestration discharged, with arrears from date of petition, unless the County Committee find some heir to the estate, if it had not been willed away, for whose delinquency or recusancy it should be sequestered; in which case they are to certify.'

BARNABY MOLYNEUX (iv. 143). Cal. iv. 2745. On 3 June 1651 the title was allowed and discharge granted.

EDWARD MOLINEUX of Ince [Blundell] and MARGARET MOLINEUX of the Grange (omitted). Cal. iv. 2861. They begged the benefit of their thirds on the Act of 25 Jan. 1649–50, being sequestered for recusancy (31 July 1651). Allowed.

ELIZABETH MOLYNEUX (iv. 144). Cal. v. 3204.

John Molyneux (iv. 145). Cal. iv. 3171. The fine was paid 5 April 1654 and the estate discharged. The claim of the widow was allowed 25 Jan. 1654-5.

MARGARET MOLINEUN, widow, of Ince Blundell (omitted). Cal. iv. 3174. On 22 Dec. 1653 she petitioned to contract for her estate on the Recusants Act. Referred to Reading. [This may be the Margaret mentioned above.]

LORD MOLYNEUX (iv. 149). Cal. ii. 1343, v. 3278, including many references to estates, &c., outside Lancashire, giving also the orders made from time to time on the points raised. For example, on his renewed petition (27 June 1654) for the discharge of small tenements, sequestered only for recusancy, it was ordered that as to such as were under 40s. a year the County Committee of Lancaster follow their general instructions. The tenement of John Reynolds (now dead), which is worth £4, is to be discharged, on oath that it is Lord Molyneux's and that Eleanor Reynolds has no interest therein.

ROBERT MOLYNEUX (iv. 167). Cal. ii. 829. On 23 March 1651-2 it was ordered that the estate should be discharged, on proof of the father's death, on the ground that the grandfather was sequestered for delinquency only and the father (a Papist delinquent) was never in possession; the infant is being brought up a Protestant.

THOMAS MOLYNEUX (iv. 174). Cal. v. 3228.

MARGARET MOORE of Finch House (omitted). Cal. iv. 2861. She begged the benefit of her third on the Act of 25 Jan. 1649–50, being sequestered for recusancy (31 July 1651). Allowed.

THOMAS MOORE (iv. 175). Cal. iv. 3175.

Paul Moreau of Knowsley (omitted). Cal. v. 3259. On 3 June 1652 it was certified that he had not been sequestered for delinquency and had not compounded.

FRANCIS MORLEY (iv. 175). Cal. iii. 1728. Fine at one-tenth £160 on 11 May 1647.

LORD MORLEY (iv. 177). Cal. iii. 2276, containing particulars of estates outside Lancashire.

LADY MORLEY (iv. 191). Cal. ii. 2278.

NICHOLAS MORLEY (iv. 193). Cal. iv. 3126.

THOMAS MORLEY (iv. 193). Cal. iv. 2637. The lands in Burton in Lonsdale were sold to John Wildman 14 Sept. 1653.

GEORGE MORT (iv. 195). Cal. ii. 1550.

Seth Mort (iv. 196). Cal. iv. 2815.

EDWARD Moss (iv. 199). Cal. iv. 3176.

SIR EDWARD MOSLEY (iv. 199). Cal. ii. 1060; Lancashire is mentioned only once.

Nicholas Mosley (iv. 199). Cal. ii. 1086.

NICHOLAS MOSLEY (iv. 201). Cal. ii. 1390.

HENRY Mossock (iv. 202). Cal. iv. 2729, where some earlier petitions are entered; one on 20 Feb. 1650-1 for a fifth for his children (granted) and another on 29 April 1651 for a third for him-

self, he being sequestered for his recusancy only. This was granted if his assertion was proved.

OLIVER NABB (iv. 203; see also i. 153-4). Cal. iv. 2848.

William Naylor (iv. 206; also pp. 78, 93). Cal. iv. 2777. On 17 Feb. 1651-2 Thomas Lee's deed was disallowed. On 23 June 1655 Naylor's lands were discharged from sequestration, having been sold by the Treason Trustees to Richard Marsden.

William Nealson (iv. 209). Cal. iii. 1950.

HENRY NELSON (iv. 210). Cal. iv. 3105.

MICHAEL NELSON (iv. 210). Cal. iv. 3174.

RICHARD NELSON (iv. 210). Cal. iv. 2974, with a reference to the Cal. of Committee for Advance of Moncy, p. 1410.

RICHARD NELSON (iv. 211). This seems to have been omitted in the Calendar.

THOMAS NELSON (iv. 211). Cal. iv. 3007.

Thomas Nelson (iv. 212). Cal. iv. 3102. On 4 May 1653 he was allowed to pay £205, 1s. as part of his fine, so that part of the estate might be discharged to enable him to raise money; and on 27 May, the fine being fully paid, the rest was discharged.

William Nelson (iv. 213). Cal. ii. 1111 (under the Earl of Derby).

NICHOLAS NEWSHAM (iv. 214. On p. 216 the surname is misprinted 'Wesham'). Cal. iv. 2717.

Francis Nicholson (iv. 216). Cal. iii. 1909.

Francis Nicholson of Poulton (omitted). Cal. iii. 1951. He compounded in April 1649, stating that he was not worth £200: fine £3. [Perhaps he was Francis senior as distinguished from the Francis junior of the text.]

MILES NIGHALL (iv. 217). Cal. iii. 2086.

ALEXANDER NORRIS (iv. 218). Cal. ii. 1181.

EDWARD NORRIS of Aigburth (iv. 219; also p. 14). Cal. iv. 2821.

Henry Norris (iv. 219). Cal. iv. 2813, adding: '9 April 1652. Order on report that the County Commissioners examine Anne Worthington on oath as to her receipts from her brother Henry Norris towards her £112; also that she has not released her interest therein; and then, on her taking the oath of abjuration, two-thirds of the balance is to be paid her out of the sequestrated two-thirds of the estate.' Also Cal. iv. 2863; the deed in favour of Henry's brothers allowed, 9 April 1652.

George Norris (iv. 223). Cal. iii. 1953.

JOHN NORRIS (iv. 223). Cal. ii. 1181, where the fine is given as 4.50.

RICHARD NORRIS (iv. 224). Cal. iv. 2863, adding: 'I Apl. 1652. On deposition that the premises will not let for more than £10 a year and that the eldest child is not yet 14, claim allowed and premises discharged. The County Committee are to endeavour to have the children brought up Protestants.'

ROBERT NORRIS (iv. 225). Cal. iv. 2826.

THOMAS NORRIS (iv. 227). Cal. iii. 1911, iv. 2514 (Seddon's petition). In this case the Calendar is very full.

WILLIAM NORRIS (iv. 230). Cal. iv. 3134. The fine was paid 10 Jan. 1653-4 and the estate was discharged.

RICHARD NORTH (iv. 231). Cal. iv. 3105. On 21 Sept. 1653 the fine was paid and the estate discharged.

ROGER NOWELL (iv. 233). Cal. ii. 1251.

Joshua Nuttall (iv. 235). Cal. ii. 1309.

JOHN NYCSON (iv. 235). Cal. iii. 2052.

CUTHBERT OGLE (iv. 236). Cal. ii. 1094.

Hugh Orrell (iv. 236). Cal. iv. 3176.

Lewis Orrell (iv. 237). Cal. iv. 3022, adding: '17 Jan. 1653-4. Estate discharged on the Act of Pardon; his bonds to be delivered up to him.'

RICHARD ORRELL of Farrington (omitted). Cal. iii. 2057. On 22 May 1649 he compounded for his delinquency; he had found a man in arms for the King in the first war. On 27 June the fine was fixed at a sixth £22, 10s.

ALEXANDER OSBALDESTON (iv. 238). Cal. iv. 2574; v. 3308.

RICHARD OSBALDESTON of Sholley (omitted). Cal. v. 3231. On 7 March 1654-5 John Osbaldeston, servant of Sir William Harvey of Hengrave (Suff.), begged discharge of lands in Osbaldeston and Balderston left to him by his father Richard in reversion after the death of Margaret the father's then wife. Both are now dead, but two-thirds are sequestered for their recusancy. The County Commissioners were ordered to examine and Reading to report.

WILLIAM OSBALDESTON (iv. 239). Cal. ii. 906, including petitions on behalf of the poor of Walton-le-Dale, Whittle, and Cuerden (see above ii. 99). On 20 Feb. 1654-5 William's claim was allowed as to his father's land, and on 22 May 1655 to that of Blackborne also, he taking oath that he had granted no further estate therein.

ELIZABETH PARKE (v. 1). Cal. iv. 2996 (under Giles Parke).

GILES PARKE (v. I). Cal. iv. 2996.

ELIZABETH PARKER (v. 2). Cal. v. 3194; also iv. 2543 (under Houghton), where it is stated the discharge was granted 22 Dec. 1654.

JENNET PARKER (v. 5). Cal. ii. 1111 (under Earl of Derby).

JOHN PARKER (v. 5). Cal. iv. 2445. Christopher Parker's claim was allowed on 23 Feb. 1653-4. He was son of John by a second marriage, his mother being Margaret, daughter of Anthony Parker of Radholme (p. 9). Margaret Beesley paid the fine on 13 July 1653 and the estate was discharged.

JOHN PARKER (v. 10). Cal. iv. 2445, where the first paragraph and the two last seem to belong to the Parker of Loveley named in the heading.

JOHN PARKER (v. 10). Cal. iv. 3174.

THOMAS PARKER (v. 11). Cal. v. 3205.

THOMAS PARKER (v. 12). Cal. iii. 2278 (Lord Morley).

WILLIAM PARKER (v. 12). Cal. iii. 2300 (Sherborne), iv. 2782 (Helme and Dobson). On 21 Oct. 1652 the £15 a year claimed by Helme was allowed, on proof that Isabel his wife was living. 3 Jan. 1653-4 Sherborne's claim was allowed and the sequestration discharged.

Anne Parkinson (v. 24). See Partington.

CUTHBERT PARKINSON (v. 24). Cal. iv. 2960 (under John Girlington), adding: '7 Sept. 1652. The County Committee certify the discharge of the estate for payment of debts in Oct. 1646.'

Dorothy Parkinson (omitted). Cal iv. 2910. On 27 Nov. 1651 she petitioned the Lancashire Committee for relief. She was ordered a fifth of her husband Lawrence's estate for herself and children, but though she paid [E.] Pollard (receiver of the late king's rents) f,5 for arrears, his agents distrained not only 4 oxen and 5 kine but a mare, without which she could not subsist. Pollard was thereupon ordered to show cause. He replied (Cal. i. 522) on I Jan. 1651-2: 'I should have obeyed your summons to answer the complaint of Dorothy Parkinson, but the distress complained of was made six years since on a Parliament order and an order of the Committee of Sequestrations for payment of all rents and arrears due to the King from delinquents estates. This rent of £3, 16s. 3d. being behind for some years was distrained for and the goods were sold for £5, but the £5 was afterwards repaid to Woodward, from whom they had been distrained. I ask whether an officer who has performed a dangerous and difficult service ought to be put to trouble on every frivolous complaint.'

EDWARD PARKINSON (v. 25). Cal. iv. 3174. See also Alice Alston (i. 34).

ELLEN PARKINSON (v. 25). Cal. iv. 3110.

JOHN PARKINSON (v. 26). Cal. iii. 1910 (under Thomas Brockholes). He may be the John Parkinson of p. 29 below.

John Parkinson (v. 27). This seems to belong to the case of Lawrence Parkinson (or of Fanshaw) below.

JOHN PARKINSON (v. 28). Cal. iv. 3175.

LAWRENCE PARKINSON (v. 28). Cal. v. 3277; ii. 1300; also iii. 1661 for Thomas Fanshaw (see p. 35). In the Calendar 'Frith,' wife of Lawrence Parkinson, is read as 'Faith.' Sequestration was discharged in favour of Fanshaw on 3 Nov. 1653.

Peter Parkinson (v. 36). Cal. iv. 2768. On 9 Dec. 1652 the claim was allowed, with arrears.

RICHARD PARKINSON (v. 36). Cal. iii. 2099.

RICHARD PARKINSON (v. 37). Cal. iii. 1950.

THOMAS PARKINSON (v. 37). Cal. iv. 3106, a petition of Ralph and Richard Parkinson, dated Aug. (?) 1653.

THOMAS PARKINSON (v. 37). Cal. iv. 3105.

WILLIAM PARKINSON (v. 38). Cal. iv. 3175; v. 3216. On 16 Nov. 1654 the sequestration was discharged.

Hugh Park (v. 40). This belongs to the case of George Livesey above (iv. 104). For Birkenhead read Burtonhead:

KATHERINE PARR (v. 41). This is the same as Katherine Potter below (p. 66); Parr may have been her maiden name, or that of a second marriage.

RALPH PARR (v. 41). Cal. iv. 3094. The claim was allowed on 15 June 1654.

Ann Partington (v. 42, 24). Cal. iii. 1995. On 8 Sept. 1653 the County Committee to inquire whether James held in trust for Ann; if not the two-thirds sequestered for her recusancy would be discharged. On 16 June 1654 the order for discharge was made absolute.

WILLIAM PARTINGTON (omitted—qy. of Cheshire). Cal. iii. 1995. On 28 April 1649 he compounded for his delinquency in adhering to the forces raised against Parliament. His small estate lay in Lancashire. On 8 May his fine, as being a poor, honest man, was fixed at £5.

HENRY PEIRPOINT (v. 44). Cal. v. 3201.

THOMAS PEIRSON (v. 45). Cal. iv. 2958. The fine was paid on 7 July and the estate discharged.

James Pemberton (v. 46). Cal. iii. 1952; v. 3213. On 1 June 1654 his houses, &c., in Whiston were discharged from sequestration, having been purchased from the Treason Trustees by John Fullerton of London.

ALICE PENDLETON (v. 47). Cal. iv. 2739. The claim was allowed on 23 Feb. 1653-4.

HENRY PENDLETON (v. 48). Cal. ii. 1270.

Anthony Pickering (v. 49). Cal. v. 3186.

Hugh Pilkington (v. 49). Cal. iv. 3137.

John Pilkington (v. 49). Cal. iv. 2757. Rigby's claim allowed on 17 Nov. 1653 and sequestration discharged.

Mr. Pilkington (v. 55). He is identified in the *Calendar* (iii. 2034) as Richard Pilkington of Kirkheaton (Yorks.), and the date of the letter is given as 29 May 1649.

RICHARD PILKINGTON (v. 56). Cal. iv. 2792.

WILLIAM PILKINGTON (v. 56). Cal. iii. 2175. The following are the names on p. 60 as spelt in the original: Will. Foord, Ald., Ambrose Jolly, Ald., Rob. Barnes, Ald., John Harvye, Ald., Will. Browne, Ald., the widdow of Mr. Rob. Martland, Edward Somers, Ald., Nicolas Pinington, Ald., Mr. Allix. Tompson, James Croucke, Will. Baulden, Edward Armshaw, Edward Baron, Rob. Winstonley, Olever Whaly, Will. Yeerland, John Wackfild, Lewice Orrell of Asple, Rob. Hendley, Tho. Tinkler, Will. Glouer of Inst, James Hitches of Inst, Ralfe Browne of Asple.

ROBERT PLESSINGTON (v. 64). Cal. i. 628; iv. 3134.

JOHN PLOMBE (v. 65). Cal. v. 3228.

HENRY PORTER (v. 65). Cal. iii. 1716.

ALEXANDER POTTER (v. 66). Cal. iv. 2696.

KATHERINE POTTER (v. 66; also p. 41). Cal. iv. 2897, adding: '21 Oct. 1652. Claim allowed if Katherine Potter is dead, with arrears from her death (or from 24 Dec. 1649), and petitioner to take the oath of abjuration.'

Robert Prescott (v. 68). Cal. iv. 2795.

ROBERT PRESCOTT (v. 68). Cal. iv. 3212. The petition was granted on 5 Dec. 1654.

THOMAS PRESCOTT (v. 68). Cal. iv. 3175.

WILLIAM PRESCOTT (v. 69). Cal. ii. 1492.

Frances Preston (v. 70). *Cal.* iv. 2910. Her estate was chiefly in Westmorland.

George Preston (v. 70). Cal. iii. 1888. A long account,

ending: '5 May 1652. Strickland begs to pay the remainder of the fine and not to suffer by the Registrar's mistake in returning George Preston of Nateby as a recusant convict in place of George Preston of Holker, his father. Case referred to Thomas Fowle and Thomas Baily.'

HENRY PRESTON (v. 71). Cal. iv. 2822.

JOHN PRESTON (v. 75). Cal. iii. 1898-1904.

THOMAS PRESTON (v. 88). Cal. ii. 1163; v. 3268. The fine being paid, the estate was discharged on 21 June 1649.

WILLIAM PRESTON (v. 92). Cal. iv. 2505, 3106.

SIR THOMAS PRESTWICH (iv. 93). Cal. ii. 1443. On 2 Nov. 1649 the fine was paid and the estate discharged.

THOMAS INCE (v. 95). The name was misread as 'Price,' hence the appearance of these paragraphs at this point. See iv. 8.

James Prisoe (v. 96). Cal. iv. 3079.

WILLIAM PRISSOE of Dalton [in Furness] (omitted). Cal. v. 3194. On 18 Jan. 1653-4 he petitioned to contract for his estate on the Recusants Act.

GILES PROCTOR (v. 97). Cal. iii. 1952. The father was Bryan Proctor of Wrayton.

RICHARD QUICK (v. 97). Cal. v. 3201.

ALEXANDER RADCLIFFE (v. 98). Cal. ii. 1445.

WILLIAM RADCLIFFE (v. 105). Cal. ii. 1445, where the fine is recorded as £690, on 7 Nov. 1646.

WILLIAM RADCLIFFE (v. 106). Cal. iii. 2056.

WILLIAM RADLEY (v. 107). Cal. iv. 2945, where he is joined with Col. Peter Egerton. On 24 Feb. 1651-2 the County Committee reported that the depositions against them were contradictory and the charge apparently malicious. On 3 March, however, the Committee for Compounding decided that they must discharge themselves legally.

JOHN RANICARS (v. 107). Cal. iv. 2519.

NICHOLAS RANICARS (omitted). Cal. iv. 2519. On 22 Nov. 1650 he begged to compound, for delinquency in the late wars. On 24 June 1651 the fine at one-sixth was £21, 11s. 10d. This was paid on 20 May 1652 and the estate discharged.

EDMUND RAPHSON (v. 107). Cal. iv. 2627.

JOHN RASCOWE (v. 111). Cal. ii. 1151.

LEONARD RAWLINSON (v. 114). Cal. iii. 1866.

ROBERT RAWLINSON (v. 122). Cal. ii. 1574 (under John Kirkby); iii. 1866.

EDWARD RAWSTORNE (v. 123). Cal. iv. 2652.

SIR JOHN REDMAN (v. 130). Cal. iii. 1764. Most of the estate was in Yorkshire. Dame Sarah Redman, widow and administratrix of Sir John, was a petitioner for her jointure, 4 June 1652.

JOHN REINES (v. 131). Cal. iv. 2506.

RICHARD RENICARS (v. 133). Cal. iii. 2120.

THOMAS RICHARDSON (v. 134). Cal. v. 3185. The claim was allowed and the estate discharged on 3 July 1655.

Thomas Richardson of Catterall (omitted). Cal. v. 3185. He petitioned 13 Jan. 1653-4 to contract on the Recusants Act for two-thirds of his sequestered estate, and the matter was referred to Reading. He may be the same as the preceding Thomas.

WILLIAM RICHARDSON (v. 134). Cal. v. 3229.

ALEXANDER RIGBY (v. 135). Cal. iii. 1650.

ELEANOR RIGBY (v. 138). Cal. iv. 2712 (under Cicely Woods).

JAMES RIGBY (v. 139). Cal. iv. 3103.

JOHN RIGBY (v. 140). Cal. iv. 2815.

Joseph Rigby (v. 141). Cal. i. 361, 367 (Rigby to attend before the Committee 6 Dec. 1650), 370, 371 (the charge against him), 455 (£160 due by him), 456 (he stabbed and beat the bailiffs distraining at his house), 468, 474, 506 (sent for by the Committee), 507 (order to take him into custody—25 Nov. 1651), 514 (discharged on his bond to pay), 515, 630.

NICHOLAS RIGBY (omitted). Cal. iv. 3101. On 24 March 1652-3 his forfeited manor of Bretherton, sold by the Treason Trustees to Geoffrey Ellatson, was discharged from sequestration.

John Rigmaiden (v. 144). Cal. iv. 2850, which identifies him with the following John. The date of the first petition was 9 July 1651.

John Rigmaiden (v. 145). Cal. iv. 2851; v. 3186. The latter reference is to a petition by a John Rigmaiden of Wyresdale for leave to contract for his sequestered two-thirds under the Recusants Act. As it is dated 13 Jan. 1653-4 it seems likely he was the John named in the text as dying on 6 March 1653-4.

DOROTHY RISHTON (v. 147). Cal. iv. 2531.

EDWARD RISHTON (v. 149). Cal. iv. 2530. Michaelheys was purchased from the Treason Trustees in or before June 1653 by Thomas Wharton.

Ralph Rishton (v. 149). Cal. iv. 3077.

RALPH RISHTON (V. 150). Cal. iv. 3077. His fine (23 March 1652-3) was £29, 95. 6d.

WILLIAM RISHTON (v. 151). Cal. iv. 2530, adding: '19 May 1653. Discharge from sequestration of houses in Whalley and Blackburn parishes, forteited by William Rishton, sen., and bought from the Treason Trustees by William Rishton, jun.'

John Rivington (v. 153). Cal. iii. 2054.

Adam Robertson (v. 153). Cal. iii. 2111.

EDMUND ROBINSON (v. 154). Cal. iii. 1835. Date of fine 16 June 1648.

John Robinson (v. 154). Cal. iii. 2009.

ELIZABETH ROCFRSON (v. 157). Cal. v. 3186.

John Rocerson (v. 157). Cal. iv. 2854.

WILLIAM ROCERSON (v. 157). Cal. iv. 2789.

John Roscow (v. 158). Cal. v. 3204. The fine was fully paid and the estate discharged from sequestration 21 June 1654.

Francis Roson (v. 158). Cal. iv. 2692 (under William Blundell, the tenant's name not being mentioned).

THOMAS ROTHWELL of Glasbrook (omitted). Cal. iv. 3176. On 30 Dec. 1653 he petitioned to compound on the Recusants Act for two-thirds of his sequestered estate. Referred to Reading.

James Rutter (v. 159). Cal. iv. 2683.

MICHAEL RUTTER (v. 160). Cal. iii. 1843.

Thomas Rutter (v. 160). Cal. iv. 2687. The widow's request was granted.

Jонн Rycroft (v. 161). Cal. ii. 1093.

THOMAS RYLEY (v. 163). Cal. ii. 1211.

# INDEX

#### VOLUMES IV AND V

Place Names are printed in italics

An asterisk denotes that the name occurs more than once on the page marked

The thick figures indicate the Sequestration Cases

## A

```
Аввотт, Geo., iv. 231
 - John, v. 165
 — Rich., v. 55, 165
— Thos., iv. 231
Abraham, Eliz., v. 165
Abram (Abraham, Aburgham), iv.
  12, 13, 56*, 94-97; v. 95, 141, 167, 196
Abram, Nich., v. 110
 — Will., v. 165
Accrington, iv. 234; v. 125
Ackers, John, v. 165
Ackhurst (in Orrell), iv. 91
Adams, John, iv. 40
Adamson, Robt., v. 165
Addison, Geo., iv. 197
Adkins, Nathl., v. 66
Adlington (Ches.), iv. 78, 86
 — (Lancs.), iv. 231*; v.
                               49~
  51*, 53, 54
Adlington, Hugh, iv. 77;

    Peter, v. 165

Admiralty, Commissioners of, iv.
  58
Aigburth (Aighburth, Egburgh,
  Yagburgh), iv. 164, 219, 226;
  V. 200
Aighton (Aughton), iv. 54; v. 7
 — see Aughton
Ainscoe, John, v. 165
Ainsworth (Aynsworth), Geo., v.
  52, 53, 165
Aintree (Ayntree), iv. 70, 112*,
  113, 168, 174
Alchorne, Edw., v. 33
Alcock, Will., iv. 117
```

```
Aldeliffe (Auckliff), iv. 136; v.
   39, 179
Aldingham, rector of, v. 87
Aldridge and Hunton, iv. 202
Alexander, Thos., iv. 135
Alkar, see Altcar
Allen, Isaac, v. 166
Allenson
            (Allanson,
                          Allinson),
   Ellis, v. 133
 — Geo., iv. 231*
— Jas., iv, 47, 100
Allerton, iv. 68, 69*, 71*, 98, 203
Almond, Edw., iv. 228
Alston, iv. 213
Alston, Alice, v. 166, 203
Alt Grange (in Ince Blundell), iv.
   145*, 147*, 149; v. 198
Altear (Alkar), iv. 149, 151, 160,
  163*
— mill, iv. 149
- rectory of, iv. 149, 153, 164

    vicar of, iv. 149

Altham, v. 41, 42*, 176
— minister of, iv. 35
Ambrose, Jane, iv. 92*

    Peter (agent for sequestra-

  tions), iv. 19*-23, 99, 100,
  153*, 226, 229
 — Will., v. 166
Amounderness, deanery of, iv. 39
Amounderness Hundred, iv. 24.
  60; v. 193
Amysechurch, see Ormskirk
Anderton, Alathea, v. 166

    Christr., v. 166

 — Francis, v. 166
 — Hugh, v. 166
 — Jas., iv. 11; v. 77*, 141, 166*
 — Will., v. 166
```

Ancoats, iv. 199, 200 Aple(v), Christr., iv. 49\*, 51; v. 166 Archer, John, iv. 190; v. 78, 79 Arkholme, iv. 179 — church of, iv. 189 Armeshaw, Edw., v. 60, 204. (? See Ormshaw) Arnforth (in Long Preston), v. 184 Arrowsmith, Thurstan, v. 166 Arthur, Mr., see Archer Ashe, Anne, v. 167 — John, iv. 151, 152, 158; v. 55 Ashenbottom, v. 124 Asher, Nich., iv. 213 Ashley (in Whittingham), v. 36 Ashton, v. 157 — (near Preston), v. 170 Ashton in Makerfield, iv. 55, 96, 226, 236 Ashton (Assheton, Aston), Bridget, v. 167 Dorothy, v. 167 — Edmund, v. 167 — Edward, iv. 180-183 — Jas., iv. 216; v. 23 — Margaret, v. 167 — Mary, iv. 9, 12 - Radcliff, v. 124\*, 125 Ralph, iv. 227; v. 89 Col. Ralph, iv. 184; v. 59 - Sir Ralph, iv. 164 - Richard, iv. 7-13, 238; v. 95\*, 167\* - Roger, v. 167 - Thos., iv. 49; v. 167\* Ashurst (in Dalton), v. 168 Ashurst, hall (par. Walton), iv. 67 Ashurst, Hen., iv. 69; v. 168 - Will., iv. 158 (Washurst), 104; v. 89, 90, 167, 168 Ashworth, iv. 41 Ashworth, John, iv. 112 Aspinall, George, iv. 163 — Hugh, iv. 163 Aspinwall, Edward (sequestrator), iv. 1, 12, and passim Aspull (Asple), iv. 12, 237; v. 60\*, 111\*, 112, 183, 204 -- constables, v. 111 Assheton, see Ashton Astley, Will., v. 72, 73 Aston, see Ashton Atherton, Ellen, iv. 119 --- Henry, v. 71 - Rich., iv. 226

Atkins, Nathaniel, v. 167

Atkinson, Christr., iv. 217

— Henry, v. 87

— Miles, iv. 51; v. 167

— Rich., v. 145

— see also Miller
Auckliff, see Aldcliffe
Audland, Ann, v. 167

— Will. (agent for sequestrations), iv. 30, 32; v. 71

Aughton (Aighton, near Ormskirk), iv. 143, 150, 163, 164, 203

— minister of, iv. 143, 144

— see Aighton
Aylett, Robt., iv. 209; v. 94, 106

Aynsworth, see Ainsworth
Ayre, Ayray, see Eyre

#### В

(Bacchouse), Rich., Backhouse v. 194 — Thos., v. 5 Badby, Edw., v. 32\* Badsbury (in Myerscough), iv. Bagshaw, F., v. 79, 85 Bailey (Bayley, Bayly), Henry, V. 155 - Thos., iv. 11, 12, 37\*, 109, 138; v. 4, 5, 45, 147, 205 Bailton (Baylton), Will., v. 9, 169 Baines (Banes, Baynes), Fras., v. 145, 146 — John, iv. 51; v. 167 — Lieut.-Col. John, iv. 185 — Thos., iv. 185 — Will., v. 25, 169, 193 Balderston (Bolderston), iv. 239, 240\*; v. 106, 201 Baldwen, Thos., v. 167 Baldwin (Baulden), Will., v. 60, 61, 204 Balk, see Bulk Ball, Jennet, v. 167 Ballard, Nich., v. 110 — Will., v. 168 Bamber, Edward, v. 168 — Jennet, v. 168 Richard, v. 168 — Capt. Roger, v. 168 Bamford, Edward, v. 168 Bamforth, Edward, iv. 50\*; v. 168 Bamfurlong, iv. 12\*; v. 167 Banester (Banister, Bannister), Anne, v. 168

Banester (Banister, Bannister), Christr., v. 72-74, 168, 178 - Henry, iv. 36\*-38\* - Rich., iv. 69; v. 168 Thos., v. 168 Bank, iv. 36, 38 Banks (Bankes), Christr., v. 122 Rich., v. 155 — Thos., v. 122 - Will., iv. 1\*, 3\*, 5, 6; 192 Banner, Will., v. 41, 67 Bare (Baire), v. 196 Barker, Alex., v. 168 James, v. 163 n., 168
John, v. 168 — Šam., v. 175 - Thos., v. 168\* Barking, iv. 87 Barlow, Rob., v. 168 Barniker), Barnacre (Barnaker, iv. 24, 26\*, 51, 129 Barnbow (Yorks), v. 191 Barnes, George, v. 169 - Humphrey, iv. 39 — John, iv. 49; v. 26 — Randle, v. 169 - Robert, v. 204 — Thos., v. 169 — Will, iv. 49; v. 193 (? Banes) Barnstaple, surrender of, v. 35 Barrington, v. 173 Barron (Baron), David, v. 169 Edward, v. 60, 61, 204 - Laurence, v. 169 - Matthew, v. 30, 31 — Robt., v. 60, 63, 169 - Roger, iv. 64 - Will., iv. 63 Barrost, Thos., v. 155 Barrow (in Furness), v. 158\* Barrow, Hugh, iv. 89\*, 90 — Mr., v. 43 Barrowflat (Hale), iv. 14 Barton (nr. Halsall), iv. 168, 174 Barton (nr. Preston), iv. 23, 27, 28\*, 39, 40 Barton (-on-Irwell), iv. 78-85Barton, Eliz., v. 169 — Hugh, v. 169 — John, iv. 68 — Thos., iv. 39, 40; v. 24, 150 William, minister of St. John Zachary's, iv. 43, 44, 149, 157, 176, 177, 195, 196, 201\*, 218\*,

235\*, 236; v. 49, 69\*, 105,

106, 111, 162, 163\*

Bate, Christopher, iv. 2, 6; v. 169 -- John, v. 169 Bateson, Anthony, iv. 232 Battersbie, Geo., v. 169 Batty, Rich., iv. 51; v. 169 Bauilden, see Baldwin Bawn, Nath., iv. 175 Baxter, Chas., v. 169 Bay—, see Bai— Beale, Robt., v. 32 Becconsall, iv. 36, 38 Beckingham, Rowland, iv. 184; v. 169 Beesley, Anthony, v. 170 — Ellen, v. 170 — Geo., v. 170 James, v. 170 - John, v. 170 — Margt., v. 6, 7\*, 8, 202 - Thos., v. 170 - Will., v. 170 Bell, Will., clerk, iv. 154\* Bellingham, James, iv. 44 Bennett, Philip, v. 144 Benson, Robt., v. 28 Bentons (in Sussex), iv. 151\* Berners, John, iv. 163 Berry, Hen., v. 1 Beswick (Bexwicke), iv. 200\* Bewsey (near Warrington), iv. 16 Bexwicke, see Beswick Bibby (Bibbie), Henry, iv. 63 Oliver, iv. 63\*, 64; v. 55 Biccursteth, Thos., iv. 105 Bickerstaffe, iv. 202, 203\*; 170 Bickson, John, v. 170 Biddolph and Osborn, iv. 202 Billinge, iv. 66\*; v. 170, 191 Billinge, Ric., v. 170 Billington, v. 182 Bindloss, Fras., v. 170 — Sir Robt., iv. 132, 232 Binley, Robt., iv. 171 Birch (Birche), Thos., iv. 85, 88, 199, 204; v. 136 Col. Thos., iv. 85, 224 Birchall, Jeffrey, v. 141, 142\* Birch ey (in Billinge), v. 141 Birkenhead, see Burtonhead Birley, Rob., v. 169 Birtwisle, Thos., v. 170 Bispham (in Leyland), iv. 212, 213 Bispham, John, iv. 170 Blackburn, iv. 64; v. 10, 12 examinations at, iv. 114 par. of, v. 148\*, 149, 151, 207 - report from, v. 12, 17, 22

212 INDEX.

Blackburne (Blackborn), Anne,	Bollon (-le-Sands), iv. 135; v. 17
v. 170	— rectory, v. 177
— Edward, v. 25, 170	Bolton (Boulton), Adam, iv. 100
— John, v. 170	101*, 102
— Mawdlen, v. 170	— Ralph, iv. 56
- Richard, iv. 2, 6; v. 170,	— Ric., v. 171
183, 184	— Rob., v. 190
— Robt., v. 133, 170	Bonde, Will., v. 28
— Seth, v. 175	Booth, Sir George, v. 193
— Thos., v. 179	— Col. John, v. 69*
— Will., iv. 239, 240*; v. 170,	— Ric., v. 171
201	Boothe, Hen., iv. 50*
Blackburnshire, stewardship of,	Bootle, Henry, v. 171
iv. 150, 167	— John, v. 171 — Thos., iv. 161
Blackhall, iv. 139	— Thos., iv. 161
Blackrod (Black Road), iv. 29,	— Will., v. 171 Bordman, Will., iv. 198
64, 195, 230; v. 143	Bordman, Will., iv. 198
Blacoe, Thos., v. 171	Borton, John, iv. 213
Blagrave, David, iv. 179	Bosevile, Godfrey, v. 116
Blaire, Col., v. 63	Bostocke, Thos., iv. 215*
Blakey, Simon, v. 171	Boteler, see Butler
Blanchard, Robt., v. 110	Botton, iv. 179
Blaye, Thos., v. 171	Boulton, see Bolton
Bleasdale (Blithsdale), v. 30, 31,	Bourne, John, v. 29
32	Bowan, Henry, iv. 43 Bower, Will., v. 171*
Bleasdall, Alex., v. 171	Bower, Will., v. 171*
Blithsdale, see Bleasdale	Bowers, Fras., v. 196
Blount, John, iv. 138, 139	Bowker, Adam, v. 171
Blundell, Anne, v. 171	— Edward, v. 172 — John, v. 155
Henry, v. 171	— John, v. 155
— Humphrey, v. 171	— Peter, v. 172
- Margaret, v. 171	Bowland (Bolland), iv. 235
— Rich., iv. 162	Bowles, Alice, v. 183
— Rob., iv. 102, 156; v. 125*,	Bowter, Thos., iv. 164 Brabin, Thos., v. 172
171*, 176	Brabin, Thos., V. 172
— Will., iv. 102, 156, 158, 171	Brade, John, v. 172*
Blundell and Bostocke, iv. 202	Bradinge, —, v. 130
Boardman, Peter, v. 171	Bradkirk, v. 5–9* Bradley, Elizabeth, v. 172
Bod(?)court, iv. 151	
Boden, Samuel, iv. 34; v. 171,	— James, v. 172* — Mark, v. 187
183	
Bold Arthur iv 54 74	— Roger, iv. 172 Bradshaw (Bradshaigh, Brad
Bold, Arthur, iv. 54, 74 — Ellen, iv. 119	shawe), J., iv. 21, 85, 199, 230
— Rich., iv. 118	— Capt. James, iv. 67
- Will., iv. 119; v. 66	- las v. 111
Bolderston, see Balderston	— Jas., v. 141 — John, iv. 204, 226; v. 80*
Bolland, see Bowland	136, 172
Bolton (Cumbld.), iv. 44, 45	— Col. John, iv. 224*
Bolton (-le-Moors), iv. 196*, 198,	- John, lord president, iv. 16
218*, 223	169, 178*, 179, 188*, 229, 230
attacks upon, v. 58, 60, 61,	— Peter, iv. 165
63	— Rich., v. 114, 142
— capture of, iv. 224	— Roger, iv. 64, 65, 67*; v. 44
- order dated at, iv. 204	172, 176, 195
— vicar of, iv. 224	— Will., v. 172
Bolton, Great, iv. 122	— Capt., v. 112
— Little, iv. 121*, 122*, 224*	— Mr., iv. 169, 171
	·

Bradshaw, Mr. Serjeant, iv. 187 Braggar's Tenement (in Ribbleton), iv. 38 Braide, John, iv. 49 Braithwait, John, clerk, iv. 43 Brand, Edward, v. 172 James, iv. 142Will, v. 194 Brandling, Sir Fras,. v. 187 Brands, Edward, iv. 49, 51 Braye, Nich., iv. 76 Brerecliffe, iv. 115 Brerecliffe, Lawr., iv. 115 Breres, —, iv. 195 - Alex., iv. 54, 207-209; — Henry, iv. 198 — Lawrence, v. 172 — Roger, v. 51 Brereton, Peter (counsel to the Committee for Compounding), iv. 48, 128, 140, 143, 163, 175, 205, 206, 214, 230, 232, 233; v. 8, 21, 26\*, 43, 48\*, 78, 92, 139, 144, 150, 167, 179 - reports by, iv. 48, 53, 143, 162, 164, 205, 229, 232; V. 20, 43, 48, 67, 92, 149 Bretherton, iv. 223, 235, 236; v. 170, 172, 206 Bretherton, John, v. 163 n., 172 Brettargh (Bretteridge), Nehemiah, iv. 157, 161, 162, 164, 226 Bretteridge, see Brettargh Brewer, Jane, v. 172 — Thos., v. 172 Brewster, R., iv. 182 Brianson (Bryanston), John, iv. 164; **v. 11**0 Brickett (Ince), iv. 9 Bridgeman, Anne, v. 172 — Edward, v. 173, 180 — Sir Orlando, iv. 223; v. 62 Bridges, Henry, iv. 151 Brindle, iv. 2; v. 178, 183 Brinscall (in Wheelton), v. 190 Broadfield (in Over Wyresdale), Broadhalgh (in Livesey), iv. 110 Brockholes, Augustine, v. 173 Dorothy, v. 132 John, v. 132, 173 — Mary, v. 173 — Thos., iv. 26\*; v. 173\* Brookfield, Margt., v. 173 Broomfield, see Melling Broughton (near Preston), v. 179, 184, 189

Broughton (in Furness), v. 181 Broughton, John, iv. 99\*; 47, 48, 152, 174 Brown (Browne), Edward, v. 173
— John, clerk of the Parliament, iv. 152, 159 Ralph, v. 60, 173, 204 - Thos., iv. 11, 12; v. 5 - Will., v. 60, 173, 204 - Mr., v. 1.17\* Brownlow, Randal, v. 173 Brownsword, Will., v. 179 Bryanston, see Brianson Bryars, Robt., iv. 148 Bryning, iv. 135Brynn (Brinn), iv. 2, 12, 102 Brynyvoile (co. Merioneth), iv. 97 Budden, Joseph, v. 85 Bulk (Balk), iv. 136 Bulloigne, Thos., iv. 163, 164 Burell, see Orrell Burgh (near Chorley), iv. 13; v. 96, 135-137 Burne, John, v. 25\*, 170 Burnley, iv. 195 Burnley Wood, v. 155\* Burrowes, John, v. 173 Burscough, iv. 127\*, 213\* Burscough, Gilbert, iv. 50\*; v. 173\* Petcr, iv. 240 Burton (-in-Lonsdale), iv. 194; V. 199 Burton, Bryan, iv. 185, 186\*, 187 John, v. 171 — Margt., v. 171 Burtonhead (Birkenhead, in Sutton), iv. 105, 107; v. 40 field names, iv. 107 Burtonwood, iv. 119 Bury, iv. 79, 205 free school, iv. 204 Bushell, Eliz., iv. 196 — Seth, iv. 19б-198 Butler (Boteler), Edward, iv. 203\*; V. 173\* - Eliz., v. 173 - Henry, v. 134; v. 173\*, 174 James, v. 174 — John, iv. 142\*; v. 132 — Thos., v. 174 -- Will., v. 174 Butterworth, Alex., v. 174 – Edward, iv. 21, 24, 75, 100, 104, 153, 199, 224, 226, 239 — Capt., v. 142

Byrom, iv. 126

102, 103, 105, 106\*

Byrom, Adam, v. 174, 177 Chadderton (Gaderton) mill, v. 100 Chadwick (Cadwicke), Edward, — Edward, v. 174 - Hen., iv. 94, 95, 97\*, 126 iv. 61, 62 — Ellen, iv. 62 Challoner, Thos., v. 175 Chamber (Bolton), iv. 198 — John, v. 99, 102, 103, 174 — Mary, v. 99 - Ralph, v. 174 Chamber (in Pendle), v. 155 Byron, Lord, v. 174 Charly, see Chorley Charnley, Henry, v. 175 Hugh, v. 175 Oliver, v. 175 Charnock, Anne, v. 175 Cabus, iv. 140, 141, 143 — John, iv. 140–143 — Rob., v. 175 — field names, iv. 141 Cadwicke, see Chadwick Calder (Colder in Bleasdale), v. Roger, v. 175 30\*-32\* — Thos., v. 175 Caldwell, Will., v. 167 Calveley, John, v. 174 Charnock Richard, iv. 150; V. 2\*, 3, 182 Calvert, Dorothy, v. 174 Chatburne, v. 155, 156, 163\* Jane, v. 174John, iv. 13 Chawner, Anne. v. 175 John, iv. 133, 137; v. 174\* Cheetham (Chetham), Geo., v. 93, — Rich., iv. 140, 141; v. - Humphrey, iv. 202 174\* Samuel, iv. 4 Cansfield, John, v. 174 Chepham, Ralph, iv. 186 — Sir John, v. 174 — Will., v. 174, 175 Cheshire, v. 174, 193 Carleton, v. 135, 137 Chester, bishop of, v. 88, 91 Carlisle, dean and chapter of, Childwall (Chidwall), v. 134 iv. 131 — church of, iv. 71, 99 Carrall, see Caryll Chilmead, Rob., v. 174 Carrington, Will., iv. 202 Chipping, v. 13\*, 17-20, 22, 23, Carter, Rich., v. 175 37, 38 Cartmel, v. 1, 88, 91, 144\*, 145 - minister of, iv. 41 - church of, v. 144 Chisenhall (Chisnall), Edw., iv. - minister at, v. 144 34; v. 175 - parishioners' petition, v. 91 – Mr., v. 69\* — rectory of, v. 88\*, 89, 91 Chrichlowe, John, v. 176. Cartmel, Eliz., v. 13, 14 Crichlowe Cartmel Fell, chapel of, v. 89, 91 Church Honeybourne (Oniburne), Carus, Thos., iv. 189; v. 175 rectory of, iv. 151, 153 Cary, Mr., iv. 207 Chorley, iv. 128, 218; v. 52, 53, Caryll (Carrall), John, iv. 153 — Sir John, iv. 153 — examination at, iv. 122, 204 — Lady, iv. 151 Casterton, hall, iv. 232 Chorley, ----, iv. 156 Bridget, v. 176\* Cathrall, John, v. 91 Margaret, v. 176 Catlow (in Marsden), iv. 114\*, 190 — Rich., iv. 102; v. 176 Caton, minister of, iv. 217; v. — Rob., v. 176 — Will., iv. 226 Catterall, iv. 140; v. 49, 131, Church (or Church Kirk), iv. 100, 206 235; V. 139, **148**, **152**, 155 Catterall, John, v. 175 — field names, v. 148 — Peter, v. 184 Clackton, see Claughton - Rob., v. 175 Clapham (Yorks.), v. 177 Cawthorne, Christr., v. 28 Clarkson (Clerkson), Leonard, v. Ceer, Robt., v. 28 14, 20\*, 21\* Chadderton (Gaderton), v. 100, — Nich., v. 92\*

- Rich., v. 28

Clarkson (Clerkson), Thos., iv. 49, 51, 145-147 ; v. 176 Claughton (Cleighton, Cloughton, in Am.), v. 26, 28, 37, 133, 173 Claughton (Clackton, in Lonsdale), iv. 29, 180, 183 minister of, iv. 182 Claxton, Will., iv. 193 Clayden (in Manchester), iv. 200 Claythorpe, iv. 131 Clayton |? le Woods], iv. 120 Clayton, Mr., v. 27, 28 - Thos., jun., v. 27, 28 — Thos., v. 28\* Cleeveley, v. 40 Cleighton, see Claughton Clerkson, see Clarkson Cliffe House (in Much Woolton), iv. 98 Clifton, —, iv. 156 — Dorothy, v. 176 - Gervase, iv, 108\*, 109; 176 — John, v. 55, 176 — Capt. Lawrence, iv. 108 — Thos., iv. 35; v. 176 Clitheroe (Clidderowe), v. 176 - castle, v. 10, 154 - garrison of, v. 154 - minister of, v. 154 — stewardship of, iv. 150, 167 tenants, v. 171, 176 Clitherow, Rich., iv. 76 Cloughton, see Claughton Cobb, Will., iv. 135-137\*; v. 198 Cockerham (Cockerholme), iv. 51, 133; v. 92, 174, 178 Coke, Kath., v. 177 Coldar, see Calder Collier, Jas., v. 176, 177 Collyhurst, iv. 201 Colne, iv. 115; v. 155\* – minister of, v. 176 Colwich (Staffs.), iv. 234 n. Comberhalgh (in Whittingham), v. 180 Commission for Managing questered Estates, iv. 175 Commission for removing structions, iv. 105, 148; v. 6, 23, 101, 104 Committee for advance of money (Haberdashers' Hall), v. 114, 115, 120 Committee for Approbation of Preachers, iv. 195

Committee for Compounding (Goldsmiths' Hall and later Haberdashers' Hall), passim Committee for Petitions, iv. 58 Committee of the Army, v. 101 Committee of Plundered Ministers, iv. 35, 150, 181\*, 182; v. 86, 89 Compton, Geo., v. 177 Sir Henry, v. 177 Dame Mary, v. 177 Conney, Philip, v. 110 - Will., iv. 105 Cooke, Adam, iv. 198 - Col. Edward, v. 78-80, 84, 85\* — Henry, v. 116 John, v. 98Rich., iv. 198 - Rob., iv. 79\*-82, 84\*, 85 — Sarah, v. 98 — Will., iv. 202 Cooling, James, v. 177 — Thurstan, iv. 218; v. 177 (Cowling) Cooper, Hugh, v. 194 — Thos., v. 28 Copeland, Lawr., iv. 135, 138; v. 177 – Rob., v. 177 Cople, widow, iv. 162 Coppull (Cople), iv. 34, 60, 61; v. 56, 135, 140\*, 157 — mill, v. 140 Cordwell, Cuthbert, v. 194 Corless, John, v. 27, 28, 29 Cornes, Rich., iv. 76 Cornowe, Rich., iv. 42 Corthlong (in Wiswall), iv. 110, III Cottam, Jennet, v. 177 — Rich., v. 177 — Thos., v. 177 Cottington, Lord, iv. 158 Cotum (?), iv. 151 Couldocke, Robt., v. 110 Cowley, Humphrey, v. 141 — Will., v. 177 Cowling, see Cooling Cowper, Henry, v. 124 Crackenthorpe, iv. 131 Craggs (Pendle), v. 155 Craven, Rich., v. 42\* Robt., v. 177 Creane, Robt., v. 137 Crew, John, v. 82 Crichlowe, Will., v. 177. See also Chrichlowe, Croychlowe

Croft, Gilbert, iv. 119; v. 177, - John, iv. 73\*-75; v. 195 Crompton, v. 93 Cromwell, Oliver, Lord Protector, iv. 87, 174 n., 175 Cronton, v. 41, 67 Crooke, Anne, iv. 110, 111 — Geo., v. 176, 177, 178 James, iv. 110John, v. 177, 178 - Will., v. 177, 178\* Cropper, Ellen, v. 194 — Eliz., v. 178 -- Grace, iv. 49, 51 — Mary, v. 194 — Peter, v. 178 Crosby, iv. 33, 93 — Great, iv. 150, 162\*, 164 — *Little*, iv. 102, 147, 156, 158 Crosford bridge, iv. S2 Crosland, Bridget, v. 178 — Sir John, v. 178 — Jordan, v. 178 Crosse, Eliz., iv. 47, 100 James, iv. 143
John, iv. 23, 24\*, 27\*, 28\*, 47, 65, 66, 100, 143; v. 5, 46, 178 — Rich., iv. 67 — Thos., iv. 144 Croston, iv. 51, 78\*, 93, 206\*, 208\*, 213; v. 160, 161 - field names, iv. 206 Croston, Ric., v. 178 Crouch, Gilbt., v. 158, 174 Croucke, Jas., v. 60, 62, 204 Croxteth, iv. 149, 154, 161, 164 - Park, iv. 149, 163 — plundered, iv. 161 Croychlowe (Crouchley), Rich., iv. 50\*; v. 178. See Crichlowe Cuerdale (Cuerdall), v. 124 Cuerden, iv. 239, 240\* poor of, v. 178, 201 Culcheth, v. 192 Culcheth, Roger, iv. 56 — Thos., v. 178 Cumber and, v. 78, 79 - Commissioners of, v. 78 Cunliffe, Nic. (sequestrator), iv. 24\*, 37, 53, 55, 75, 92, 96, 104, 138, 172; v. 25, 30, 34, — Robt. (sequestrator), iv. 12 and passim Cunny, Will., iv. 105

Curren, Kath., v. 178

Curren, Margt., v. 178
— Thos., v. 178
Curwen, James, iv. 141\*, 142
— Will., v. 29

D Dalling, George, v. 123 Dallison, E., v. 116 — Martin, v. 120 Dalton, v. 178 Dalton (Wigan), iv. 199, 213; v. 167, 168 Dalton(-in-Furness), v. 85-88, 96\*, - minister of, v. 85, 86 — rector of, v. 86 — tithes of, v. 85-87 Dalton, Elizabeth, v. 179 — Ellen, v. 179 — John, v. 179 — Kath., v. 179 Robert, v. 179 - sisters, v. 179 — Thos., iv. 136; v. 179 Damhouse (in Huyton), iv. 68 Dandy, Henry, v. 179 — Jane, v. 179 Daneford, Thos., v. 141 Daniel, Edw., v. 179 Danson, John, v. 179 — Thos., v. 22, 179 Darby, see Derby or West Derby Darwen, Over, iv. 238 Darwen, Robt., v. 110 Davenport, Thos., v. 142 Davies, John, iv. 49; v. 193 Deane, Anne, iv. 110 Edward, iv. 54; v. 179 George, iv. 54 Henry, iv. 110 — Thos., v. 66 Denbighshire, v. 174 Denis, John, v. 179 Denton (Manchester), v. 105 Denton (Widnes), v. 195 Denton, Edw., iv. 145\*, 147\*; V. 179 — John, v. 179 and Woods, v. 179 Derby, see West Derby Derby, Countess Dowager of, iv.

Derby, Earl of, iv. 79\*-82\*, 128,

156, 157, 236; v. 58\*-63, 69\*,

77, 78, 98, 112, 128, 161, 169,

179, 180, 183\*, 186, 187, 194\*

Derby, William, Earl of, v. 5. 8 Devonshire, Lord, v. 180 Dewhurst, Eliz., v. 10 — John, v. 180 Robert, v. 180 - Thos., v. 10 — Will., v. 180 Dicconson, Edw., v. 137 - Hugh, v. 2, 180 — Will., v. 49, 160 — Mr., v. 147 Dickenson, Henry, v. 180 Dikes, Edw., v. 28 Dilworth, Eliz., v. 180 Dimples (in Garstang), v. 64\* Dinckley, v. 42\* Ditchfield, —, iv. 19, 22 - Edw., v. 180 — John, iv. 74, 105\*, 106 Ditton, iv. 14, 19, 22, 203; v. 159 Dobson, Hugh, v. 180 — Robt., v. 19 Docker (in Whittington), iv. 231, 232 Dod, Thos., v. 49 Dodding, Col., iv. 185 Dormer, Lady, iv. 153 Doughty, Eliz., v. 180 - Henry, v. 180 Dover, iv. 97 Downham, iv. 238 Dowson, Éliz., v. 180 Drake, John, v. 94 Drinkell, Thomas, clerk, iv. 180 Driver, Edw., v. 28 Dublin, surrender of, iv. 131\*-133; v. 188 Duckett, ——, iv. 186\* Duckworth, Thos., iv. 88; 154 Ducy, Robt., v. 182 Dumsker, John, iv. 218 Dunderdale, v. 190 Dunkenhalgh, iv. 102 Durslett (near Warton), iv. 131, Durton (near Preston), v. 179 Duxbury, v. 52\*, 53, 135 Duxbury, Lawrence, v. 72, 73 — Mabel, v. 72, 73 Dwarrihouse, Will., iv. 222; v. 65

E

Eccles, iv. 79\*, 83, 84\* rectory, v. 166 vicar of, iv. 84; v. 166 Eccleston, v. 182 Eccleston (Ecclestown, in Fylde), iv. 42 Eccleston (in Leyland), iv. 34 n., 68, 150; v. 4, 168 Eccleston (near Knowsley), iv. 109 Eccleston (Ekleston), Henry, v. Ralph, v. 180 — Thos., iv. 226; v. 180 — Will., v. 126, 129, 180 Edge, Israel, v. 112 — James, v. 112 Edgecombe, Piers, v. 106 Edgehill, battle of, iv. 80 Edgeworth, Anne, v. 180 — John, v. 180 Edinburgh, letter dated at, iv. 87 Edmundson, Anne, iv. 187 — James, iv. 187 — John, v. 40 — Rich., iv. 217; v. 10 — Rob., v. 180

Eccles, Benj., v. 180

Edwardson, Rich., v. 110 — Rob., iv. 146\*, 148 Egburgh, see Aigburth Egerton, Peter, iv. 21, 24, 75, 88, 100, 104, 230; v. 17, 22, 136, 181, 205 Elizabeth, Queen, lease by, v. 29, Ellam, Edmund, iv. 126, 127

— Henry, iv. 126 Ellaston (Staffs.), v. 13, 15 Ellel (Ellal, Ellil), iv. 103, 106, 150; v. 84, 92, 93 Elleshome, v. 148 Elletson (Ellatson), Geoff., v. 206 — Rob., v. 181 Elsted, Henry, v. 66 Elston, Anne, v. 13, 14 — Robt., v. 13, 14, 190 — Thos., iv. 222

Elswick, iv. 76 Elsyng, Henry, clerk of the House of Commons, iv. 52\*, 113, 159 Eltonhead, Rich., v. 181 Will., iv. 104; v. 196

Esgrigg, Robt., iv. 232 Eskedeer (in Lonsdale), iv. 179 Essex, iv. 191, 192 Commissioners of Sequestra-

tion, iv. 87 Euxton. iv. 150, 162, 164\*, 175;

v. 153\*, 189

- Will., iv. 112, 163

Fearnley, Anne, v. 181

INDEX. Exchequer, barons of, iv. 100; Fell, Thos., iv. 134; v. 80\*, 123, V. 22, 92 144, 175 Fielden, Alex., v. 181 commissioners, iv. 175 Fieldes, John, iv. 182 Exeter, articles of, v. 35 Finch, Eliz., iv. 51; v. 181, 182, Eyre (Ayre, Ayry), Anthony, v. 80\*, 81, 83, 84 Eyves, Ralph, v. 181 — Thos., v. 182 - Rich., v. 181 Fincham, Ralph, v. 182 - Thos., v. 181 Finch House (West Derby), v. Finsthwaite (Finstat), Christr., F V. 144 Fish, James, v. 182 Fairbank (Fairebanck), Anne, iv. - Lawrence, iv. 61 Fisher (Fysher), James, v. 28 - John, iv. 49, 50\*; v. 182 — George, iv. 110 Fishwick, iv. 39\*, 40, 150; v. 197 — Ellen, iv. 111 — John, iv. 110, 111 Fleetwood (Fletewood), Edward, Fairfax (Farfax), Sir Thos., iv. v. 135 — Eliz., iv. 234 n. 67, 154; v. 94, 105, 106, 179 — H., iv. 76; v. 136 Famers (in Adlington ?), iv. 231 Henry, v. 123 — Jeffrey, iv. 97; v. 180 Fanshaw, John, v. 30\*, 31, 34, — John, iv. 20, 22; v. 74, 182 -- Thos., iv. 72; v. 32\*, 33\*, Richard, v. 123 35\*, 185, 203\* Sir Rich., v. 182 — Will., v. 32\*, 33\* — Mr., v. 27, 28 Thos., iv. 234 n. — Sir Thos., iv. 14; v. 182 Farington (Farrington), Fleming, Bridget, v. 178 201 John, iv. 228; v. 178, 182 — Peter, iv. 234, 234 n., 235 Farington (Farrington), Henry, v. 89 Fletcher, Henry, v. 110 — Thos., iv. 106, 107; v. 144 — Will., iv. 15\*; v. 74, 181\* Farleton (Pharleton, in Lonsdale), Fletcher and Dickenson, iv. 202 iv. 29, 51, 179 Flookborough (Fluckborough), iv. Farmer, Sir Rich., v. 80 Farnworth (in Widnes), chapel of, - chapel of, v. So iv. 74 Foole, Robt., v. 182 court of, iv. 74 Forbes, Mary, v. 130, 131 Farrand, Roger, clerk, iv. 30 — Col. Will., v. 130, 131\* Farringdon, articles of, iv. 78 Forcett (Yorks.), v. 166 Farrington, see Farington Ford (in Sefton), iv. 203 Ford (Foord, Forth), Will., v. 60, Fawcett, James, v. 187 Fazakerley, iv. 112, 150, 168. 63, 182, 204 169, 174, 226; v. 181 Formby, Robt., iv. 148 Fazakerley House (? Melling), iv. - Mr., iv. 163 162\* Fornesse, see Furness Fortescue, Sir John, v. 182 Fazakerley, ——, iv. 162 — Anne, v. 181 Forth, see Ford — Eliz., iv. 70 Foster, Jane, v. 182 - Kath., v. 181 — Robert, iv. 34 — Margt., v. 181 Fothergill, Mr., iv. 209 — Nich., iv. 112, 156, 163, 165, Fouldrey Roadstead (Furness), v. 181\* Fouler, Jas., iv. 142 Fowle, Thos., v. 143, 166, 192, - Robt., iv. 226; v. 181 — Thos., v. 181

205

Fowler, Walter, v. 182

Fox, Kath., v. 28 - widow, v. 28 Foxcroft, Henry, v. 183 Fox Denton (in Chadderton), v. 98-100, 102, 103, 105, 106 Foxhouse (in Maghull), iv. 163 Foxley, Sam., iv. 60, 194 France, iv. 97 Francis, Rob., v. 15\* French, Matthew, iv. 25, 207, Friars (in Lancaster), iv. 136, 137 Frith Hall (in Cartmel), v. 88 Fullerton, John, iv. 68; v. 175, 204 Fulwood, iv. 39, 100\* - field names, iv. 101 Furness (Fornesse), iv. 47; 75, 76, 84\* — lord of, v. 158 - war in, v. 117

G Gaderton, see Chadderton Gale (? Tatham), iv. 51, 209 Galland Bank (in Alston), 180 Gardner (Gardiner), —, iv. 134 - Gilb., v. 10 John, iv. 49; v. 183
Robt., v. 183
Thos., iv. 180; v. 10 - Will., iv. 142; v. 25, 40 Garnett, Will., v. 196 Garside, Gabriel, v. 183 Garstang (Garston), iv. 39, 92\*, 140; v. 25, 64 — church of, iv. 92, 141 parish clerk, iv. 141, 142 — see Garston Garstang, Thos., v. 183 Garston (Garstange), iv. 47, 98-100, 174, 227, 228; v. 65, 134, 135, 196 - see Garstang Garter, Robt., iv. 188\*, 189 Garton, Robt., iv. 184 Gascoigne, Thos., v. 191 Gaskell, John, iv. 85 Gate, Thos., iv. 171 Gee, Edward, iv. 34; v. 183 Gellibrand, Thos., iv. 196, 198 Gerard (Garrard, Gerrard), Anne, v. 184 - Charles, v. 183

- Edward, v. 183

Gerard (Garrard, Gerrard), Lady Elizabeth, v. 183 — Evan, v. 55 — Gilbert, Lord, v. 145 — Henry, iv. 120; v. 24, 183\* — James, iv. **34**; v. 183 — John, v. 183 — Ratcliffe, v. 183 Richard, iv. 10, 12; v. 183 — Thos., v. 183\* Thos., Lord, iv. 130 — Will., v. 184 — Sir William, iv. 2, 6, 67, 102, 156; v. 184 Gervas' tenement (in Kirkland), v. 132 Gervys (Gervos), Eliz., v. 29 — Will., v. 29, 146 - see also Jarvis Gibson, Sam., v. 69\* Gidlow, Thos., v. 112 Gill, William, iv, 47, 100 Girlington, John, v. 184\* — Sir John, iv. 176; v. 184 Dame Kath., v. 184 - Ric., v. 184 — Mrs., v. 191 Girsby (Yorks.), v. 174 Glave, Anne, v. 184 - Geo., v. 184 — Margt., v. 184 — Thos., v. 184 Glazebrook (Glasbrook), iv. 207 Gloucester, garrison of, v. 150 n. Gloucestershire, commissioners for, iv. 189; v. 160 n. Glover, Will., iv. 4, 11, 12; V. 60, 204 Goddard, Thos., v. 35 Golborne, v. 44 Goldshaw, see Gouldesley Gooden (near Heywood), iv. 204 Gooden, Thos., v. 184\* Gooken, Sam., iv. 68; v. 4, 11 Goolden, Thos., v. 184 Goore, see Gore Goose, John, iv. 140 Goosnargh, iv. 13, 29, 32, 139; v. 19, 36, 40, 64 Goost, Rob., v. 184 Gore (Goore, Goure), Edw., iv. 164; v. 184 — John, v. 184 — Řic., v. 110 Gorehouse (or, Old Gore), iv. 145,

Gorsenagh (? Gorehouse), iv. 145

Gorsuch, James, v. 185

Haigh (Hey), iv. 67\*; v. 24, 42, Gorton, v. 105 Gouldesley (? Goldshaw, Pendle), 44, 161, 162\* Haigh, Will., v. 162 v. 155 Gradell, Eliz., v. 185 Haighton, v. 45, 192 Grandison, Lord, iv. 82 Hale, iv. 14, 47; v. 186 Grange (in Woolton), - field-names, iv. 14 iv. 99; Halebank, iv. 14 v. 48 — see Alt Grange Grant, Dorothy, v. 185 Grassendale (Gressendale), v. 65 Graunt, Thos., v. 26 Halliwell, iv. 196 Graves, Mr., iv. 105 Halliwell, John, v. 185\* — Will., v. 55 Green (Greene), Alex., iv. 82 - Ann, v. 145, 146\* Blakeley, v. 155 Melling) Edward, iv. 97 (Heath Hall-on-the-Hill George, iv. 1, 2, 4\*-6; v. 185 nock), v. 107 — James, v. 185 — Ric., iv. **49**; v. 173, 185\* Halsall, iv. 34 n. Halsall, Cuthbert, iv. 164; — Roger, iv. 72; v. 145-147, 185 185, 195 Jas., iv. 105 — Will., v. 155 — Řich., v. 9\* — Will., iv. 40 Greenhalgh, castle, iv. 32, 76 Halsworth, John, iv. 61 siege, iv. 76 Greenhalgh, John, v. 185 — Thos., iv. 61, 62; v. 185 — Thos., v. 185 Greenhaw, John, v. 155 Halton Park, iv. 184 Hamer, Rich., v. 185 Hamersley, Thos., v. 116 Hamilton, Marquis of, v. 115 Greenshaw, Will., v. 155 Gregson, Christopher, v. 194 James, iv. 40Jane, iv. 40John, v. 185 Hammond, Giles, iv. 114 — John, v. 176, 186 Hampshire, iv. 97 — Rich., v. 185 Hamson, Will., iv. 228 Robert, iv. 40 Gregson and Taylor, iv. 201 v. 155 Hancock, John, v. 186 Gressendale, see Grassendale Gressingham, iv. 179, 180 Hankinson, Ellen, v. 186 Hanson, Thos., iv. 153 — minister of, iv. 41 Hard, Geo., iv. 69 Grice, John, v. 98 Grimshaw, Ellen, v. 185 Hardman, Jas., iv. 190 — Thos., v. 185 Grimston, Har[bottle], iv. 183 Hards, Thos., iv. 235 Grindleton (Yorks.), v. 6 Hargrave, John, v. 155 Harling, Miles, iv. 39 Harper, John, clerk, iv. 224 Grinstead, West (Sussex), iv. 151 Grizedale (Grisdale), v. 25, 26 Guest, John, v. 185 — Thos., iv. 63\*, 64; v. 55 Harrington, Anne, v. 186 Gurdon, John, v. 116 Margaret, iv. 168\*, 169 Gurnall, Rob., v. 185 — Rob., iv. 65 — Will., iv. 66 Harris, Christopher, v. 186 Н

Habberley, Geo., iv. 136 Habersley, Rob., iv. 49 Habington, Will., iv. 178, 180 Haddocke, Haidock, see Haydock Hagg, v. 148

Halgh (Houghe, in Bolton), v. 141\*, 142 Hall, Will., iv. 215 Hall of the Wood, see Wood (in Char-Hamston Cliff (Chamberin Pendle), Havesnapes (in Croston), iv. 206, — John, iv. 178, 180 — Rich., iv. 10 Harrison, Edw., v. 27, 28, 29

— Eliz., v. 186

John, iv. 184; v. 186

— Geo., v. 29 Henry, iv. 100, 101\*

Harrison, Sir John, v. 186 Margaret, v. 186 — Peter, iv. **34**, v. 186 - Rich., iv. 207; v. 186 - Thos., iv. 47 (Hamson), 100; v. 186\* → Will., v. 186 Harrobin, John, iv. 218 Harsnepp, Anne, v. 186 Hartley, Alex., iv. 115 Harvey, Sir Will., v. 201 Harvye, John, v. 60, 204 Harwood (near Bolton), iv. 196; V. 141 Harwood, Great, iv. 233 Harwood House (in Goosnargh), iv. 29, 31, 32 Hasty, Thos., iv. 228 Haswell, John, iv. 63 Hathornthwaite, Henry, iv. 30, 31, 32 Hatton, Will., v. 54 Haugh, Geo., iv. 122 Haughton, see Westhoughton Haulgh, Rob., v. 187 Hawarden, Edw., iv. 54 — John, v. 187 Hawkshead, iv. 44, 45 - minister of, iv. 44 *Hawksole* (in Pendle), v. 155 Haword, Henry, v. 187 Haworth, Rich., iv. 85, 224; v. 136 — see Howard Hay, William, v. 87 Haydock (Haddock, Haidock), Henry, iv. 214\*-216\* - Oliver, v. 55 - Roger, iv. 61\*, 62; v. 185 — William, iv. 61 — widow, iv. 62 Hayton, see Huyton Hayworth, Thos., iv. 51 Heape, Thos., v. 187 Heapey, iv. 231; v. 50 Hearle, Charles, v. 182, 187 Hearon, Anne, v. 187 Heath Charnock, iv. 217, 218 Heaton (near Lancaster), v. 173 Heaton, Evan, iv. 93\* Heblethwaite, Robt., iv. 176\* Heighton, see Huyton Helme, Isabel, v. 13, 14, 20\*, 21\*, 202 — Robt., v. 187 - Thos., v. 13, 14, 19-21\*, 202 Helmes, Frances, v. 187 Heloford, see Hollowforth Hemley, P., iv. 153

Hendley, see Hindley Heneage, Edw., v. 190 Hengrave (Suff.), v. 201 Hennhill (Ince), iv. 9 Herefordshire, v. 183 Herford, Geo., iv. 50 Heritage, Margery, v. 187 Heron, Geo., iv. 200 Herring, Michael, iv. 50 Hertford, Lord, v. 181 Hesketh, iv. 36, 38 Hesketh, Ann, iv. 213 - Bartholomew, iv. 143; — Gabriel, v. 40, 187 - Holcroft, v. 124 Kath., v. 187 — Rich., v. 187 - Robt., v. 123-125, 187, 188\*, 190\* — Roger, v. 187 — Thos., iv. 206\*, 208\*; 110, 125, 186\*, 188\* 190 — Will., iv. 162, 164; v. 188\*, Heskin, iv. 51; v. 2, 191 Heskin, John, v. 55 Hest Bank, v. 167 Hestorne, Lawr., iv. 204 Heversham, v. 88 Hey, Ellis, v. 188\* — Mary, v. 188 Hey, see Haigh Heyford (Northants), v. 75, 76 Heyhouses (Heighowses), iv. 233 Heysham (Heesham), iv. 51, 135 Heywood, Peter, v. 188 Higgins Lane (in Burscough), iv. Higham Moor, v. 192 Higham, Eliz., v. 188 Highfield (in Warton), iv. 136, 137, 138 Hill, Robt., v. 110 — Will., v. 188 Hilton, John, v. 188 — Robt., iv. 204 Hincaster (Hencaster), iv. 131 Hinckloe, Sir Ralph, iv. 151 Hinde, Rich., v. 188 Hindley (Hyndley), iv. 56, 59, 196; v. 107, 142, 153, 162 – common, v. 62 Hindley (Hendley), John, iv. 157, 159; V. 142\* Nicholas, iv. 10 — Robt., iv. 2, 10, 12; v. 60, 204

222 INDEX.

Hindley (Hendley), Roger, iv. 88\*, Holliday, John, v. 189 89; v. 142 Hollinfare (Holinsfair), ferry, iv. Hirst, John, iv. 115 Hitches, Francis, v. 60 Hollinhead, James, v. 189 – Will., v. 189 James, v. 204 Holliwell, John, v. 189 Hitchin, Alice, v. 194 Hollowforth (Heloford), iv. 135; — Will, v. 194 Hodges, John, iv. 50\*; v. 188 v. 35 Holme, Anne, v. 189 Hodgkinson, Adam v. 153 Jane, v. 188John, v. 188 Edmund, v. 189 — Ellen, v. 189, 191 Luke, v. 40, 188Mary, iv. 214, 216 — Gilbert, iv. 226; v. 189 Robert, v. 190 Richard, iv. 214\*-216\* — William, v. 189 — Will., iv. 197 — *see* Hulme Hodgson, Geo., iv. 72 Holme Chapel (near Burnley), iv. - Rich., iv. 78\*, 207; v. 188, 189\* 195 — Rob., v. 189 Holt (Holte), Alex., v. 136\* Thos., iv. 162, 164 — Edw., iv. 112, 155\*, 163, 235 — Will., v. 189 Hoggard, Edmund, v. 189 - Peter (sequestrator), iv. 12, 64, 74, 123, 172, 193, 208; v. 22, 53, 60, 104, 160 Hoggrells Field (Newbold, Northants.), v. So Rich., iv. 41; v. 190 - Robt., v. 2, 190 Hoghton (Houghton), Gilbert, — Thos. Posthumus, v. 190 V. 190 - Sir Gilbert, v. 89 Hooker, see Holker Hen., iv. 133; v. 190 Hoole, Much, iv. 120 — Hugh, v. 190 — Lady Jane, v. 188, 190, 197 Hootton, see Hutton Hopwood, Edm., v. 49 — John, v. 2, 4, 191 Hornby, iv. 180; v. 194 - Juett, v. 190 — castle, iv. 178, 179, 184\* — Katherine, v. 89 — fair, iv. 179 - honor and manor, iv. 178, Margaret, v. 191\* — Dame Margaret, v. 191 179, 180, 183, 187-190 — Ralph, v. 191 — mill, iv. 179 — Ratcliffe, v. 191 storming of the castle of, Rich., iv. 227; v. 191Sir Richard, v. 89, 90, 191 iv. 184 Hornby, James, v. 190 — Thos., iv. 120; v. 191 Horrock, John, v. 176 - Will., v. 2, 3\*, 20, 191\* Horsham, iv. 153, 157 — Lady, iv. 211 Horwich, iv. 121\*, 122 Hoghton, see Westhoughton Hothersall, Robt., v. 190 Holcroft, John, iv. 227; v. 95 Hough, Rob., v. 187, 190 — Rich., v. 189 Houghe, see Halgh, Haulgh Hough End (Withington), iv. 199, Holden (Houlden), Andrew, v. 124, 137 James, v. 112 Houghton (fam.), see Hoghton - Rich., iv. 122; v. 189 Houghton, see Westhoughton Ho ker (Hooker, in Cartmel), v. Howard (Haworth), Bryan, v. 191 1\*, 88, 90, 91, 205 — Chas., iv. 238 Holker, Edmund, iv. 141 Howards, Edw., v. 191 Holland, iv. 16 Howarth, Thos., v. 191 Holland, see Upholland Howe, Hen., v. 162 Holland, James, iv. 84; v. 175 Howick (Hoghwick, Hogwick), iv. - Ralph, v. 189 37, 38 Howsman, Leonard, iv. 132 Rich., v. 105, 189\* -- Robt., v. 159 Hoyle, T., iv. 158 Thos., v. 189 Hudson, John, iv. 51; v. 71, 191

Hugh, Thos., iv. 207 Hull, Rich., v. 137 Hulls (Layton), v. 135 Hulme (Manchester), v. 93, 95, Hulme, John, iv. 198 - Robt., v. 110, 191 — Will., v. 157, 191 Hulme Walfield (Holme Walfeild, Ches.), iv. 150 Hulton, Adam, iv. 234n Anne, iv. 234 n. - Beatrice, iv. 234n - Katherine, iv. 234n — William, iv. 234n Hulton Park, iv. 234n Humphrey, Stephen, iv. 159 Hunt, John, v. 192 — Will., v. 110 Hurd, Geo., v. 156, 180 Hurst, Edmund, iv. 198 — John, v. 155 Hutchins, Will., v. 192 Hutt (in Hale), iv. 18 Hutton (Hootton, Penwortham), v. 126\* Hutton, Thos., v. 192 Huyton (Hayton, Heighton), iv. 65, 68 - minister of, iv. 154 petition from, iv. 153 - rectory of, iv. 150, 153\*, 164 Hyde, Katherine, iv. 234n Robert, iv. 85, 234n Hylde, John, iv. 230 Hynde, Simon, iv. 198 Hyndley, see Hindley Ι

Ince Blundell, iv. 102, 156, 162, 172; v. 107-109\*, 125, 171, 198\*
Ince-in-Makerfield (Inst), iv. 2\*-4\*, 7\*, 9\*, 13, 56; v. 60\*, 183, 204
— field names, iv. 9
Ince, Hugh, iv. 5
— James, iv. 4
— John, iv. 2\*-6\*, 10, 12
— Katherine, iv. 4
— Margaret, iv. 5
— Thomas, iv. 1-13; v. 95, 192, 205
— Thomas, jun., iv. 5
Infield (in Claughton), v. 37

Ightenhill (Ighnil), v. 155\*, 156\*

Ingham, Will., iv. 13 Ireby, iv. 184 Ireland (Yeerland), Anne, iv. 15, 21 Edward, iv. 18\*, 20-22 — George, iv. 14; v. 192 — Col. Gilbert, iv. 15\*-23; v. 197 — letter from, iv. 15 Lawrence, iv. 14-23 Margaret, widow, iv. 14-23; V. 192 Margaret, jun., iv. 21 - Will., v. 59, 60, 204 — Mr., iv. 15 Ireton, John, iv. 18, 57

J

Jackson, Bartholomew, iv. 23-2S; v. 192 — Bryan, iv. 25, 26 — John, iv. 28; v. 87 — Richard, iv. **28**; v. 192 — Thos., v. 126 James I, grant by, v. 32 James, *see* Jones Janion (Janyon), George, iv. 29; V. 143, 192 Jarvis (Jarvoise), Thos., v. 87, 116 — *see* Gervis Ienkins (in Essex), v. 32\*, 35 Jenkinson, William, iv. 29, 51; V. 192 Jepson, Elizabeth, iv. 29-32; V. 192 Richard, v. 112 — Thomas, iv. 29–32\*; v. 192 Jessop, Mr., v. 31 Johnson, John, iv. 33; v. 192

— Thomas, minister of Halsall, iv. 34; v. 183, 192

— Thomas, iv. 34, 232; v. 192

— William, iv. 6

Jolly (Joly), Ambrose, v. 60\*, 204

— Thomas, iv. 35; v. 176, 192

— Will., v. 192

Jones (James), John, Vicar of

Lawrence, iv. 33; v. 192
Margaret, iv. 34
Piscarius, iv. 33; v. 192

Eccles, iv. 84, v. 166

Joyfield (in Burtonhead), iv. 107

Jump, Hugh, iv. 36–38\*

— John, iv. 36\*, 37\*

- Will., iv. 36-38; v. 192

— Will., sen., iv. 36

К

Kay, Edward, v. 204 Keighley (Kighly), Hugh, iv. 29-32 - Nathaniel, iv. 29, 31\*, 32; V. 192 Keling, John, v. 15\*, 17 Kellamergh (Kellarmargh, Kelea-Kellemore), iv. margh, 129\*, 135 Kellet, Nether, iv. 126\* Kellet, Over, iv. 135 - mill, iv. 135 - minister of, v. 177 Kellett, Mary, iv. 39, 40 - Richard, iv. 38-40; v. 193 Kelley, Will., v. 102 Kelsall, Humphrey, v. 10 Kempe, Sir Garret, iv. 151 Kempson, Eliz., v. 193 — Will., v. 193 Kendesley, see Kindersley Kenion, Rich., iv. **41**; v. 193 Kerby, Kerkland, sec Kirkby, Kirkland Key, Dennis, v. 7 Kidson, Henry, iv. 41, 180 Kighly, see Keighly Killington (Westmld.), iv. 46 Killtrees (in Cabus), iv. 141, 142 Kilmorey, Eleanor, Lady, iv. 130 — Viscount, iv. 41; v. 193 Kindersley (Kendesley, Kinderley, Kindesley, Kindsley), John, iv. 1, 6, 10 — Thos., iv. 10 — Will., iv. 62; v. 114, 141\*, 142 King, E., iv. 177 — John, iv. **41** Rich., v. 23\*, 193, 194 - Will., v. 144 Kirkby (Kerkbie, Kerkby, near Liverpool), iv. 112\*, 149\*, 163, 165, 168, 225, 226\* Kirkby (Kerby, Kirby), Agnes, iv. 43, 43n., 45 - Anthony, iv. 42; v. 193 - Christopher, iv. 44, 45 — Isabel, v. 176 — John, iv. 42, 44\*, 45\*; v. 193 -- Richard, iv. 42n, **43**-46; V. 193 - Roger, iv. 42 n., 43, 43 n., 44, 45\* - - Thomas, iv. 46; v. 193 - - William, iv. 44, 45

Kirkby Ireleth, iv. 42-45; Kirkby Lonsdale, v. 88 Kirkdale, iv. 150 Kirkham, iv. 23, 24, 26, 33, 35; v. 6n rectory, v. 176 Kirkheaton (Yorks.), v. 204 Kirkland (in Garstang), v. 64, 131–133\* Kitson, Lucy, v. 193 — Thomas, iv. **46**; v. 193 Knight, Mary, iv. 39, 40 — Will., v. 193 Knipe (Knype), John, iv. 47; v. 193 — Will., v. 80\* Knott, John, v. 66 Knowle-hey (in Preston), Knowles, Ellen, v. 184 John, v. 176 — Will., v. 184 Knowsley, iv. 104, 226; v. 194, Knype, see Knipe

L Lafield (? Laselles), Will., v. 193 Laithwaite, John, iv. 6 — Will., iv. 6 Lamplugh, iv. 43 - rector of, iv. 43 Lancashire, admiralship of, iv. 167 butlership of, iv. 150, 167 — cases, iv. 47-52, 53; v. 193 - clerk of the peace, iv. 114 Commissioners for Sequestrations, see Edward Aspinwall, Nicholas Cunliffe, Robert Cunliffe, Peter Holt, Robert Massey, George Pigott, John Sawrey Lancaster, iv. 48, 51\*, 126, 135\*-137, 150, 182, 185; v. 37, 45, 77, 87, 88 — assizes, v. 15, 16, 23 burning of, iv. 52; v. 194 Crown office at, v. 71

examination at, iv. 184

field names, iv. 136

— the Friars, iv. 136, 137 — gaol, iv. 210

— governor of the Castle, v.

letter dated at, v. 71

Lancaster, marshal of, iv. 218  — money voted for, iv. 47, 52; v. 194 — report dated at, v. 151  Lancaster, Duchy and Palatinate: — Chancery Court of, iv. 221, 222 — common pleas, v. 23 — Court Roll of the Honor, iv. 157  Laton, see Layton  Latus, Anne, iv. 71, 72; v. 19  — Will., iv. 140  Laughton, see Lawton  Lawrence, Thos., iv. 43  Lawron (Laughton), Eliz., 72, 73, 74; v. 195* — Henry, iv. 72, 73; v. 195  — John, iv. 72, 73, 73-7	iv. *
— report dated at, v. 151  Lancaster, Duchy and Palatinate:  — Chancery Court of, iv. 221,  222  — common pleas, v. 23  — Court Roll of the Honor, iv.  — Henry, iv. 72, 73; v. 195*  — Henry, iv. 72, 73; v. 195	*
Langhton, see Lawton  — Chancery Court of, iv. 221,  222 — common pleas, v. 23 — Court Roll of the Honor, iv.  Laughton, see Lawton  Lawrence, Thos., iv. 43  Lawton (Laughton), Eliz.,  72, 73, 74; v. 195*  — Henry, iv. 72, 73; v. 195	*
222	*
222	*
— Court Roll of the Honor, iv.   — Henry, iv. 72, 73; v. 195	*
157 — John, iv. 72, 73, 73-7	5;
— Duchy auditor, iv. 153 v. 195*	
- Duchy chamber, iv. 227 - Duchy court, iv. 134  Layton (Laton), v. 135, 137*	
- Duchy court, iv. 134 Lancaster, Eliz., iv. 53  Layton (Laton), v. 135, 137* Layton, Will., iv. 210	
— John, iv. <b>53</b> –55; v. 194   <i>Lea</i> , v. 198	
Lance, Abraham, iv. 55, 56 Lea, Will., v. 181	
— Susan, iv. 55, 56; v. 194 Lancing (Sussex), iv. 151 Leadbeater, Roger, v. 94	
Landeth, see Lindeth   Leake, Will., iv. 183, 184	
Langber, Nether, iv. 194 Leaky, John, iv. 209	
Langhaw, Will., iv. 6 Leckonby, Richard, iv. 76–7 Langley, iv. 139 v. 195	7;
Langton (Longton), —, iv. 77 Lee, Thos., iv. 78, 206–209;	v.
— Abraham, iv. <b>56</b> -59; v. 194   195, 200	
- Philip, iv. 1 - Roger, iv. 196, 197*   - see also Leigh   Leech (Leach), John, iv. 152	*•
Langtree, iv. 59-64 v. 94, 115	,
Langtree, Dorothy, iv. 59 Leigh, iv. 196, 219, 222; v. I	33
— Edward, iv. 62   Leigh (Lee), Agnes, iv. 130   — Alexander, iv. 87, 90	
— Thos., iv. <b>59</b> -64; v. 194 — Alice, iv. 92*, 93	
— Thos., jun., iv. 59 — Charles, iv. 78; v. 195	
— "Young Mr.," iv. 61, 62 — Ellen, iv. 91–93 Laseeles, Will., iv. 49; v. 193 — Geo., iv. 78–86; v. 195	
(? Lafield) — Geo., jun., iv. 84	
Latham, see Lathom — Henry, iv. 86; v. 195	
Lathom, iv. 93, 143, 210, 211; — James, iv. 86-91; v. 195 v. 61 — John, v. 105	
— governor of, v. 123, 126, 127   — Nicholas, iv. <b>91</b> –93; v. 19	
— prisoner at, v. 61 — Thomas, iv. 93, 121*, 12	2;
- siege of, v. 69 Lathom (Latham), Edward, iv.   v. 196* - Will., v. 29	
69 Leighton (in Yealand), iv. 131	*,
Frances, iv. 64-67*; v. 195   133, 135*	
— Gabriel, iv. 66 — George, iv. 66* — Lemon, Henry, iv. 198	
— Henry, sen., iv. 65 Lenthall, Will., Speaker, iv. 203	ι;
Henry, iv. <b>64</b> -67; v. 195 v. 55, 56	
— John, iv. 66*, 67, 68, 99; Lentworth, v. 27, 28 v. 41, 67, 195 Leonard, —, v. 155	
— Mary, iv. 71   Lever, Roger, iv. 198*	
— Richard, iv. 68, 69, 70, 71*, Lever, Great, iv. 196, 198	
112*; v. 45, 195*  — Thomas, iv. 66*, 70*, 70,  Lewes, iv. 152  — St. Anne's, iv. 152	
71*; v. 195 Lewes, Hugh, iv. 67	
- Vincent, iv. 66 - William, iv. 65-67*, 69 Lewis, Will., D.D., iv. 97;	V.
- Mr., iv. 71 Lewtie, Andr., iv. 129	
P	

Lexden (Essex), v. 182 Livesey, Geo., iv. 103-109; 106, 203 Leyburne, Charles, v. 196 - John, v. 187, 196\* George, jun., iv. 105, 108 - Lawrence, iv. 103-109\*; Nic., v. 196 196\* William, v. 196 Richard, iv. 110: v. 196 Leyland, iv. 120 Leyland Hundred, iv. 60, 62\*; Llanddwywe (co. Merioneth), iv. v. 126, 127 Leyland (Layland), Ellis, iv. 94\*-Llanenddwyn (co. Merioneth), iv. 97\* 97 — George, iv. 50\*, 93, 162, 164; Lloyd, Anne, v. 167 — Ed., v. 167 v. 196 Lomax, Rich., v. 188 - [ane, iv. 94\*-97\* - Richard, iv. 94-97, 162\*; London, iv. 67\*, 143, 165, 178, v. 141, 196 189, 190, 199, 237; v. 15, 48, 53, 55, 62–64, 95, 103, 109, 120, 148 — William, iv. 95\*, 96\*; v. 196 Leyton, Low (Essex), v. 35 Light Ashes (in Myerscough), v. - committees' offices and other places: 45 Lime, Will., iv. 169, 171\* Drury House, iv. 69, 138; Linacre, iv. 150 v. 2, 11, 139, 169 Goldsmiths' Hall, iv. 47, 48, Linaker (Lynnaker), Hen., iv. **47**, **97**–100; v. 196 50, 133, 146, 152, 153, 154, — John, iv. 112; v. 197 158, 169, 200, 210; v. 30, 33, 34, 46, 47, 70, 88, 90, Lindal (in Furness), v. 87 Lindale (in Cartmel), chapel of, 118, 120, 193 v. 89 Gray's Inn, iv. 200\*; v. 80, Lindeth (Landeth, in Warton), 148 Great St. Bartholomew's, iv. iv. 33, 34 Lingard, Lawrence, iv. 101 Haberdashers' Hall, iv. 16, — Thomas, iv. **100**–102; v. 196 Lingarth (near Garstang), v. 77 17, 57, 174, 175, 195, 227; Lisle, Will., iv. 68; v. 4, 11 v. 11, 27, 79, 115, 118, 121 Holborn Turnstile, iv. 15 Litherland, iv. 222 Litherland, Thos., iv. 104\*; Lincoln's Inn, iv. 44, 132 Middle Temple, iv. 104 195 St. John Zachary's, iv. 201, Littleholme, v. 176 218, 236; v. 49, 111, 162, 163 Liverpool (Leverpoole), iv. 150\*, 151, 157, 166, 168, 172, 174, Upper Bench prison, iv. 178, 179 203, 222, 226\*, 235; V. 59\*, 62, 119, 120 Whitehall, iv. 16, 175 - constable of castle of, iv. Worcester House, v. 47 journeys to and from, iv. 48, 150, 167 57, 77\*, 159; v. 16, 63, 90, 118, 193 ferry boat, iv. 150, 158, 166 — garrison at. v. 120 — losses, iv. **102**; v. 196 residents in, iv. 138, 139, 154, 230; v. 2, 26, 32, 136, — loss of muniments, iv. 158 158, 160, 168, 187\*, 204 — mill, iv. 150, 158, 166 Longlev (in West Derby), — petition from, iv. 155, 157, 158 138 prisoner at, v. 116, 117, 119 Longread (Longereade), iv. 115 Longton, see Langton. - taking of, iv. 103, 155, 157, 158; v. 196 Longworth, Ralph, v. 37, 64 Livesev, iv. 110 — Rich., iv. 76 Livesey, Anthony, iv. 163 Lonisdale, John, iv. 184 Lonsdale (Loynsdale) Hundred, -- Cecily, iv. 105-108 Elizabeth, iv. 104, 106, 107, iv. 180 109; v. 196 — agent for, v. 87, 96

Loveley, v. 10, 202 Lowe (in Hindley), iv. 1, 56-58 Lowe, Cuthbert, iv. 111 — Gervase, v. 142\* - John, iv. 110-111; v. 52\*, 53, 196 Lawrence, iv. 202 Lowther, iv. 43n Lowther, Agnes, iv. 43n Sir John, iv. 43n Lucas, Dame Ann, v. 182 — Rich., iv. 61 Ludlow, surrender of, iv. 149, 154\*, 166 Lund (Lunde, Lunn), Ellen, iv. 51, 72, **111**; v. 197 Lundasdale, see Lonsdale Luyfield (? Layfield), Will., v. 28 Lydiate, iv. 16, 150 Lydney (Glos.), v. 175 Lynnaker, see Linaker Lyon, Thos., v. 195

### M

Mabbott, Gilbt., v. 37 Maghull (Male), iv. 130, 150, 151, 163, 164, 168, 174 Maghull (Male), Ellen, iv. 70, 112, 113; v. 195, 197 — Robt., v. 133 Mainwaring (Manwaringe), Margaret, iv. 117\* Will., iv. **117**; v. 197 Maitland, see Martland Malbone, Thos., iv. 107, 109\* Male, see Maghull Man, Hugh, iv. 198 Manchester, iv. 81-85, 104, 122, 123\*, 185, 200, 201\*; v. 48, 59, 66, 93, 95\*, 102, 157 - attack on, iv. 79–82\* - documents dated at, iv. 24, 75, 85, 100, 199; v. 40, 57, 04, 104, 152 — examination at, v. 105 - garrison at, iv. 81, 113; v. 99, 197 leaguer of, iv. 79, 84 . - poor of, iv. 201 - priscn at, iv. 185 relief of, iv. 113 - school of, v. 94 sickness at, iv. 83 Manchester, Earl of, iv. 69 Mancknowles, Hen., iv. 113-117; v. 197

Mancknowles, John, iv. 113n Manor, v. 39 Manor (Furness Abbey), v. 75-77, 80, 85, 115 - steward of the courts, v. 117, 118 Manwaringe, see Mainwaring Marcer, see Mercer Margett Moss, iv. 135 Marindall, see Martindale Marlott, Thos., iv. 159 Marscow, Marscrow, see Myerscough Marsden (Marston), Great, iv. 113, 114\*, 115\* 116\*, 117 - Little, iv. 115; v. 155, 156 Marsden, Rich., v. 200 Robt., clerk, v. 154 Marsh, John, iv. 118, 119 Lieut. John, v. 178 - Katherine, iv. 119 — Will., iv. 118–120; v. 197 Marsh Grange (near Dalton in Furness), v. 114-118\*, 120\*-Marston, see Marsden Martin (Martyn), John (alias Ainscoe), v. 165 — Matthew, iv. 120; v. 197 — Mr., iv. 15, 21 Martindale, Anne, iv. 122 Peter, iv. 50\*, 120; v. 197
Philip, iv. 121, 122; v. 197 Martinscroft, Rich., iv. 122, 123; V. 197 Martland (Maitland), Robt., v. 59, 60, 204 Mashiter, Dorothy, iv. 123; v. Mason, Henry, iv. 6, 7 Massey, Alice, iv. 124; v. 197 Dorothy, iv. 124; v. 197 Hamlet, iv. 124 — Jas., v. 22\*, 23 — Margt., iv. 126 — Rich., iv. 70; 124–126; v. 142\*, 197 - Robt. (sequestrator), iv. I, 12, 24, 26, 30\*, 37, 38, 53, 71, 92, 96, 138, 172, 190, 215, 216; v. 4, 25, 30, 34, 40, 41, &7, 95, 134, 147 - William, iv. **126** ; v. 197 Mather, Emma, iv. 126, 127; v. - Nich., iv. 96, 97; v. 169 — Rob., iv. 127

Matthews, Rob., v. 197

Mawdesley (Maudesley), iv. 51, Micklehead (in Sutton), v. 41, 78, 128, 210-212; V. 159-Middleton (near Lancaster), iv. 161 Mawdesley (Maudesley, Maudis-135; v. 187 Middleton (Westmld.), iv. 132 ley), George, iv. 1 — Hector, iv. 127 Middleton (Medleton, Midleton), Ellen, iv. 137 - Mary, iv. 127-128; v. 197 Robert, iv. 1, 3\*, 128; v. 192, - Geoffrey, iv. 132 -- Sir Geo., iv. **131**-135, 181, - Roger, iv. 127 182; v. 198 — Robert, iv. 132\*, 135\*, **135**-— Thos., iv. 127, 128 138; v. 198 — Will., v. 112 — — will of, iv. 137 Maynard, Banastre, v. 175, 194 — Thos., iv. 133\*, 164 Midgeall, Alice, iv. **138**, 139; v. Mearley, iv. 234n Medcalfe, Thos., v. 87 Meiney, Sir John, v. 117\*, 119 198 — Edw., iv. 139\*, **139**; v. 198 Meirscough, see Myerscough Melledg, Johnson, v. 197 — Margaret, iv. 139 Midgehall (Midghogh, in Myer-Melling (near Liverpool), iv. 150, 161, 162, 168, 169\*, 174\* scough), iv. 72 Miers Meadow (? Warton), iv. 136 — Carrs, iv. 162 Melling (in Lonsdale), iv. 179, Mildmay, Sir Henry, iv. 158 Miller, John (alias Atkinson), iv. 139; v. 198 184, 186, 187 - Broomfield in, v. 168 – Thomas, iv. 98\* — church of, iv. 176, 189 Millington, George, iv. 182 rectory, iv. 181, 182\* Molins, Will., iv. 17 — vicar of, iv. 176, 177 Molyneux (Molineux, Melling, James, v. 197 Molinex, Mollineux, Mollyneaux, Mulli-— John, iv. 226 — Margery, v. 198 neaux, Mullineux), Anne, iv. - William, iv. 128, 162; 140-143; v. 198 – Barnaby, iv. **143**, 144; v. Mellor, Anne, v. 24\*, 43\* 198 — Carill, Lord, iv. 160\*, 161, — John, v. 24 — Šamuel, v. 24, 43\* 163\*, 165 Mellowdale, v. 40 — Charlotte, iv. 151 Meoles, see North Meols — Edmund, iv. 169 Mercer (Marcer), Alice, iv. 118, — Edward, v. 198 - Eliz., iv. 144, 146, 147; v. **128,** 129 — Elizabeth, iv. 118, 128, 129; 198 — Ellen, iv. 168 v. 197, 198 — Ellinor, iv. 170, 174 — Eliz., sen., iv. 118 Edward, iv. 129\* — Frances, Dame, iv. 165 — Henry, iv. 66\*, 67 Henry, v. 173 — John, iv. 118, 129, 129, 141\*; — James, iv. 89\*, 90; v. 43, 44 Jane, iv. 147 v. 198 — James, v. 184 — Richard, iv. **130**; v. 198 — John, iv. 145–149, 169; v. 110, 198 — Rob., iv. 54 -- Thomas, iv. 145\*-147\*; Katherine, iv. 147 - Lord, iv. 70, 162, 163; 198 — Will., iv. 118, 129\* 184, 199 Merescogh, see Myerscough — Margaret, iv. 145-149, 168\* 169; v. 198\* Merston (Merton), Nich., iv. 49; v. 193 — Margery, iv. 147 Metcalfe, Thos., iv. 190 — Mary, iv. 147, 151

Michaelheys (Rishton), v. 149\*,

206

Richard, iv. 146\*–149

— Sir Richard, iv. 226

Molyneux (Molineux, Molinex, Mosley (Moseley), Francis, iv. 201 Mollineux, Mollyneaux, Mulli-Nicholas, iv. 199-201, 201-202; V. 199\* neaux, Mullineux), Richard, Lord, iv. 112, 113, 145\*, 147, - Rowland, iv. 202 Mossborough (Mosboroe, in Rain-**149**-167 ford), iv. 65\*, 66, 67 Mosse, Edward, iv. **199**; v. 199 death of, iv. 165 - Robert, iv. 156, 162\*, **167**-174; v. 110, 199 - Geo., iv. 198 - Robert, sen., iv. 167-170\*, - Henry, iv. 226 172\*, 173\* Jennet, iv. 198 – Thos., iv. 143\*, 144, 169, **174**– John, iv. 127 175, 221, 222; V. 134, 135, 199 Mossock, Henry, iv. 18, 202-203; Monson, Will., v. 116 v. Igg Moore (More), Alice, Lady, v. 188 Mouseley (in Habergham Eaves). — Col. John, v. 115, 117, 120\*, V. 155 Moyer, Sam., iv. 163 121, 157, 158\*, 164 Margaret, v. 199 — Will., v. 84 - Rich., iv. 17, 164 Muchland (Muckland), v. 77\* — Thos., iv. **175**; v. 199 — Will., iv. 145\*, 146, 147\* Muckleston (co. Stafford), iv. 40 Murgatroyd, Jas., v. 180 Moorhouses (in Little Crosby), iv. Musgrange, see Marsh Grange Myerscough (Marscow, Marscrow, 147 Moreau, Paul, v. 199 Meirscough, Merescogh, Myer-Moreton (near Whalley), iv. 233, 234 scoe, Myerscow), iv. 29\*-31\*, Morgan, Sir Anthony, v. 83 46, 51, 111; v. 10, 45\*, 46 — Jane, v. 75, 76\*, 80\*–84 Myerscough (Mercer), Mary, iv. — Mary, v. 82 — Thomas, v. 75, 76\*, 80\*-— Thomas, iv. **130**; v. 198 83\*, 85 Morley, v. 5 Morley, Great (Ince), iv. o. N Morley, Francis, iv. 175-177; v. 199 — Francis, jun., iv. 176\* Nabb, Abraham, iv. 204–206 - Nich., iv. 193\*; v. 199 — Oliver, iv. **203**–206; v. 200 — Thos., iv. 176\*, 193, 194; Nateby, iv. 139; v. 70, 205 Naworth, iv. 238 v. 199 — Thos., sen., iv. 194 Naylor, Edward, iv. 206, 208\* Morley and Mounteagle, Henry, Jane, iv. 206 — Thomas, iv. 206, 208 Lord, iv. 175, 177-191, 192, -- Will., iv. 78\*, **206**-209; 232; v. 12, 199 — Henry, late Lord, iv. 189 196, 200 Philippa, Lady, vi. 179, 191-Nealson, see Nelson 193; v. 199 Morres, Will., iv. 64 Morris, Henry, iv. **194**, 195 Neesham, Thos., v. 93, 94 Nelson, (Nealson), Gilbert, iv. 211 — Henry, iv. **210**; v. 200 — Will., v. 162 — Margaret, iv. 211 Mort (Morte), Adam, iv. 196–198\* Maximilian, iv. 207–209 Bridget, iv. 195 — Michael, iv. 210; v. 200 — Eliz., iv. 196 — Richard, iv. 210, 211; v. — George, iv. **195**; v. 199 161, 200\* - Jennet, iv. 196, 197 — Thos., iv. **211**, **212**, 213; v. — Margt., iv. 195 200\* — Seth, iv. 196–198; v. 199 — Will., iv. 51, 209, 213; v. Mosley (Moseley), Ann, iv. 200\*, 200\* Nelthorpe, James, iv. 182 – Edward, iv. 200, 201 Netherburrow (Netherborough), iv. — Sir Edward, iv. 199, 201;

Netherton, iv. 168

V. 199

Neutown, see Newton
Newark, articles of, v. 168
Newbold (Northants), v. 76, 80
Newbury, battle of (1643), iv.
173n; v. 153
Newcastle, Earl of, iv. 44
Newcastle-on-Tyne, v. 45
Newcroft (in Flixton), v. 100
Newfield (in Wiswall), iv. 110, 111
New Hall (Tottington), iv. 205;
v. 123-125
New Hall (in West Derby), iv.
144
New Laund (Pendle), v. 154, 155

New Laund (Pendle), v. 154, 155 Newsham, Nich., iv. **214**-216; v. 200 Newton (Neutown), iv. 120 Newton (in Makerfield), iv. 222,

234n; V. 24\*, 42, 141 Newton, Mrs., iv. 200 Nicholson, Francis, iv. **49**, 51, 217; V. 200\*

— Francis, jun., iv. 216-217

— Rich., iv. 217 — Capt., v. 192 Nicoll, Anthony, v. 82 Nighall, see Nightgall

Nightgall (Nighall), Miles, iv. 217; v. 51, 54, 200

Nixon (Nickson, Nycson, Nyxson), John, iv. 50\*, 235; v. 201 Norbury (Ches.), iv. 234n Norris (Norres), —, iv. 156

-- Alexander, iv. **218**; v. 200 -- Allen, iv. 220, 225\*

- Andrew, iv. 219-222, 224

— Ann, iv. 219, 221, 222 — Christopher, iv. 227

— Edward, iv. 14, **219**, 224; v. 200

— Elizabetli, iv. 220, 221, 225

- Frances, iv. 219

— George, iv. **50\***, **223**; v

-- Henry, iv. **219**-223; v. 200

- James, iv. 227

— Jane, iv. 219, 221, 222 — John sen iv. 293-221

— John, sen., iv. **223**–224; v

— John, iv. 220, 222, 224, 225\*

— Mary, iv. 219, 221, 222 — Richard, iv. **224**-225;

201

— Robert, iv. 164, **225**-227; v. 201

-- Thomas, v. **227**-230; v. 201 -- William, iv. 220, 225, 227\*

228, **230**-231; v. 201

North, Alice, iv. 232

— Ellen, iv. 232

— Joan, iv. 232 — John, iv. 232

— Margaret, iv. 232

— Richard, iv. **231**-232; v. 201

North Meols (Meales), v. 194 Nottingham, iv. 223

Nowell, Adam, iv. 223

Alice, iv. 234, 234n
Anne, iv. 234n

- Elizabeth, iv. 234n\*

Frances, iv. 234
 George, iv. 234n\*

— Henry, iv. 234n

Jane, iv. 234, 234n
Katherine, sen., iv. 234, 234n

- Katherine, jun., iv. 234, 234n

Mary, iv. 234nPenelope, iv. 234n

Ralph, iv. 234n
Richard, iv. 234n

Richard, iv. 234n
 Robert, iv. 234n

— Roger, iv. 233-235; v. 201

Roger, sen., iv. 234, 234n
Thomas, iv. 234n

— Thomas, iv. 234*u* Nuttall, Joshua, iv. 235; v. 201 Nycson, Nyxson, *see* Nixon Nye, John, iv. 41, 195

O

Ogle, Cuthbert, iv. 167, 169, 171, 173, **236**; v. 201 Ogles, Capt., iv. 204 Oglett (in Speke), iv. 98 Oldfield Heys (in Croston), iv. 206, 208

Old Gore, see Gorehouse Oldham, v. 93, 100, 167

— poor of, v. 106

Old Laund (in Pendle), iv. 110;
v. 154-156\*

Old Park (Dalton in Furness), v. 122

Olney, Rich., iv. 50

Oniburne, see Church Honeybourne

Ordsall, v. 105 Orford, v. 171

Orme, Henry, iv. 99; v. 48 Ormskirk (Amysechurch, Ormes-

kirke), iv. 91\*, 92\*

— bailiwick, v. 180

- documents dated at, iv. 38, 70, 112, 146, 190; v. 41, 67

Ormskirk (Amysechurch, Ormeskirke), examinations at, iv. 71, 74, 109; v. 41, 44, 53, 67 - fair, v. 194 — muster on the Moss, v. 59, 62 parish, v. 179 Orrell (near Wigan), iv. 86-90 Orrell, Dorothy, v. 29 - Francis, v. 29 — Hugh, iv. 236; v. 142\*; v. 201 – Lewis, iv. **237**; v. 60, 112, 201, 204 — Rich., v. 201 Ortner (Ortenor, in Wyresdale), v. 39, 40 Orwell, Rich., v. 112 Osbaldeston, iv. 238, 239; v. 201 Osbaldeston (Osbolston), Alexander, iv. 238-239; v. 201 - Ann, iv. 238 Edward, iv. 239 John, v. 201Margaret, v. 201 — Rich., v. 10, 201 — Thomas, iv. 239, 240\*; 178 - William, iv. 239-241; v. 201 Oswaldtwistle (Oswaltwisle), 149, 150, 154, 165 Ottringham (Yorks.), iv. 135, 137 Overdarwent, see Darwen Overleigh (in Wyresdale), v. 38\*, 39\* Overton, iv. 28 — chapel, v. 177 Owen, Lawrence, iv. 83, 202 Owsthorpe (Aulstrop), iv. 233 Oxford, v. 35 - surrender of, iv. 97; v. 193 — university of, iv. 20 Ρ

— letter dated at, v. 71
Page, John, iv. 154, 218; v. 69
Paley (Pailey), Isabel, iv. 115,
116\*
Palmer, John, v. 87
— Sir Roger, iv. 158
Parbold (Perbold), iv. 68\*, 69,
212\*, 213; v. 45
Parke, Alex., iv. 100, 101\*; v. 55
— Eliz., v. 1, 201
— Giles, v. 1, 1, 202
— Will., v. 165

Padiham, iv. 195; v. 47

Parker, Alexander, v. 3, 4 - Anthony, v. 9\*, 202 Christopher, v. 5, 8, 202 - Dorothy, v. 15\* — Eliz., v. 2-5, 202 — Giles, v. 5 - Hugh, v. 156 — James, v. 2\*, 3\* — Jennet, v. **5**, 7\*, 179, 202 — John, v. **5**-9, 7\*, **10**, **10**, 46, 202\* Margaret, v. 7\*, 9\*, 10\*, 202 — Rich., v. 10 - Thos., iv. 179, 192; v. **11**, 12, 169, 202\* — Will., iv. 187, 188\*; v. 8\*, 12-24, 202 Park Hall (in Blackrod), iv. 29 Park Hall (in Charnock Richard), V. 2, 4, 191 Parkinson, — ---, v. 26 - Anne, v. 24, 202 Christopher, v. 39 — Cuthbert, v. 24, 184, 202 — Dorothy, v. 202 — Edward, v. 25, 203 — Ellen, v. 25, 203 — Frith, v. 35, 203 James, v. 24Jane, v. 39\* — John, v. 26, 27-28, 28, 29, 203\* — Lawrence, iv. 49; v. 28-36, 181, 193, 194, 202, 203\* Nicholas, v. 29
Peter, v. 36, 203
Richard, iv. 49, 51; v. 13\*, 15, 27, 36, 37, 37-40, 203 \* — Robt., v. 26, 38, 39, 40 — Thomas, v. 29\*, **37**, 55, 203\* — William, v. 24, **38,** 203 Parr. iv. 126\*, 127 Parr, Hugh, iv. 104; v. 40, 196, John, iv. 117 — Kath., v. **41**, 67, 203 - Ralph, v. 41-42, 203 — Rich., iv. 162 Parrie, Henry, iv. 166 Parselle, see Parsloes Parsloes (Paslow, Parselle, near Ilford), v. 30, 31, 34 Partington, Ann, v. 24, 42-44, 42, 43, 203 – Ellen, v. 43 — Jas., v. 42, 44, 203 — Will., v. 43\*, 203

Paslow, see Parsloes

232 INDEX.

Pilkington, Will., v. 56-64, 204 Patten, Will., iv. 221 Pauncefote, Tracy, iv. 166 — Mr., v. **55**-56, 204 Pim, see Pym Pearson (Peirson), Edw., iv. 186 — Jane, v. 45 Pinnington, Nich., v. 60, 204 — Ľuke, iv. 190, 191 Piper, Eliz., v. 96 - Thomas, v. 45, 203 — John, v. 96 Peeke, Hen., iv. 164 Plascy, Jas., iv. 195 Platt, Alice, iv. 4 Peel, iv. 198 — William, iv. 6 Peers (Pearse), John, iv. 125\* Peirpoint, Henry, v. 44, 203 Platt Bridge, iv. 11, 13 Peirson, see Pearson Pleasance, Foster, v. 90 Pelham, Hen., iv. 188 Pleasington, Robt., iv. 140; v. Pemberton, iv. 88, 90; v. 141, **64**, 204 Plombe, see Plumbe 142\*, 197 Pemberton, Jas., iv. 51; v. 46-Plumbe (Plombe), John, iv. 47, 98, 100; v. **65**, 196, 204 47, 204 — Thos., iv. **47**, 98, **174**; v. 196 — Will., iv. 99\*, 228 — John, v. 55 Pendle, iv. 110; v. 154-156 - manor of, v. 154 Plumpton, iv. 135 Pendlebury, Adam, iv. 198 Plumpton, Little, iv. 215\*, 218 Plumpton, Much, iv. 47 (error — John, v. 162\* Pendleton (Whalley), v. 155\*, 156 for Woolton) Pendleton, Alice, v. 47-48, 204 Plumpton, John, iv. 74\* Plundered Ministers, Committee - Edward, iv. 97\*, 98\*; v. 48, 49 for, iv. 181, 182, 183; v. 86, 87 — Elizabeth, v. 48 Pollard, E., v. 202 -- Henry, v. 48-49, 204 Ponthalgh(Pouthaugh, Poalt-— Isabel, v. 48 halgh, Peuthalgh), v. 149, 151\*, — Margery, iv. 98\* Pendleton Pool (Eccles), iv. 84; Poole, v. 197 v. 183 Porter, Henry, iv. 189, 190, 217; Penketh, iv. 120, 196 v. **65**, 204 Penketman, Geo., iv. 99 — Capt., iv. 185 Pennington (Leigh), iv. 196, 222; Postlethwaite, James, v. 87\* Pott and Lowe, iv. 201 v. 133 Pennington, Reynold, iv. 190 Potter, Alex., v. 66, 99, 103, 204 Penwortham (Penortham), iv. 20; Baldwin, v. 66 **v.** 4, 74, 123, 181 Pepper, Will., v. 1, 144, 145 - Katherine, v. 66-67, 203, 204 — Susan, v. 99, 102\* Perbold, see Parbold — William, v. 41, 66, 67 Peterston, Will., v. 162 Potts, Randle, iv. 24, 25\*, 27 Pharleton, see Farleton Poulton, v. 200 Phelpe, Mr., iv. 183 (le-Fylde),Poultondocument Pickering, Ant., v. 49, 204 dated at, v. 137 --- minister of, v. 137 Pigot, Geo. (sequestrator), iv. 12, 55, 60, 64, 74, 78, 79, 85, 90, Poulton (le-Sands), iv. 98, 113, 123, 125, 127, 131, 217 Prescot, iv. 66, 104, 117\*, 228 172, 192, 193, 197, 203, 217, 221; V. 22\*, 41, 44, 52-54, - church of, iv. 109 60, 67, 108, 160 Prescott, Edward, v. 68 — John, v. 68 Pilkington, Hugh, v. 49, 51\*, 52, 54, 204 — Robt., v. 68\*, 204\* Elizabeth, v. 51 — Thos., v. **68**, 204 James, iv. 226
John, v. 49-55, 204
Mary, v. 54 — Will., v. **69**, 204 Preston, iv. 30, 40, 76\*, 83, 84\*, 196\*-198\*, 214-216, 221, 236; — Richard, v. 56, 204\* v. 23, 40, 71\*, 72\*, 74, 75, 87, — Thos., v. 58 123-125, 171, 178, 193

Preston, documents dated at, iv. 21\*, 22 and *passim* 

– examinations at, iv. 39, 60, 62, 63, 78, 79, 80, 92, 99\*, 102\*, 116, 129, 164, 184, 197, 204, 216, 221; V. 21, 40-42, 53, 60, 123, 133, 137, 141\* post-house at, v. 118

– Welshman's House, v. 178 Preston, Anne, v. 72\*-74

- Frances, v. 70, 204

— George, v. 70, 88, 89, 204, 205\*

— Henry, v. **71**–75, 84, 92, 204

Jane, v. 81, 82, 85

— John, v. 78, 79\*, 80\*, 84, 115, 116\*, 120, 121, 205

- Sir John, v. 75-87, 114, 118\*, I2I

— Katherine, v. 89

Mabel, v. 72, 73

— Mary, v. 72, 73, 93

Thomas, v. 88, 205

— William, v. 71–74, **92**–93, 205 Preston Richard, v. 88

Prestwich, Edmund, v. 95 — Ellis, v. 94

— John, v. 93, 95

— Margaret, v. 93-95

— Thomas, v. **93**–95, 105

Capt. Thos., iv. 79, 80, 84 — Sir Thos., v. **93**–95, 205 Priestskeare (Warton), iv. 185

Prisoe, Eliz., v. 96 James, v. 96, 205

Prissoe, Will., v. 205 Proctor, Bryan, v. 205

— Giles, iv. 49, 51; v. 97, 205

John, v. 28

Puddingpie Nook (in Cabus), iv. 141, 142

Purefoy, Geo., v. 183

Puvy, Lawr., iv. 213

Pym (Pim, Pymme) trustees, v. 76, 77\*, 82\*, 85\*

— Alexander, v. 77, 82\*

Charles, v. 82\*

— John, v. 75, 76\*, 81, 82

— Katherine, v. 82\*

- Mr., v. 118, 119, 121\*

Quernmore (Whermore), v. 84 Quick, Rich., iv. 169, 171\*; 97, 205

Robt., v. 98

Ouick, Sarah, v. 98 Quickfield (Queckfield, in Bold), iv. 119\* Quinton (Glos.), v. 160n

#### R

Radcliffe, iv. 204, 205\* Radcliffe, Alex., v. 66, 98-105, 106, 205

— Sir Alex., v. 105

— Edward, iv. 204, 205\*

Elizabeth, v. 106

- Kath., iv. 234, 234n Margaret, v. 102, 106

- Mary, v. 99, 100\*, 102, 103, 104, 106

- Robert, v. 98, 100, 101, 1**03\***, 105

— Savile, iv. 234n

– Susan, v. 99, 102, 106

— William, v. 100, 101\*, 103\*, 105\*, 106, 106, 205

— Sir William, v. 98, 99, 101, 103\*, 104, 105, **105**–106, 205

Radholme (Rodhelme, Rodholme, near Whitewell), v. 6, 9, 202

Radley, Will., v. **107**, 205 Rainford, iv. 66\*

Rainhill (Rainell, Raynhill), iv. 53-55, 72; v. 41\*, 66, 67\*

- field names, iv. 54 Raland Park (Yorks.),

Ranicars, John, v. 107 — Nicholas, v. 107, 205

— see Renicars

Raphson (Ralphson), Anne, v. 108

— Edmund, iv. 164, 172\*; v. 107-110, 205

Rascowe, see Roscoe Rathbones (in Widnes), iv. 73

Rattan Clough, New hold in, iv.

155 Raukliffe, see Rawcliffe Ravensmeols, iv. 168, 174

Rawcliffe (Raukliffe), iv. 203 - Out, iv. 203; v. 134\*, 173

— Upper, iv. 46 Rawlinson, Elizabeth, v. 122

— John, v. 52, 53, 121, 122\*

- Capt. Leon., v. **114**-122\*, 205 — Robt., v. 114-121\*, **122,** 

123, 206 — Capt. Will., 115, 117\*-119,

121, 122

Rawson, Adam, iv. Si

234 INDEX.

— Jane, v. 51\*, 52, 55, 137\*

Rawstorne (Restorne, Rosthorne, Rigby, Joan, iv. 4 — John, iv. 7, 8\*, 11\*, 13; v. 51\*, 52, 54\*, 55, 95, **140**, Rostorne), Alice, v. 124 — Edw., iv. 205; v. 123-130, 204, 206 206 — Edw., sen., v. 123-126, 129 — Joseph, v. 141–143, 206 Major Joseph, iv. 76Nich., iv. 69; v. 206 Holcroft, v. 124 — Lawrence, v. 123-127\*, 129 - Roger, v. 50\*, 51, 54, 55 — Mary, v. 125\* — Thos., v. 140 Rigby, see Ribby Raycroft, see Rycroft Raynehill, see Rainhill Read, iv. 233\*, 234 Rigmaiden, Ann, v. 145, 146\* Reading, John (counsel to the — Hugh, v. 145 — John, v. **144**, **145**–147, 206\* Committee for Compounding), — John, sen., v. 145 references to and reports by, — Thomas, v. 144 passim Ripleton, see Ribbleton Readow (Hale), iv. 14 Rearan (in Melling), iv. 194\* Rippon, Capt. Thos., iv. 176, 177\*, 182, 209; v. 121 Redman, Sir John, v. **130**-131, Rishton, iv. 24; v. 148, 152 206 — Mary, v. 130 field names, v. 148 - Dame Sarah, v. 206 Rishton, Dorothy, v. 147–148, — Will., v. 130\*, 131 Reines, Dorothy, v. 131, 132\* — Edward, v. **149**, 206 - John, v. 131-133, 206 — Eliz., v. 149 James, v. 149Katherine, v. 149 Rell, Will., iv. 76 Relsale, Humph., v. 10 Ralph, sen., v. 149–150, 151, Remmington, Reynold, iv. 189 Renicars, Rich., v. **133**, 206 206 Restorne, see Rawstorne --- Ralph, jun., v. **150**-151, 207 — William, v. 147, 148\*, 149, Reynolds, Eleanor, iv. 160\*; v. **151**-152, 207\* 199 – Will., sen., v. 148 — Isaac, iv. 200\* — John, iv. 160\*; v. 199 Rivington, v. 52, 53 - Nich., v. 110 Rivington, James, v. 153 Ribbleton (Ripleton), iv. 38, 40 Jane, v. 153John, v. 153, 207 Ribby (Rigby), v. 6, 9 Rich, Edward, iv. 44, 132, 163 - Lawrence, v. 153 Richardson, Thos., iv. 29, 31\*; — Rich., iv. 198 v. **134**, 206\* Rixton, iv. 124\*, 125\*; v. 142, -- Will., iv. 29-31\*; v. **134**-197 135, 192, 206 Roadsbank, v. 148 Robertson, Adam, v. **153**, 207 Robinson, Edmund, v. **154**, 207 Ridehalgh, James, iv. 115 Rigby, A., v. 136 — Alex., iv. 128; v. 133, **135**- Edward, v. 167 138, 206 — John, v. 110, 154, **154**–156, - Alex., jun., v. 136 155, 207 — Baron Alex., iv. 164; v. 62- Matthew, iv. 107 Robert, iv. 114\*, 115, 116, 64, 190 (?) — Col. Alex., iv. SS\*, S9\* — Alice, v. 138 — Will., iv. 68, 166\*, 167\*; - Edward, v. 135, 140\*, 187 v. 4, 110 — Eleanor, v. 138, 206 *Roby*, iv. 91, 117\* - Eliz., v. 51\*, 52, 55 - Isabel, v. 51\*, 54, 55 - James, clerk, v. **139** - James, v. **139**-140, 206 - James, sen., v. 140 Rockley, Fras., v. 184 Rodhelme, see Radholme Rodley, Mary, v. 188 Roe, John, iv. 190

Roeburndale, iv. 179

Rogers, Thos., v. 182

Rogerson, Eliz., v. 157, 207 – John, v. 95, **157**, 207 — Roger, iv. 82 — Will., v. **157**, 207 Roscoe (Roscowe), John, v. 111-114, **158**, 205, 207 Rose, Eliz., iv. 74 Margaret, iv. 73\*, 74\* — Richard, iv. 47, 53, 100 — Thomas, iv. 47, 53, 73\*-Roson, Francis, v. 158-159, 207 — Hugh, v. 159 Rosthorne (Rostorne), see Rawstorne Rosthwaite (? in Broughton-in-Furness), v. 181 Rothwell, Edw., iv. 71\* — Henry, v. 110 — Thos., v. 24, 110, 207 Rous, Col. Ant., iv. 57, 58 — F., iv. 158 — Francis, v. 77, 82 Rowe, John, iv. 183, 184 Royton, v. 43 Rudyard, Sir Benj., v. 77, 82 Rufford (Rufforth), v. 123, 125, 186, 190

Rupert, Prince, iv. 60-63, 82, 83, 155, 157\*, 224; v. 59\*, 62, 63, 111, 117, 119, 173 Russell, John, iv. 17 Rutter, Eliz., v. 160

James, v. 159, 207
Michael, v. 160, 207
Thomas, v. 160-161, 207 Rycroft (Raycroft), John, **161**-162, 207 Ryding, Robt., v. 110 Rye, iv. 97 Rye, John, iv. 35 Ryepier (in Burtonhead), iv. 107 Rylands, Peter, v. 162\* Ryley, Thos., v. 163, 207

S

Sadler, J., v. 95 Sagar, Will., iv. 114, 116 Saier, Thos., v. 110 St. John, Oliver, v. 82\* St. Michael-on-Wyre, church, iv. 76\* — minister of, iv. 76, 77 Sale, Christr., iv. 142 Salford, iv. 82, 84; v. 93 Salford Hundred, iv. 80, 83; v. 142

Salford Hundred, stewardship, iv. 150, 167 Sallow, Margt., v. 10 Samlesbury, iv. 39, 222; v. 193 Samwell, Arthur, v. 4, 11 Sanders (Saunders), Clement, iv. — Will., iv. 187 Sanderson, Margaret, iv. 49, 51 Sands, Adam, iv. 180 Sandscale (Hawcoat, Furness). V. 122\* Saunders, see Sanders Savage, Robert, iv. 39, 40\* Savill, John, v. 125 Sawrey, John (sequestrator), iv. 22, 23\*, 28, 63, 128, 202, 203; v. 34, 129, 144\*, 151 Sayer, see Sagar Scarisbrick (Scasbrick), iv. 102 Scarisbrick (Seasbridge), ——, iv. — Edw., iv. 102; v. 176 John, iv. 213 — Mary, iv. 213 Scathwaite (in Egton), v. 115, 120, 121 Scholcroft, Rich., iv. 198 Schoulcroft, Jas., iv. 217 Scobell, Hen., iv. 57, 58, 103 Scotland, King's march toward, iv. 80 service in, iv. 204; v. 132, 178 Scott, Robt., v. 53 — Roger, v. 52 Scott and Hawkins, iv. 202 Sealey, see Seely Seasbridge, *see* Scarisbrick Seddon, Gilbert, iv. 4 — Miles, iv. 2, 4, 6 — Thos., iv. 106\*, 107\* — Will., iv. 228, 230 Seely (Sealey), Hen., iv. 68; V. 4, II Sefton (Sephton), iv. 112, 149\*, 151, 153, 154, 157, 161-164, 168, 203; v. 109 — church of, v. 109— pastor at, v. 110 Sellet, iv. 185 Sephton, Ralph, iv. 47, 100; v. 191 Sephton, see Sefton Sequestrations, agents for, iv. 12, 20, 22-24, 25, 32, 62, 83, 99, 229; v. 141, 162 - auditor, iv. 12

— clerk, v. 23

Sergeant, Will., v. 39 Serk, George, v. 87 Sharp, John, v. 87 Sharples, Henry, v. 182 Margt., v. 10 - Rich., v. 10 - Robt., v. 15, 16\* Shaw (near Oldham), v. 167 Shaw, Robert, iv. 61; v. 10 Thomas, iv. 61, 63; v. 87 Shawground, v. 148 Sheldon, Ralph, v. 80 Shelley, Anthony, iv. 203 Shepherd, Nicholas, iv. 162 Shepherd's House (in Aughton), iv. 143, 144 (Sherbourne, Sherborne Sherburne), Anne, v. 14 Elizabeth, v. 14 — Henry, v. 14, 180 — Isabel, v. 14, .20 — John, v. 12\*-15\*, 17\*, 18, 21-23 — Richard, iv. 128; - Rob., v. 14, 15\*, 19, 20\*, 22-24, 133, 202 Roger, v. 14 - Thomas, v. 133 Sherborne Mere (in Chipping), v. 20\* Shevington, v. 51, 52, 68, 175 Shipley (Sussex), iv. 151\*, 157 — minister of, iv. 151-153 - rectory of, iv. 151-154\*, 164 Shireson, Rich., v. 92\* Shitterick (in Aighton), v. 7 Sholley, see Showley 228\* Sholver (Sholaer, in Oldham), v. Shoreham, New (Sussex), iv. 152, 226 Shorrock, Will., iv. 76 Showley (Sholley, in Claytonle-Dale), v. 201 Shropshire, Commissioners of, iv. — lands in, v. 193 Shuttleworth, Nic., v. 166 - Rich., iv. 100, 134; V. 17, 22, 47, 154 — Col. Rich., v. 63, 64 Silcock, Thomas, v. 133 Silverdale, iv. 135 Simonswood, iv. 149 Simpkin, Thos., v. 194 Simpson, Holland, v. 174 John, v. 184 v. 49, 68, 139, 140

Simpson, Thos. iv. 130 Singleton, Great, v. 34 Singleton, Kath., v. 178 — Nic., v. 187 — Rich., iv. 101 - Thos., v. 135, 178, 179 Skelhane, —, iv. 14 Skerton, iv. 135, 184 Skinner (Skynner), Will., iv. 68; v. 4, 11 Skipton, iv. 185\*-187\* castle, iv. 186 garrison of, iv. 185, 187 — governor of, iv. 185 Skynner, see Skinner Slaidburn (Yorks.), v. 155, 156 Smith, Adam, v. 188 James (agent for sequestrations), iv. 23, 24, 26 John, iv. 186 — Robt., v. 112 — Will., iv. 84 Snape, Andrew, v. 29 — Robt., v. 28 Snydle (Westhoughton), v. 125 Somers, Edward, v. 60, 204 Sorocold, Geo., iv. 2, 6, 54, 55, 94\*, 95\*, 97\* — James, iv. 96\* Southover (Sussex), iv. 152, 153 Southweald (Essex), v. 30 Southwell (Notts), v. 158 Southworth (Sooth-), Thomas, iv. Sowerby, iv. 23, 24\*, 26\*, 28\*; Speke (Speake), iv. 47, 97, 100, 156, 174, 222, 224, 225, 22**7,** – mills, iv. 227 Spellow House (in Walton), iv. Spenceley, Will., v. 96\* Spencer, Gilb., v. 194 Spinslowe, iv. 233 Spray, Will., iv. 107\* Sprumelehurst, Will., v. 21 Squibb, Arthur, iv. 164 Staffordshire, v. 193 Stainall, v. 150 Stainton (Stanton), v. 84 Stainton, John, v. 145 Staley, Anne, v. 72, 73 — Christr., v. 72, 73 Stalmine Grange, iv. 108 Standerber (in Thornton), iv. 193 Standish, iv. 60, 61, 63\*, 64;

Standish Wood, v. 140 Standish, Edw., iv. 62\*, 107 - Geo., iv. 226\* - Ralph, iv. 218; v. 68, 140\* - Thurstan, iv. 7, 8\*, 11\*-13\*; v. 95 — Col., iv. 99 Stanhope, John, v. 102 Sir John, v. 106 Stanley (Yorks.), iv. 233 Stanley, Ann, iv. 105\*, 106, 107 Cecily, iv. 105 Sir Thomas, iv. 99, 203 - Will., iv. 227 Staples, Thos., iv. 231 Starkie (Starkey), John, iv. 76, 134, 226; V. 17, 22, 47, 136 Staveley (in Cartmel), chapel of, v. 89 Steele, Will., v. 12, 17\*, 22 — Mr., iv. 171 Stephenson, Edmund, v. 125 Stevenson, Nich., v. 110 Stirzaker barn (Sturzaker), Stirzaker (Sturzaker), Eliz., iv. 92\* — James, iv. 93 — John, iv. 92 — Robt., iv. 135 Stockley, Edw., iv. 117\* Stockport (Stopford), iv. SI Stocton, Henry, v. 16 Stoke, John, iv. 154 D'Abernon (Surrey). StokeStonestreet, Geo., iv. 159 Stopford, see Stockport Strange, James, Lord, iv. 213 Strangeways, Will., iv. 172 Strickland, Walter, v. 70, 205 Sturzaker, see Stirzaker Styth, Thos., iv. 51 Sudell, Will., v. 73\*, 74 Sumner, John, v. 137 Sumpner, Christr., iv. 10, 12 - John, iv. 53, 69, 71; 195 Sussex, Committee of, iv. 154, Sutton, iv. 103\*, 105, 106, 108, 109\*, 120 Sutton, Hen., v. 41, 67 Swainsford (in Over Wyresdale), Swainshead (or Swineshead, in Over Wyresdale), v. 27\*-29, 37 Swarbrick, iv. 60\*

Swineshead, see Swainshead Swinglehurst, Robt., v. 9\* Sydell, Thos., iv. 209 Sykes, John, v. 193 Symonswood, see Simonswood

Т

Talbot, Ann, iv. 238 John, iv. 238 Tarbock, iv. 149\*, 153 Tarleton, ——, iv. 156 Tarnaker (Tarniker), v. 25, 132\*, Tatham, iv. 179, 180, 185\*, 189\*, - chapel of, iv. 183 - church of, iv. 189 — mill, iv. 179 Tatham, Edw., v. 24 Taverners (Taberlers) Hall, iv. Taylor, Will., iv. 10, 60; 68 Tempest, Mrs. Arthur Cecil, iv. 1241 Robt., v. 58 — Will., v. 141 Terisholme, see Torrisholme Tewitfield (Tovettfield), iv. 135 Thingwall, iv. 203 Thompson (Tompson), Alex., v. 43, 44, 60, 62-64, 204 — Joseph, v. 110 Thornley, v. 180 Thorneley, Rich., v. 21, 22 Thornton (in Lonsdale), iv. 193; v. 130 Thornton (in Sefton), iv. 162, 168, Thornton, Jas., iv. 177\* — Col., iv. 186 Threlfall, Margt., v. 177 - Thos., v. 177\* Threlford, Robt., v. 28 Thulson, Ralph, v. 49 Thurland, v. 24 Thurnham, iv. 51, 135 Tildesley (Tildsley, Tilsley), Cuthbert, v. 11\* Edward, iv. 46 Thomas, iv. 46; v. 45\* — Sir Thos., v. 59 Tinkler (Tinckler), Thos., v. 60, 112, 204 Todehall (near Haslingden),

124

Tomlinson, Lawrence, iv. 39 - Rich., v. 85, 86, 87 Tompson, see Thompson Tonge (Bolton), iv. 176 Topping, Henry, iv. 141\* Torrisholme (Terisholme, Torensbolme, Torryson), iv. 51\*, 217 Torver, v. 184 Tottington (Toddington), manor, stewardship of, iv. 150, 167 Toulnson, Geo., v. 77 Tovett field, see Tewitfield Townhouse (in Marsden), iv. 113n Townley, Henry, v. 133 — Law., v. 38 Toxteth Park, iv. 149\* — Lower (or Old) Lodge, iv. 97 Travers, Jane, v. 168 — Peter, v. 168 Treales, v. 65 Trestram, Will., v. 110 Trotman, Sam., v. 189 Truro, articles of, v. 94, 105 Trustees for Sale of Delinquents' Estates (Drury House), iv. 69, 138; v. 2, 11, 26, 148 Tunstall, iv. 194; v. 24 Turner, Anne, iv. 187 — Geo., iv. 228 — Rob., iv. **50\***, 78, 207 - Thos., iv. 80, 216; v. 19, 124 — Will., iv. 187 — Capt. Will., iv. 176, 177\* Tyldesley (Tildesley), iv. 197 Tyrer, ----, iv. 110 — John, iv. 112 — Rich., v. 110

# U

Ulneswalton (Ulves Walton), iv. 38, 150; v. 159 Ulverston, iv. 44, 45; v. 88 Unsworth, John, iv. 56 Upholland (Holland), iv. 34n; v. 68\* Uplitherland, iv. 144 Urmston, v. 100 Urswick, v. 178

## V

Vane, Sir Henry, iv. 158 Varley, Ann, v. 156 — Margt., v. 156 Vaughan, Dan., iv. 136-138\*

— R., iv. 171\*

— Rowland, v. 22

Vause, Alex., iv. 64

— Ralph, iv. 29

Vincent, Thos., iv. 177, 201, 227, 235; v. 69, 94, 154

W Wackfild, see Wakefield Wade, Anne, v. 42\* — Robert, v. 41, 42 Wadmough, see Watmough Wadsworth, Hugh, v. 45, 148 Wainwright, Geo., iv. 143, 144 — James, iv. 179 — Ťhos., v. 194 Wakefield, iv. 233 Wakefield (Wackfild), John, iv. 63; v. 60, 204 — Nich., iv. 180, 18**1** Wales, iv. 61; v. 82 Walker, John, iv. 209 — Thos., iv. 226 Walker Barn, v. 132 Walkins, D., v. 94 Wall, Evan, clerk to sequestrators, iv. 109\*; v. 23, 89 Walmersley (Walmisley), iv. 203-205\* Walmesley, Rich., v. 10, 26 IValpool (Hale), iv. 14 Walthew, Rob., iv. 63 Walton (le Dale), iv. 239\*, 240\*; v. 165, 201 Walton (-on-the-Hill), iv. 102, 144, 150, 226; V. 51 Walton, Hen., iv. 115 – Will., iv. 37\*, 38 Ward, John, v. 194 Waring (Wareing), James, iv. 50\* — John, iv. **50**\* — Richard, iv. 50; v. 3, 4\* — Thos., v. 180 Warrington, iv. 79-83, 218, 236; v. 69, 183 — bridge, iv. 82 — garrison at, iv. 81, 83 — governor of, v. 69 letters dated at, iv. 8, 239; v. 134 Warton (in Amounderness), iv.

Warton (Wharton, in Lonsdale),

iv. 46, 131, 135, 136 — church, iv. 189 (?) Warton (Wharton, in Lonsdale), field names, iv. 136 — mill, iv. 136 - rectory of, iv. 181 — tithes, iv. 131, 132\*, 134 Warton Sands (Lonsdale), iv. 185 Warwickshire, commissioners of, — lands in, v. 193 Washington, Leonard, iv. 136 — Robt., iv. 136 Washurst, see Ashurst, W. Waterworth (Walerworth), Rich., iv. 51 Watkins, David, reports by, iv. 200, 201, 224; v. 94, 123, 136 Watkins, R., iv. 152, 153 Watkinson, Rich., iv. 106\*, 107 Watmough (Wadmough), Rich., v. 41, 67 Wavertree, iv. 74, 149, 203 Webb, Abraham, iv. 86, 87\*; v. 195 Weeton, v. 173 Weirsdale, see Wyresdale Weld, Humphrey, v. 147 Weldon, Will., v. 32\* Welsh, Isabel, v. 28 Wenington, see Winnington Wennington, iv. 175, 179 tithes in, iv. 176 Wennington, Nether, iv. 176\*, 194\* — mill, iv. 176 — Old, iv. 176\* Werden, Thos., iv. 198\* Werden, see Worden Werrall, see Worrall West, Ellen, iv. 91, 92, 93 — John, iv. 91, 92, 93 - Will., v. 187 — Capt. Will., iv. 185 Westby, iv. 215 Westby, Lucy, v. 193 — Robt., v. 193 West Derby (Darby), iv. 144, 149, 162, 164, 174, 219-222\*, 225\*, 226\*; v. 138, 187 — Finch House, v. 199 — mill, iv. 151 West Derby Hundred, iv. 99\*, 127, 144, 150; V. 47, 59, 141 forestership, iv. 150, 166 — sequestrators for, iv. 99 - stewardship of, iv. 150, 158, West Ham (Essex), rectory of, v. 35

Westhead (in Lathom), v. 194 Westhoughton (Haughton, Houghton), v. 112, 161 – fight at, v. 58, 61\*, 63\* Westleigh, iv. 196 Westminster, iv. 182, 227; v. 174 - documents dated at, iv. 163, 165 Painted Chamber, iv. 58 — probate court, iv. 140 St. Margaret's, v. 69, 70 Westmorland, iv. 131, 133-135, 190\*, 191\*; v. 78, 84 - commissioners of, iv. 191; v. 79, 89, 193 Weston (Warwicks.), v. 80, 83\* Wetherly (Warwicks.), v. 80 Whalley (Whaley), iv. 110, 234n – parish, v. 147–149, 151, 207 Whalley (Whaley), Ann, v. 194 Oliver, v. 60, 62, 204 Rich., v. 125 — Robert, v. 155 Thomas, iv. 24; v. 47 Wharton, Thos., v. 150, 176, 206 Wharton, see Warton Whasting (?), Thos., v. 152 Wheathome, v. 148 Whermore, see Quernmore Whiston, iv. 51, 236; v. 41, 46, 67, 204 — mill, iv. 236 Whitbeck (in Warton), mill, iv. 132, 135 White (Whyte), —, iv. 195 — Christr., v. 133 — Edm., iv. 76 - Henry, v. 131-133 — James, v. 131 — Robert, v. 131, 132 White Ash (Oswaldtwistle), 149, 150\*, 151\* Whitehead, Rich., iv. 130 Capt. Richd., iv. 24-27; 34, 197 - Thomas, iv. 26 White Lec (in Goosnargh), iv. 29, 31, 32 Whitfield (Whitefield, Whitfeild), Eliz., iv. 74 - Will., iv. 2\*, 6, 73\*-75, 91, 117\*; v. 55, 176, 195 — Serjt., v. 15 Whittacre (Whittaker), Isabel, iv. 113-115 — James, v. 105 Miles, iv. 113, 115\*

Whittingham, v. 36

240 INDEX,

Windleshaw, iv. 117\*

Winmarleigh (Wimmersleigh, Win-Whittingham, Thos., v. 36 Whittington (Wittington), iv. 232 merleigh), iv. 26, 51, 140\* Whittington (Staffs.), v. 174 Winnington (Wenington, co. Staf-Whittle (-le-Woods), iv. 239, 240\*; ford), iv. 39, 40\* Winslow, Edward, iv. 17, 163 V. 20I Whittle, Thos. (agent for seques-Winstanley, James, v. 183, 190 trations), iv. 25 Ralph, v. 43 Robt., v. 60, 61, 204 Whitwray, iv. 179 Wiswall, iv. 110; v. 25 Whyte, see White Widnes, iv. 73\*-75\*, 112; field names, iv. 110 Withenshaw (Wythenshaw, Manchester), v. 93 court roll, iv. 73 Wither, Rich., iv. 177 Widowfield (Rainhill), iv. 54 Wigan, iv. 12, 64, 66\*, 79, 80, — Thos., iv. 209 168, 174, 236; v. 43, 44\*, 52, Witherslack (Westmld.), v. 196 53, 56-64\*, 102, 125, 141\*, 167, 169 Withiam, iv. 151 Wittington, see Whittington - aldermen and burgesses, v. Wolfhall or Wolfhouse (in Chipping), v. 12\*, 13, 14, 15\*, 17, 18\*, 19\*, 20, 21, 22, 23\* Wolstenholme, Sir John, v. 32\*, 33\* — church, iv. 11, 12, 56 - documents dated at, iv. I, 21, 24, 30\*, 38, 60, 71, 85, 90, Wood (in Melling), iv. 156, 167-99, 117, 159, 215, 226, 230; 169\*, 172-174 Wood, Jas., iv. 195\*; v. 155 v. 24, 25, 96 - examinations at, iv. 1; — Mr., iv. 87 Wood Close (in Wrightington), 4, 147 — garrison of, v. 58, 60, 63, iv. 213 Woodcock, Ric., v. 178 64, 161 - grammar school, iv. 88-90; Woodplumpton, v. 194 Woods, Cecily, iv. 163; v. 138 v. 196 — Eleanor, v. 138 — mayor of, v. 141–143 - parish register, iv. 6 George, v. 138 — John, iv. 154; v. 133 — Rich., v. 138 - schoolmaster of, v. 43 sessions at, v. 108 Woodward, iv. 204 taking of, v. 59, 61 Wilborn and Hanning, iv. 202 Woodward, —, v. 202 Will., iv. 38; v. 11 Wilcocke, Matthew, iv. 103, 107\* Woodworth (Woodward), John, Thos., iv. 107\* Wildbore, Augustine, D.D., iv. 79\*–85 Woolfall, Margt., v. 195 Wildman, Major John, iv. 59, — Thos., iv. 65, 66, 68 124, 189; v. 183, 199 Woolfhouse, see Wolfhall Wilkinson, Anthony, v. 13\*, 15 Woolton, Much, iv. 47, 98\*-100, 228; v. 47, 97, 98 - John, v. So\*, 84 — Matthew, iv. 186 – *Little*, iv. 99; v. 47, 48 Willam, Edward, iv. 136 Woosey, Jas., iv. 163 Worcester, dean and chapter of, Williams, Edw., iv. 209 iv. 131 - solicitor [Daubeny], v. 180 Worcestershire, commissioners for, Wilpshire, v. 10\* Wilson, Edw., iv. 131, 133, 209 iv. 189 - Hugh, iv. 213 Worden (Werden), v. 74 — Humphrey, v. 110 Workington, v. 78 — Mr., iv. 166 Worrall, Jas., iv. 143, 144 — Will., iv. 47, 100 Worsley, iv. 79\*, 84, 222 Wimmersleigh, see Winmarleigh Winder, Edwin, v. 29 — Jane, v. 28 Worsley, Jas., iv. 120 John, v. 84 Worston, v. 155, 156

Worthington, iv. 60, 61

Worthington, Anne, 1v. 221, 222, V. 200 - Nicholas, iv. 63\*

- Ralph, v. 125

- Rich., iv. 203, 220-222

— Robt., v. 173 — Will., iv. 63\*, 64 Wrampool, v. 172

**Wr**ay, iv. 179 Wray, Geo., v. 187

Wrayton, iv. 51, 179; v. 97, 130,

131\*, 205

church of, iv. 189 Wright, Will., iv. 164; v. 41, 67

Wrightington, iv. 68, 212\* Wrigley, Mr., iv. 84\*

Wycom Hey (in Fulwood), iv. 101 Wylde, John, iv. 171\*

Wyresdale, Nether οr Weirsdale), (Netherwyersdale, iv. 51, 130; v. 25\*, 145, 206

Wyresdale, Over or Upper, v. 28, — chapel, v. 177 Wythenshaw, see Withenshaw

24I

## Y

Yagburgh, see Aigburth Yate, John, v. 194 Yealand (Yelland), hall, iv. 135 Yealand Convers, v. 176 Yeerland, see Ireland York, iv. 43, 44, 61, 83, 198; v. 59\*, 85 — battle of, iv. 176; v. 59, 63 Yorkshire, v. 56, 174 - commissioners of, v. 85 - lands in, iv. 116, 176; 78, 84, 130, 131, 184, 206

Young, Sir Peter, v. 32

# INDEX OF WORDS AND MATTERS

This index is not exhaustive.

#### A

Abjuration, Oath of, iv. 75, 99, 174, 212; v. 109, 160
Act of General Pardon, iv. 130, 211, 237
Act of Oblivion, iv. 21; v. 71
Array, Commissioner of, v. 88
Attorney-General, iv. 171, 194; v. 22
auditor for the county, iv. 12; v. 5, 147

#### В

Barons of the Exchequer, iv. 89, 100
beyond the seas, iv. 15, 19; v. 33, 95, 96
blind deed, v. 117
boon works, iv. 36; v. 3
boxing, iv. 125; v. 19
brazier, v. 62
breaking a mill-dam, iv. 42
burgess (M.P.), v. 62

#### C

candle put out at a sale, v. 141
certificates for ministers, iv. 13,
35, 41\*, 194; v. 139
champion, common of, v. 156
child parts, v. 40
chirurgeon, iv. 108
Church of England, conformable
to the, iv. 39, 99; v. 122
churchman, v. 110
churchwardens, iv. 43; v. 110
coal mines, iv. 233; v. 155.
156
collock, v. 113

D

Dominion of Wales, v. 82 dry rents, v. 135

 $\mathbf{E}$ 

eashen, v. 112 education as a Protestant, iv. 15, 17, 19, 22, 173; v. 109 Engagement, v. 109, 144, 173 evidences lost in the wars, iv. 161; v. 83

F

fee-farm rents, iv. 151 felt-maker, v. 10 ferry at Hollinfare, iv. 126 fire house, v. 112 first war, iv. 225; v. 56, 201 freehold band, iv. 80 freemason, iv. 56

G

girnlin, v. 113 grand malignant, v. 57

## H

hammer rent, iv. 51 heretrix, iv. 73 humiliation and thanksgiving days, iv. 99

Ι

ironstone, digging for, v. 77, 78

Τ.

lautiron, v. 112 leaguer, iv. 79

M

moss-dales, v. 29 moss rents, iv. 149 multure, iv. 179

N

new hold, v. 156 noble, v. 48 noggin maker, v. 60 nutt, v. 94

O

old hold, v. 156 ostrich egg, v. 94 overflow\_of salt water, iv. 14

Р

pannage, v. 45 passport, v. 95 pewterer, v. 61 piggin, v. 113 Pipe, officials of, iv. 174; v. 116 posnet, v. 112 post, taking off the, iv. 14, 23, 28 prig, v. 112 propositions, iv. 83, 85 prothonotary, v. 125

R

rack rent, iv. 125, 233

records lost during the war, v. 71
recusancy the sole reason for sequestration, iv. 1, 3, and passim
register (for registrar), iv. 6
registrar of Duchy Court, v. 31
relief at death of ancestor, v. 98
resistance to tithes, v. 86
ringleader to all mischief, v. 50
Roundheads. v. 50

S

St. John's rents, iv. 231
Scots, invasion by, v. 115
— king of, v. 190
scruple of taking the Covenant,
v. 55
second war ("last engagement
in the North"), iv. 216
serjeants of the town, v. 141
sequestration, the early methods,
v. 128
shearing, iv. 36, 232
Surveyor General, v. 35, 92, 139

Т

timber trees, iv. 68 train band, v. 182 turnell, v. 113

V

vaccaries, v. 27, 29, 32

W

Wards and Liveries, Court of, iv. 158; v. 125









